

## Refuting Darwinism

Dr. Bhakti Niskama Shanta

[sb.abc@cbs.dk](mailto:sb.abc@cbs.dk); [Online\\_Sadhu\\_Sanga@googlegroups.com](mailto:Online_Sadhu_Sanga@googlegroups.com), [googlegroups.com](http://googlegroups.com)

**Abstract:** Under a wrong influence (politics and funding agencies) majority of scientific community take for granted that the real goal of science is to defend/establish materialism and thus the entire scientific research is centered around wrong presumptions like abiogenesis, insentient view about lower species (like animals, plants, bacteria and so on), bodily evolution theories (macroevolution), genetic determinism, AI (sentient robots, creativity of mechanical systems like computers and so on) and so on. YES I AGREE Science journals also follow the same trend and do not allow any honest expression of truth (which is against materialism) that scientists realize from their own research works

[Bhakti Niskama Shanta. **Refuting Darwinism**. *Academ Arena* 2016;8(4):56-59]. ISSN 1553-992X (print); ISSN 2158-771X (online). <http://www.sciencepub.net/academia>. 7. doi:[10.7537/marsaaj08041607](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsaaj08041607).

**Keywords:** politics; funding agencies; scientific community; materialism

Dear Prof. Søren Brier

Not only your good self but also any reasonable and honest person unaffected by politics and dictum of funding policies of corporate arms will certainly conclude that the method that we have adopted in modern science is imperfect. Under a wrong influence (politics and funding agencies) majority of scientific community take for granted that the real goal of science is to defend/establish materialism and thus the entire scientific research is centered around wrong presumptions like abiogenesis, insentient view about lower species (like animals, plants, bacteria and so on), bodily evolution theories (macroevolution), genetic determinism, AI (sentient robots, creativity of mechanical systems like computers and so on) and so on. YES I AGREE Science journals also follow the same trend and do not allow any honest expression of truth (which is against materialism) that scientists realize from their own research works. HAVE BEEN TRUE IN MOST OF MY TIME AS SCIENTIST-PHILOSOPHER, BUT IT IS CHANGING THESE DAYS. Unfortunately, majority of scientific community do not even realize that they are mere puppets in the hands of their perceived masters (politicians and businessmen controlling funding for scientific projects). TOO MUCH I AM AFRAID, STILL. In such a circumstance the scientific research works are forced to highlight the tampered version of the truth. This monotonous obeying of wrong source at the expense of truth and true scientific spirit is the cause of degradation of the real image of true science. Also such type of control is the root cause of the major problems that our civilization is facing in the form of dangerous global environmental problems, increasingly distressed life style, highly degraded food quality, and so on. However, fortunately there is an encouraging trend that we find is prevailing in the 21st

century, where there are some scientists who have taken up a brave attitude to carry out a 'scientific critique of science' to dismantle this unnecessary control of ignorance that is overpowering the true scientific progress. TRUE, BUT BREAKTHROUGHS ARE HAPPENING LIKE THIS SPECIAL ISSUE OF Progress in biophysics and MOLECULAR BIOLOGY THAT ATTEMPTS TO INTEGRATE PHENOMENOLOGY IN BIOSCIENCE

[2015 JPBMB Special Issue on Integral Biomathics: Life Sciences, Mathematics and Phenomenological Philosophy](http://www.jpbmb.org/Special_Issue_on_Integral_Biomathics_Life_Sciences_Mathematics_and_Phenomenological_Philosophy), which is presently free for all to download. Penrose and Hameroff's work is also trying to get past the mechanical atomistic worldview. So is the work of Basarab Nicolescu [http://ciret-transdisciplinarity.org/index\\_en.php](http://ciret-transdisciplinarity.org/index_en.php).

Science means practical knowledge WELL ORIGINALLY IT WAS THE GREEK EPISTEME. Anyone can practice it and attain the same result. Vedic knowledge is scientific because anyone can practice it in one's own life and attain the same results that many have attained in past HOW CAN WE KNOW? following the same process. By one's own ability (using the puppy brain) it is impossible to grasp the infinite reality. WELL THERE ARE MANY SYSTEMS THAT DOES NOT FUNCTION OR FUNTION SO SLOW THAT IT TAKES A MAN A WHOLE LIFE IN RECLUSION. THERE ARE NOT MANYU HOUSHOLDER TECHNIQUES PRESENT THAT ALLOWS YOU TO LEAD A SOMEWHAT NORMAL LIFE WHILE PRACTISING. Practicing an arrogant attitude we may claim that we can know the truth by our own but that wrong attitude will only lead to a process where endlessly one opinion is replaced by another. To know the truth we have to depend on higher authorized source (like to know our

date of birth we depend on those who have witnessed our birth). TRUE, BUT MOTHER ALONE IS NOT RELIABLE ENOUGH, SO WE ASK THE MIDWIFE AND NURSES TO AGREE ON A TIME.

You have told “How do we know the meditative techniques works?” Even to have some knowledge from sensory plane we need some attention from mind. If we are not mindful of the sense objects, then even though something is moving in front of our eyes we cannot see it. Even scientists try to think deeply (some type of apparent meditation) about certain problem that they want to solve. At certain point of time they get some sort of knowledge to solve that problem. What is the source from which we are getting this knowledge? Till date scientists could not find answer for this question. TRUE. PEIRCE AND POPPER WERE THE ONES REALIZING THAT THIS IS THE BIGGEST MYSTERY However, in Vedic science it is well known that all forms of knowledge (correct or incorrect depending on our attitude) comes from Paramātma (super soul). A chick coming from a hatching machine does not do a scientific research to know that it should eat food grains and not sand grains. If Paramātma (super soul) does not provide this knowledge and sustenance then life cannot survive by its own. THAT IS WHY EVOLUTIONARY EPISTEMOLOGY HAS BEEN DEVELOPED. Therefore, all life forms including human beings and demigods are dependent beings and Supreme Absolute is supremely independent being – reality is by itself and for itself. PERSONALLY I AGREE ON THIS. BUT HOW DO WE FIND OUT WHO HAS ACCESS TO THE ABSOLUTE AND CAN BE TRUSTED? THERE ARE SO MANY CALLING THEMSELVES MASTERS? To attain the perfect knowledge beyond the illusory plane and to attain the real goal of life we have to cultivate proper attitude (submissiveness to the absolute plane) under a proper spiritual guide and thus can find the real objective on which we should be doing our meditation. IF WE LOOK AT INDIA FROM EUROPE AND US WE ARE NOT IMPRESSED WITH THE SPIRITUAL DEVELOPMENT AND HARMONY IN THE CONTRY. LOTS OF BRIBERY, LACK OF MUTUAL RESPECT BETWEEN RELIGIONS, NOT TO SPEAK OF THE LACK OF RESPECT FOR WOMEN AS EQUAL HUMAN BEINGS.

You have also told “There are thousands of religious and mystics-meditative associations based on the Vedas and they do not agree on interpretation or on meditation techniques or if there is a personal God to worship or several demigods, which demands sacrifices of different sorts. How are we to know who is right, who holds the basic truth ?” In Vedic tradition Krishna Daipayana Veda Vyas is accepted as an authority by all because Veda Vyas is the literary

incarnation of Supreme Absolute, who gave all the Vedic literature in the literal form. Different Vedic literature is meant for elevating different individuals from certain lower stage of consciousness to higher stage of consciousness. One has to accept the authority of Veda Vyas to know what the highest stage of spiritual advancement is. BUT EVEN THOSE WHO DOES, IS NOT ALWAYS ABLE TO THE RIGHT INTERPRETATION AND TO GIVE THE RIGHT TECHNIQUES – LIKE THE HARE KRISHNA MOVEMENT I THINK BUT HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING. I HAVE FOLLOWED THE BIG DISCUSSION ABOUT TRANSCENDENTAL MEDITATIONS TEACHER TRAINING OF ORDINARY PEOPLE IN ORDER TO MASS PRODUCE MEDITATORS AND THE DISCUSSION OF THE SIMPLIFICATION MADE OF THE CRITERIA USED for ASSIGNING MANTRAS (MANILY GENDER AND AGE) ALSO TO BE ABLE TO MASS PRODUCE TEACHERS. BUT I LACK TOLLS AND METHODS TO GIVE A PRODUCTIVE CRITICAL JUDGEMENT. **Forman, R.K.C. (2011). *Enlightenment Ain't What It's Cracked Up To Be*** CERTAINLY GAVE ME A NEW UNDERSTANDING of what enlightenment means. BUT IS IT CORRECT? AND IF YOU SAY NO. ARE YOU THEN CORRECT?

You have mentioned “The all claim deep experiential knowledge, but it is a knowledge other people cannot access. There is no fruitful discussion advancing knowledge towards a common synthesis.” In modern objective science we do not have a science of fulfillment because, being private to one’s own self scientists completely ignore the scientific analysis of the subjective activities: thinking, feeling and willing. However, like sensual experiences, anyone can objectively experience his/her own thinking, feeling and willing. Therefore, anyone can do a scientific study of this inner non-sensuous nature by self analysis or introspection. If we simply ignore our subjective activities and merely give emphasis on our objective knowledge gaining habit then we cannot do any real good to our true inner self and thus cannot help others in any true sense. I HAVE EXPERIENCED A LOT OF MOOD MAKING AROUND THESE THINGS. IT IS DIFFICULT FOR PEOPLE TO BE COMPLETELY HONEST ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCES AND TO KNOW HOW TO CLASSIFY THEM. IT IS LIKE TELLING A CHILD ABOUT FALLING IN LOVE. WHEN IT HAPPENS THE FIRST TIME AS TEENAGER THEY ARE NOT SURE IF ITS LOVE OR A STOMACH ACKE.

You have told “The world view is foreign to most other cultures, still it claims to be a universal one.” Vedic view is universal because it presents a detailed analysis of different relative aspects of reality

and thus includes all the different practices as of an organic whole different gradational development of consciousness towards the higher and higher realization of the absolute plane – ‘subjective evolution of consciousness.’ AMONG RESEARCHERS IN THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF RELIGION THERE IS A GREAT DISCUSSION GOING ON IF THERE IS SUCH A PERENNIAL PHILOSOPHY OF PURE SPIRITUALITY OR PURE MYSTICISM. I THINK SO PERSONALLY– AND I KNOW IT IS ESSENTIAL TO THE VEDANTIC VIEW BE IT ADVAITA OR BHAKTI – BUT THERE IS NO AGREEMENT ON THIS UNIVERSALLY.

You have also rightly told “I agree that science is a very imperfect tool for the search of knowledge and it most often lacks a spiritual aspect, though many of us attempt to break its almost scientific boarder. But as far as I know we have not found anything better. At least it is based on public experiences that most people can check.” Modern education and scientific research are under complete dictum of the oldest philosophical tradition in Western civilization – “materialism”, which began by pre-Socratic Greek philosophers and it attained its conventional shape in the atomism of Democritus and Epicurus. Thus all scientific enterprise is based on the ideology that ultimate reality consists of undividable purposelessly moving matter. Quantum Mechanics and many research works in biology show the clear limits of this naive approach that is dominant in modern science. Unless we come out of close walls that we have created in modern science how can we test and experience something higher and more substantial? I DO AGREE - AND WE ARE MANY IN SEARCH OF A NEW FOUNDATION, HENCE MY REFERENCES TO MODERN BIOSEMIOTICS AND CYBERSEMIOTICS BASED ON PEIRCE’S SHELLING INSPIRED PRAGMATICIST VIEW OF EMPIRICAL SCIENCE. HOW DO WE BALANCE AND INTEGRATE SCIENTIFIC AND SPIRITUAL KNOWING? IT IS A UNIVERSAL AS WELL AS A PERSONAL QUESTION FOR ME. SEE [Cybersemiotics.com](http://Cybersemiotics.com).

Finally you have mentioned “We have a lot of discussion with the science between different groups and it could be more constructive, but they are trying to find common views, that seem not to be the case in the religious world and not even in the spiritual. How are we going to solve this in a fruitful way? We do not seem to be making any substantial progress in these discussions.” Reality cannot be grasped by some common agreements. We have to realize our insignificant position and meager ability to know things by our own (including a collective intellectual ability of many brilliant scholars). We have to realize that we are finite beings. By adding our finite abilities

we cannot grasp the real infinite – the supreme absolute. Until we overcome the misconception that we are the subjects and reality is our object of dominance we cannot make any substantial progress towards our real goal of life. I DO AGREE THAT THE FEW EXPERIENCES I HAVE HAD OF HIGHER STATES OF CONSCIOUSNESS – IF THAT WERE WHAT THEY WERE? – IS WHAT DRIVES ME (IN COMBINATION WITH MY SCIENTIFIC AND PHILOSOPHICAL TRAINING) TO SEARCH FOR TRUE KNOWLEDGE. BUT IT ALSO PLACES ME IN THE OUTSKIRTS OF MANY TRADITIONS (THAT DO NOT RESPECT EACH OTHER) AND WHERE THEIR KNOWLEDGE OVERLAP - here is a Super Subject and everything else (including us) is the object for His enjoyment. However, the living entities who are ignorant about their true constitutional position (eternal servants of Supreme Absolute), I –FOR ONE – AM NOT SURE IT IS A SUBJECT, WHICH IN MY VOCABULARY IS A LIMITING CONCEPT FOR A SUPREME BEING NOT LIMITED BY TIME, ENERGY AND SPACE exercise their freedom to choose a position against their real nature. Ignoring their true position as eternal servants of Supreme Absolute these living entities can develop the moods of either active (exploitation) or passive (renunciation) hostilities towards the Supreme Absolute and proceed along the paths of karma or jñāna/yoga respectively. In that mood of hostility towards Supreme Absolute these living entities even cannot attain peace and obviously real inner fulfillment of life is much beyond their reach. I DO BELIEVE THAT WE ALL NEED TO STRIVE FOR FULLFILLMENT SPIRITUALLY. THE PROBLEM IS HOW? NOT IN A FUNDAMENTALISTIC AND UNCRITICAL WAY. THAT LEADS TO WAR. I HAVE DONE A LOT MEDITATION IN MY LIFE SO FAR AND DO NOT FEEL ESPECIALLY ENLIGHTENED. WHO HAS THE RIGHT TO CLAIM TO BE ENLIGHTENED? DO WE HAVE OBJECTIVE CRITERIA? TO PERFORM THE YOGA SUSTRAS - OF WHICH LEVITATION IS ONE - FOR INSTANCE? TRANSCENDENTAL MEDITATION TRIES, BUT HAVE NOT SUCCEEDED - OR ARE CERTAIN BRAIN WAVE PATTERNS SUFFICIENT? OR IS THE FEELING OF HAPPINESS AND ENLIGHTENMENT ENOUGH? OR IS THERE A CRITERIA FOR SOCIAL WELL FUNCTIONING LIKE COLLECTIVE HAPPINESS. THERE IS A LOT OF WORK ON HAPPINESS MEASUREMENT THESE DAYS.


THERE IS ALSO A LOT OF EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH ON MEDITATION AND PRAYER

THESE DAYS AND WE HAVE A NEW POSITIVE  
PSYCHOLOGY MOVEMENT.


**References:**

<http://www.imprint.co.uk/product/cybernetics-human-knowing/>.


<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/imp/chk>.

 [www.scsiscs.org](http://www.scsiscs.org).

Bhakti Niskama Shanta, Ph.D. Sri Chaitanya Saraswat Institute

 +91-( 9748906907)

 Donate

 [www.scsiscs.org](http://www.scsiscs.org)

 #8, Gopalakrishnan Mansion, Konappana Agrahara, Electronic City, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

4/23/2016