Fermat's Last Theorem has been proved(2)

Jiang Chunxuan (蒋春暄)

Institute for Basic Research, Palm Harbor, FL 34682-1577, USA
And: P. O. Box 3924, Beijing 100854, China (蒋春暄，北京 3924 信箱，中国，100854)
jiangchunxuan@sohu.com, cxjiang@mail.bcf.net.cn, jcxuan@sina.com, Jiangchunxuan@vip.sohu.com, jcxxxx@163.com, liukxi@public3.bta.net.cn

摘要 **(Abstract)**: In this paper we prove that it is sufficient to prove $S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1$ for Fermat's last theorem using the complex hyperbolic functions in the hypercomplex variable theory. More than 200 years ago Euler gave a proof of $S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1$. Fermat's last theorem has been proved.

关键词 **(Keywords)**: sufficient；prove; Fermat; theorem; function

In this paper we prove that it is sufficient to prove $S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1$ for Fermat's last theorem using the complex hyperbolic functions in the hypercomplex variable theory. More than 200 years ago Euler gave a proof of $S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1$. Fermat's last theorem has been proved.

First we discuss the hyperbolic functions

$$S_1 = \mathrm{ch}t, \qquad S_2 = \mathrm{sh}t \tag{1}$$

From (1) we get its inverse transformation

$$e^t = S_1 + S_2, \quad e^{-t} = S_1 - S_2 \tag{2}$$

From (2) we get

$$e^t \cdot e^{-t} = S_1^2 - S_2^2 = 1 \tag{3}$$

If e^t =rational, then (3) has infinitely many rational solutions.

Using above method we prove Fermat's last theorem. From Refs 1 and 2 we introduce the complex hyperbolic functions of order p:

$$S_i = \frac{1}{P}[e^A + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} (-1)^{(i-1)j} e^{B_j} \cos(\theta_j + (-1)^j \frac{(i-1)j\pi}{P})],$$

$$i = 1, 2, \cdots, P, \quad P = odd, P > 1 \tag{4}$$

where

$$A = \sum_{e=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} (t_e + t_{p-e}). \quad B = \sum_{e=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} (t_e + t_{p-e})(-1)^{ej} \cos\frac{ej\pi}{P}, t_e, t_{p-e} \in R \tag{5}$$

$$\theta_j = \sum_{e=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} (t_{p-e} + t_e)\sin\frac{ej\pi}{P}, j = even;$$

$$\theta_j = \sum_{e=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} (t_{p-e} + t_e)(-1)^{e+1}\sin\frac{ej\pi}{P}, j = odd \tag{6}$$

From (4) we get its inverse transformation[3,4]

$$e^A = \sum_{i=1}^{P} S_i \tag{7}$$

$$e^{B_j}\cos\theta_j = S_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{P-1}(-1)^i S_{i+1}\cos\frac{ij\pi}{P}, e^{B_j}\sin\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{P-1}(-1)^i S_{i+1}\sin\frac{ij\pi}{P}, j = odd \tag{8}$$

$$e^{B_j}\cos\theta_j = S_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} S_{i+1}\cos\frac{ij\pi}{P}, \; e^{B_j}\sin\theta_j = -\sum_{i=1}^{P-1} S_{i+1}\sin\frac{ij\pi}{P}, \; j = even \tag{9}$$

Assume $S_1 \neq 0$, $S_2 \neq 0$, $S_3 = \cdots = S_p = 0$. From (7)-(9) we get

$$e^A = S_1 + S_2, \tag{10}$$

$$e^{B_j}\cos\theta_j = S_1 - S_2\cos\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; e^{B_j}\sin\theta_j = -S_2\sin\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; j = odd \tag{11}$$

$$e^{B_j}\cos\theta_j = S_1 + S_2\cos\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; e^{B_j}\sin\theta_j = -S_2\sin\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; j = even \tag{12}$$

From (11) and (12) we get

$$e^{2B_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2S_1 S_2 \cos\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; j = odd \tag{13}$$

$$e^{2B_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 + 2S_1 S_2 \cos\frac{j\pi}{P}, \; j = even \tag{14}$$

From (5) we get

$$A + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} B_j = 0. \tag{15}$$

From (10), (13), (14) and (15) we may get Fermat's equations

$$P = 3, \exp(A + 2B_1) = (S_1 + S_2)(S_1^2 - S_1 S_2 + S_2^2) = S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1, \tag{16}$$

$$P = 5, \exp(A + 2B_1 + 2B_2) = (S_1 + S_2)(S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2S_1 S_2 \cos\frac{\pi}{5})(S_1^2 + S_2^2 + 2S_1 S_2 \cos\frac{2\pi}{5})$$
$$= S_1^5 + S_2^5 = 1 \tag{17}$$

....

$$\exp(A + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} B_j) = (S_1 + S_2)\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} [S_1^2 + S_2^2 + (-1)^j 2S_1 S_2 \cos\frac{j\pi}{P}]$$
$$= S_1^P + S_2^P = 1. \tag{18}$$

Theorem. (18) has no rational solutions for $P > 3$, except $S_1 S_2 = 0$.

Proof 1. Since S_i are the functions of $(t_e + t_{p-e}) : S_i = f_i(t_e + t_{p-e})$, we study $(t_e + t_{p-e})$ in (5). Put $P = 3P_1$, where $P_1 = $ odd prime. From (5), (10), (13), (14) and (15) we get

$$\exp(A + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{3P_1-1}{2}} B_j) = S_1^{3P_1} + S_2^{3P_1} = 1 \tag{19}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_{P_1}) = S_1^3 + S_2^3 = [\exp(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P_1-1}{2}} (t_{3\alpha} + t_{3P_1-3\alpha}))]^3, \tag{20}$$

$$\exp(2\sum B_j) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{P_1} S_1^{3P_1-3\alpha} S_2^{3(\alpha-1)}(-1)^{\alpha+1} = [\exp(-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P_1-1}{2}} (t_{3\alpha} + t_{3P_1-3\alpha}))]^3,$$
$$j \not\equiv 0(\bmod P_1) \tag{21}$$

$$\exp(A + 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P_1-1}{2}} B_{3\alpha}) = S_1^{P_1} + S_2^{P_1} = [\exp(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1})]^{P_1}, \tag{22}$$

$$\exp(2\sum B_j) = S_1^{2P_1} - S_1^{P_1} S_2^{P_1} + S_2^{2P_1} = [\exp(-t_{P_1} - t_{2P_1})]^{P_1}, \; j \not\equiv 0(\bmod 3); \tag{23}$$

(19), (20) and (22) are the Fermat's equations. Since Euler gave a proof of (20), (19). Therefore and (22) have no rational solutions for any odd prime $P_1 > 3$.

From (22) and (23) we get

$$S_1 = \exp(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{4 \exp[-3P_1(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1})] - 1}{3}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{P_1}},$$

$$S_2 = \exp(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \sqrt{\frac{4 \exp[-3P_1(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1})] - 1}{3}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{P_1}}. \tag{24}$$

If $S_1 =$ rational, then $S_2 =$ irrational and vice versa. Therefore (19), (20) and (22) have no rational solutions for any odd prime P_1.

From (22) and (24) we get

$$a^{P_1} + b^{P_1} = 1, \tag{25}$$

where

$$a = S_1 \exp(-t_{P_1} - t_{2P_1}) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{4 \exp[-3P_1(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1})] - 1}{3}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{P_1}},$$

$$b = S_2 \exp(-t_{P_1} - t_{2P_1}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \sqrt{\frac{4 \exp[-3P_1(t_{P_1} + t_{2P_1})] - 1}{3}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{P_1}} \tag{26}$$

If $t_{P_1} + t_{2P_2} = 0$, they are two trivial solutions. If $a =$ rational, then $b =$ irrational and vice versa. Therefore (25) has no rational solutions for any odd prime $P_1 > 3$.

Proof 2. Put $P = \prod_{r=1}^{n} P_r$, where $P_r =$ odd prime. From (5), (10), (13), (14) and (15) we get

$$\exp(A + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{2}} B_j) = S_1^P + S_2^P = 1, \tag{27}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_{\frac{p}{3}}) = S_1^3 + S_2^3 = [\exp(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{p-3}{6}} (t_{3\alpha} + t_{P-3\alpha}))]^3, \tag{28}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_{\frac{P}{5}} + 2B_{\frac{2P}{5}}) = S_1^5 + S_2^5 = [\exp(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P-5}{10}} (t_{5\alpha} + t_{P-5\alpha}))]^5, \tag{29}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_{\frac{P}{7}} + 2B_{\frac{2P}{7}} + 2B_{\frac{3P}{7}}) = S_1^7 + S_2^7 = [\exp(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P-7}{14}} (t_{7\alpha} + t_{P-7\alpha}))]^7, \tag{30}$$

$$\exp(A + 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P_n-1}{2}} B_{\frac{P}{P_n}\alpha}) = S_1^{P_n} + S_2^{P_n} = [\exp(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{P-P_n}{2P_n}} (t_{P_n\alpha} + t_{P-P_n\alpha}))]^{P_n}. \tag{31}$$

(27)-(31) are the Fermat's equations. Since Euler gave a proof of (28), therefore (27), (29), (30) and (31) have no rational solutions for any odd prime $P_r > 3$.

Example. $P = 15$ From (5) we get

$$A = (t_1 + t_{14}) + (t_2 + t_{13}) + (t_3 + t_{12}) + (t_4 + t_{11}) + (t_5 + t_{10}) + (t_6 + t_9) + (t_7 + t_8)$$

$$2B_1 = -2(t_1 + t_{14})(1) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(2) - 2(t_3 + t_{12})(3) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(4) - 2(t_5 + t_{10})(5)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(6) - 2(t_7 + t_8)(7)$$

$$2B_2 = -2(t_1 + t_{14})(2) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(4) + 2(t_3 + t_{12})(6) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(8) + 2(t_5 + t_{10})(10)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(12) + 2(t_7 + t_8)(14)$$

$$2B_3 = -2(t_1 + t_{14})(3) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(6) - 2(t_3 + t_{12})(9) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(12) - 2(t_5 + t_{10})(15)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(12) - 2(t_7 + t_8)(21)$$

$$2B_4 = 2(t_1 + t_{14})(4) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(8) + 2(t_3 + t_{12})(12) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(16) + 2(t_5 + t_{10})(20)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(24) + 2(t_7 + t_8)(28)$$

$$2B_5 = -2(t_1 + t_{14})(5) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(10) - 2(t_3 + t_{12})(15) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(20) - 2(t_5 + t_{10})(25)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(30) - 2(t_7 + t_8)(35)$$

$$2B_6 = 2(t_1 + t_{14})(6) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(12) + 2(t_3 + t_{12})(18) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(24) + 2(t_5 + t_{10})(30)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(36) + 2(t_7 + t_8)(42)$$

$$2B_7 = -2(t_1 + t_{14})(7) + 2(t_2 + t_{13})(14) - 2(t_3 + t_{12})(21) + 2(t_4 + t_{11})(28) - 2(t_5 + t_{10})(35)$$
$$+ 2(t_6 + t_9)(42) - 2(t_7 + t_8)(49)$$

(32)

where $(i) = \cos \dfrac{i\pi}{15}$

From (10), (13), (14), (15) and (32) we get

$$\exp\left(A + 2\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{7} B_j\right) = S_1^{15} + S_2^{15} = 1, \tag{33}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_5) = S_1^3 + S_2^3 = [\exp(t_3 + t_{12} + t_6 + t_9)]^3, \tag{34}$$

$$\exp(A + 2B_3 + 2B_6) = S_1^5 + S_2^5 = [\exp(t_5 + t_{10})]^5. \tag{35}$$

(33)-(35) are the Fermat's equations. Since Euler gave a proof of (34), therefore (33) and (35) have no rational solutions.

Remark. In order to prove Fermat's last theorem, it is sufficient to prove $S_1^4 + S_2^4 = 1$ and $S_1^3 + S_2^3 = 1$. The former was due to Fermat and the latter was due to Euler. Fermat's last theorem has been proved. On Oct. 25, 1991 without using any number theory we have proved Fermat last theorem.

References
1. Jiang, Chun-xuan. Hypercomplex variable theory. Proeprints, 1989.
2. Jiang, Chun-xuan. Hypercomplex variable theory and FLT (I). Preprints, 1991.
3. Jiang, Chun-xuan. Nonlinear dynamics in Santilli's eletonic model of hadronic structure. Hadronic J. 11(1988) 125-142.
4. Jiang, Chun-xuan. The dynamics for the prime principle. Acta Math. Sci. 9(1989) 93-107. MR 90k: 58101.

蒋春暄按:

中国著名数论专家乐茂华教授看到本文 1992 年 1 月 21 日

来信"至于您这次寄来的文章,不知已在何种刊物发表?望来信告知。"他是第一位看到本文的专家,对本文肯定,以后他多次来信支持蒋春暄的工作,他是广东湛江师范学院教授,本文已在全世界散发 600 份。十七年后蒋春暄重读这篇论文,他可以说他最大贡献是证明费马大定理(1992),这是天才的证明,伟大的证明,绝后的证明,费马的证明,最高级的证明。1995 年怀尔斯(Wiles proof of Fermat last theorem)费马大定理证明是可怜的,没有意义的,张冠李戴的,无法理解的,骗人的,最低级的。

　　蒋春暄荣获 Telesio-Galilei 2009 年金奖主要是他证明费大定理！1994 年 2 月 23 日乐茂华教授给蒋春暄来信:"….Wiles 承认失败情况实际上对您是有利的。"

Preprint (English, January 1992)
Potential science (Chinese) No. 2, 1992, 17-20

参考文献 (References）

[1] R. M. Santilli, Isonumbers and genonumbers of dimension 1, 2, 4, 8, their isoduals and pseudoduals, and "hidden numbers" of dimension 3, 5, 6, 7, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 10, 273-322(1993).

[2] 蒋春暄, Foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory, Part I: Isonumber theory of the first kind, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 15, 351-393(1998).

[3] 蒋春暄. Foundations of Santilli's isonumbertheory, Part II: Isonumber theory of the second kind, Algebras Groups and Geometries, 15, 509-544(1998).

[4] 蒋春暄. Foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory. In: Foundamental open problems in sciences at the end of the millennium, T. Gill, K. Liu and E. Trell (Eds) Hadronic Press, USA, 105-139 (1999).

[5] 蒋春暄. Foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory, with applications to new cryptogrms, Fermat's theorem and Goldbach's conjecture, International Academic Press, America-Europe-Asia(2002) (also available in the pdf file http://www.i-b-r. org/jiang. Pdf)

[6] http://www.google.com. 2017.

[7] http://www.yahoo.com. 2017.

[8] http://www.baidu.com. 2017.

[9] http://www.sciencepub.net. 2017

[9] 蒋春暄, 超复变理论, 预印本,1989.

[10] 蒋春暄, 费马大定理已被证明, 潜科学,2(1992)17-20. 预印本(英文),1991 年 12 月.

[11] 蒋春暄, 三百多年前费马大定理已被证明, 潜科学,6(1992)18-20.1659 年费马证明了 n=4, 因此费马证明了他的猜想。

[12] 蒋春暄, 费马大定理费马证明, 预印本(英文),1992 年 3 月.

[13] 蒋春暄, 费马方程因子分解, 预印本(英文),1992 年 5 月.

5/7/2017