

# Theory and Methods of Drought System Analysis

Zhenmin Zhou, Zhiliang Wang

North China College of WRHP, Zhengzhou, Henan 450045, China, [zzm1022@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:zzm1022@yahoo.com.cn)

**Abstract:** Based on analysis on statistic parameters including mean, coefficient of variation and serial correlation of drought, the foresaid parameters on drought duration and severity were studied. The extreme drought duration and severity expressions were developed. Taking Dongzhou Reservoir as an example, the related design parameters were calculated. [Nature and Science 2003;1(1):62-66].

**Key Words:** drought frequency; drought duration; drought severity; truncated level

## 1. Introduction

Droughts have attracted the much attention in different areas at the present. The sequences of the rainfall or stream flow that are used to characterize droughts are known as drought variables. In general, droughts are measured in terms of deficiency in the rainfalls or streams flows below a predefined reference level. The cumulative deficit during a drought spell is known as the severity, the reference level for identification of the droughts, or for quantifying the severity. It is termed the truncation level and is taken to be equal to the long-term mean of the sequence of the drought variable.

From the point of view of creating provisions for meeting the exigencies during a drought spell, the most important parameters of concern are the longest duration and the largest severity for a desired return period of T years, and are termed as extreme duration and severity in this paper. The sequence representing the drought could be distributed normally or may have a skewed distribution. The simple type of pdfs used for modeling the annual rainfall and runoff sequences are normal, log-normal and gamma, all with two parameters. Likewise, the simplest kind of dependence is Markovian, represented by the lag-one serial correlation coefficient  $\rho$ . For a given place or a basin the reliable statistics available for annual rainfall and stream flow are mean, coefficient of variation and lag-one serial correlation coefficient. One might wish to know the answers to the following questions based on the above readily available information. (1) How does the coefficient of variation affect the extreme duration and severity characteristics? (2) How do the skewness (pdf of the drought variable sequence) and the dependence ( $\rho$ ) influence the extreme values of the aforesaid parameters? (3) Is there any formula terms of the largest severity and the return period that may appear parallel to the flood frequency formula, commonly cited in the hydrological texts? The flood frequency formula is used for design of the runoff handling structure. The drought frequency formula should be usable for the design of water storage structures for the drought periods. Therefore, based on statistic theory and analysis methods, the research was carried out on above problems.

## 2 Stochastic Analysis of Extreme Drought Duration and Severity

### 2.1 Evaluation of Drought Probability Quantile Q

If a time-series  $x_i$  (modular form, mean=1 and standard deviation= $\sigma^x$ ) is truncated at the mean level  $x_m=1$ , then the event of excesses ( $x_i > x_m$ ) and deficits ( $x_i < x_m$ ) would emerge along the time axis. The truncation level can be assigned a probability quantile as  $q=P(x_i \leq x_m=1)$ , where q is the probability of drought

corresponding to the truncation level  $x_m=1$  and  $P(\dots)$  stands for the notation of probability. For normal pdf, the relationship between q and the truncation level can be obtained by standardizing the sequence  $x_i$  into  $z_i$  ( $z_i$  has mean=0 and  $\sigma_z=1$  and a standard normal distribution). Thus, for the normal pdf (coefficient of skewness,  $C_s=0$ ) the value of  $z_i$  (corresponding to  $x_m=1$ ) is 0, and  $q=p(x_i \leq 1)=P(z_i \leq 0)=0.5$  is obtained straightforwardly from the standard normal probability tables or through the following standard normal probability integral

$$q = p(z \leq z_m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_m} \exp(-0.5z^2) dz \quad (1)$$

The value of  $z_i$  corresponding to  $x_m=1$  for normal pdf is referred to as  $z_m(=0)$  in the text.

For the log-normal distribution, the value of the truncation level  $z_m$ , equivalent to the mean of the sequence  $x_m=1$ , can be worked out using the following explanation. If  $x_i$  is log-normal variate with a mean  $x_m$

and standard deviation  $\sigma^x$  (coefficient of variation= $C_v$ ),  $\ln(x_i)$  is normal with a mean  $\mu_1$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_1$ . The  $x_i$  sequence can be standardized as  $u_i = (x_i - x_m) / \sigma_x$ , where the  $U_i$  sequence has mean=0 and standard deviation=1.

Therefore  $x_i$  can be expressed in terms of mean ( $x_m$ ) and coefficient of variation ( $C_v$ ) as follows

$$x_i = x_m (1 + C_v \cdot u_i) \quad (2)$$

As the variate  $\ln(x_i)$  can also be standardized, the new normal standardized variate (designated as  $Z_i$ ) can be expressed as

$$z_i = \frac{\ln(x_i) - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{\ln[x_m(1 + c_v \cdot u_i)] - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \quad (3)$$

At the mean level of  $x_i$  sequence,  $u_i = 0$ , hence  $z_i$ , designated as  $z_{ml}$ , can be expressed using equation (3) as

$$z_{ml} = \frac{\ln(x_m) - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \quad (4)$$

It is known that moments of  $x_i$  and  $\ln(x_i)$  are related by the following relationships

$$\mu_1 = \ln(x_m) - 0.5\sigma_1^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = \ln(1 + C_v^2) \quad (6)$$

Using equations (5) and (6), equation (4) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ml} &= \frac{\ln x_m - (\ln x_m - 0.5\sigma_1^2)}{\sigma_1} \\ &= 0.5\sigma_1 = 0.5 \left[ \ln(1 + C_v^2) \right]^{0.5} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note the modular form, hence  $x_m=1$  in all the above relationships. Since  $z_{ml}$  is a standard normal variate, the value of the probability quantile of the equation  $q = P(z_i \leq z_{ml})$  can be obtained from the standard normal probability tables or computed by the numerical integration of the standard normal function shown in equation (1) with  $z_m$  replaced by  $z_{ml}$ . Looking at the structure of equation (7), it is obvious that  $z_{ml}$  is great than 0 for the mean of the sequence  $x_i$  (i.e.  $x_m=1$ ). The value of  $q$  for the log-normally distributed sequence will always be greater than 0.5 and is dependent on the  $C_v$  of the sequence. The effect of skewness is implicitly included in  $C_v$ . As for the log-normal pdf,  $C_s$  and  $C_v$  are functionally related through the following relationship

$$C_s = 3C_v + C_v^3 \quad (8)$$

The same analysis can be extended to the two-parameter gamma distribution. If  $\gamma_i$  is the standardized gamma variate [ $\gamma_i = (x_i - 1)/C_v$ ], then  $z_i$ , i.e. the standardized normal variate, is related approximately to  $\gamma_i$  through the following linkage relationship

$$z_i = \frac{6}{c_s} \left[ (0.5C_s \cdot \gamma_i + 1)^{0.333} - 1 \right] + \frac{C_s}{6} \quad (9)$$

For a two-parameter gamma variable equation (9) can be reduced to

$$z_i = 3C_v^{-1} \left[ x_i^{0.333} - 1 \right] + 0.333C_v \quad (10)$$

Therefore, a modular gamma variate can be transformed into a standard normal variate using equation (10) and at the mean level,  $x_m = 1$ ,  $z_{m\gamma} = 0.333 C_v$ . Note the value of  $z_i$  is designated as  $Z_{m\gamma}$  for  $x_i=1$ . That is, if the drought variable is gamma distributed, at the truncation level equal to the mean of the sequence the equivalent standard normal variates  $Z_{m\gamma}$  will be 0.0666, 0.1332, 0.2098, 0.2664 and 0.333 for  $C_v$  values of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1, respectively. For a normally distributed drought variable all of them will be equal to 0, regardless of the  $C_v$ . Therefore, the probability quantile for a gamma-distributed drought variable could be worked out for the desired value of  $C_v$  by consulting the standard normal probability tables or through integration of equation (1), replacing  $z_m$  by  $Z_{m\gamma}$ . Note here again that  $Z_{m\gamma} > 0$  for  $x_m=1$ . For example, for a gamma-distributed drought variable with  $C_v=0.4$ ,  $Z_{m\gamma} = 0.13$  and  $q = 0.55$  at the level of truncation equal to the mean level of the sequence, against 0.5 for a normally distributed drought variable, and 0.58 for a log-normally distributed variable. Values of  $q$  for normal, two-parameter gamma and log-normal pdfs for various  $C_v$  values are shown in Table 1.

## 2.2 Modeling Extreme Drought Duration

Any uninterrupted sequence of deficits can be regarded as a drought length (duration) equal to the number of deficits in the sequence, designated by  $L$  ( $L=1, 2, 3, \dots, j$ ). Each drought duration is associated with deficit sum  $D$ , i.e. the sum of the individual deficits,  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots$ , in the successive epochs of the spell. This deficit sum is termed as drought standardized sequence of the drought variable. The actual severity ( $D$ ) is functionally related to the standardized severity ( $S$ ) through the relationship  $D = \sigma_x \cdot S$  (Güven, 1983). The term  $S/L$  is termed the drought intensity or magnitude. One can expect  $n$  ( $n=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, i$ ) drought spells (runs) over a period of "T" years and correspondingly there will be  $n$  values of severities designated as  $D_1, D_2, D_3, \dots$  or  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots$ , in the standardized terms.

A designer is interested in the longest values of  $L$ , designated as  $L_T$ , and the largest value of  $S$ , designated as  $S_T$ . The period "T" means a sample size of  $T$  ( $T = 10, 20, \dots, 100$ ) years and can be regarded as equivalent to a return period of "T" years. The probabilistic relationship for  $L_T$  can be obtained by applying the theorem of extreme of random number of random variables. The computations for drought durations and form does not affect the magnitude of the duration ( $L$ )

**Table 1. Values of Q and R at the Mean Level for Different Probability Distributions**

Model	For all $C_v$	$C_v=0.2$		$C_v=0.4$		$C_v=0.6$		$C_v=0.8$		$C_v=1.0$		Remarks
Distribution	$n$	$\gamma$	ln	$\gamma$	ln	$\gamma$	ln	$\gamma$	ln	$\gamma$	ln	
$q \rho=0$	0.5	0.53	0.54	0.55	0.58	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.64	0.64	0.66	$n$ :normal $\gamma$ :gamma ln : log
Different $\rho$ , $C_v$ and $r$ value												
$\rho=0.1$	0.53	0.56	0.57	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.67	0.66	0.71	
$\rho=0.3$	0.60	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.70	0.69	0.74	0.71	0.78	
$\rho=0.5$	0.67	0.69	0.70	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.80	0.77	0.84	
$\rho=0.7$	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.86	0.83	0.89	

but does affect the magnitude of the severity (D). The mean has no effect on the severity as it is the difference between the magnitude of the drought variable and the mean itself. So, in the course of mathematical derivations for severity, the mean cancels out, leaving standardized severity, which can be transformed into actual severity D by the relationship mentioned above. The following relationships can therefore be deduced for  $L_T$  following the work of Guven (1983).

$$P(L_T \leq j) = p(n=0) + \sum_{i=1}^j [P(L \leq j)]^i \cdot p(n=i) \quad (11)$$

Note j takes on discrete values, P(...) stands for the notation of cumulative probability and p(...) for the probability of discrete events. Spells may evolve randomly or in a Markovian fashion and can be regarded to follow the Poisson law of probability. The geometric law of probability adequately simulates the drought length L. The following relationships can be written for probabilities of number of drought runs and length of runs.

$$p(n=i) = \frac{\exp[-Tq(1-r)] [Tq(1-r)]^i}{i!} \quad (12)$$

$$P(L_T \leq j) = 1 - r^{j-1}, P(L > j) = r^{j-1} \quad (13)$$

where q is the probability quantile defined earlier and r is the conditional probability of any year being a drought year, given that the past year is also a drought year. Substituting equations (12) and (13) into equation (11) and simplifying, one can get the following relationship

$$P(L_T \leq j) = \exp\{-Tq(1-r)[1 - P(L \leq j)]\} \quad (14)$$

The expected value of  $L_T$  can be obtained by using the formula

$$E(L_T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j p(L_T = j) \quad (15)$$

Since  $p(L_T = j) = P(L_T \leq j+1) - P(L_T \leq j)$ , one can therefore derive an expression for  $p(L_T = j)$  by

involving equations (13) and (14), and the resulting equation is as follows.

$$p(L_T = j) = \exp[-T(1-r)r^{j-1}] \{ \exp[Tq(1-r)^2 r^{j-1}] - 1 \} \quad (16)$$

The conditional probability, r, is related to the lag-one serial correlation coefficient  $\rho$ , through the following equation.

$$r = q + \frac{1}{2\pi q} \int_0^{\rho} \exp[-0.5z_0^2/(1+\tau)] (1-\tau^2)^{-1/2} d\tau \quad (17)$$

where  $\rho$  is the lag-one serial correlation coefficient of the Markov process and  $\tau$  is the dummy variable of integration. Equation (17) can be evaluated by a numerical integration procedure and values of r for given  $\rho$  at truncation level  $z_0$  ( $z_0 = z_m$  for normal pdf,  $Z_0 = Z_{m\gamma}$  for gamma pdf, and  $Z_0 = Z_{ml}$  for log-normal pdf) can be computed. It is evident from equation (17) that for independent processes  $r = q$ . It should be noted that if the  $x_i$  sequence is normal,  $\rho$  can be substituted as it is, but for log-normal pdf  $\rho$  should be converted to  $\rho_1$ , which is the right value in the normalized domain and  $Z_0 = Z_{ml}$ . The linkage relationship between  $\rho$  and  $\rho_1$  is of the following form

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\ln[\rho C_v^2 + 1]}{\ln(1 + C_v^2)} \quad (18)$$

For a gamma pdf,  $\rho$  remains unchanged but  $Z_{m\gamma}$  replaces  $Z_0$ . Values of q and r for various pdfs,  $C_v$  and  $\rho$  values are shown in Table 1. It is obvious from Table 1 that q for the normal pdf is constant and is equal to 0.5 regardless of the coefficient of variation, whereas for log-normal pdf it is dependent on the  $C_v$

and in turn on  $C_s$ . Values of  $r$  are dependent on  $\rho$  for normal pdf and on  $C_v$  and  $\rho$  for gamma and log-normal pdfs. In all cases log-normal distribution tends to have a greater value of  $q$  and  $r$  for identical values of  $\rho$  and  $C_v$  in the sequences of the drought variable, indicating that extreme values of the drought duration are going to be larger under the log-normal structure of data representing the drought. With the above procedural details equation (15) can be solved numerically in order to evaluate  $E(L_T)$  for the desired return period "T" in years.

### 2.3 Modeling Extreme Drought Severities

The probabilistic relationship for  $S_T$  can be obtained parallel to that for  $L_T$ , i.e. equation (11), and can be expressed as follows

$$P(S_T \leq Y) = p(n=0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(S \leq Y)^i p(n=i) \tag{19}$$

in which  $Y$  can take on values such as 0, 0.1, 0.2, ..., 60 ( $Y=60$  represents an extremely large value) and these values are dimensionless. Plugging the expression for  $p(n=i)$ , indicated by equation (12), into equation (19), one obtains the following

$$P(S_T \leq Y) = \exp \{ -Tq(1-r)[1 - P(S \leq Y)] \} \tag{20}$$

The term  $S$  denotes severity (based on the standardized sequences) or the sum of the deficits in each epoch of the drought spell, which can be approximated as normally distributed in view of the central limit theorem. The pdf of  $S$  can therefore be written in the following form

$$P(S \leq Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_s} \int_0^Y \exp \left[ -0.5 \left( \frac{S - \mu_s}{\sigma_s} \right)^2 \right] ds \tag{21}$$

In a drought spell consisting of  $k$  consecutive years ( $L=k$ ), the expressions for the mean,  $\mu_s$ , and standard deviation,  $\sigma_s$ , of severity can be written as follows

$$\mu_s = K(\mu_t) \tag{22}$$

$$\sigma_s^2 = k \cdot \sigma_t^2 \left( \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} - \frac{2\rho(1 - \rho^k)}{k(1 - \rho)^2} \right) \tag{23}$$

where  $\mu_t$  and  $\sigma_t$  are the mean and standard deviation of the individual deficits, and  $\rho$  is as defined earlier.

Parallel to the expression for  $E(L_T)$  in equation (15), the expression for  $E(S_T)$  can be written as

$$E(S_T) = \int_0^{Y=\infty} S_T f(S_T) dS_T \tag{24}$$

Although the pdf of  $S_T$  is not known, equation (24)

can still be solved numerically. In the numerical procedure, first, equation (21) is integrated numerically in order to evaluate  $P(S \leq Y)$ , and plugging this value

into equation (20) yields the estimate of  $P(S_T \leq Y)$ . The value of  $Y$  can be allowed to range from 0 to 60 [infinity in equation (24) is approximated by 60] with an increment of 0.1. Let these values of  $Y$  be designated as  $Y_0=0, Y_1=0.1, Y_2=0.2, \dots$ , etc., equation (24) can be expressed in the numerical integral form as follows

$$E(S_T) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} ((Y_j + y_{j+1}) / 2 [P(S_T \leq Y_{j+1}) - P(S_T \leq Y_j)]) \tag{25}$$

In which  $n_1=60/0.1$ , and  $Y_{600}=60$ .

Since values of  $E(S_T)$  are standardized and in non-dimensional form, the actual drought severity designated as  $D_T$  can be expressed as

$$D_T = E(S_T) \cdot \sigma_x = E(S_T) \cdot C_v \cdot x_m = x_m - x_m + E(S_T)\sigma_x \tag{26}$$

the last portion of the above equation can be manipulated as

$$D_T = x_m - C_v^{-1} \cdot \sigma_x + E(S_T)\sigma_x = x_m + F_T \cdot \sigma_x \tag{27}$$

where  $F_T$  can be called the drought frequency factor and be written in equation form as

$$F_T = [E(S_T) - C_v^{-1}] \tag{28}$$

It can be seen that equation (27) is analogous to the flood frequency formula  $Q_T = Q_m + K_T \sigma_Q$  commonly cited in hydrological texts.

### 3. Application

The Dongzhou reservoir is located at the Chaiwen River of the Dawen Basin within the downstream of the Yellow River, which controls the area of 189 km<sup>2</sup>. The main water supply is for irrigation as well as for drinking water. The design irrigation area is 8667 hm<sup>2</sup>. The average annual runoff within the controlled area amounts to 39×10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>,  $C_v=0.40$ . The flows tend to be log-normally distributed with a negligible level of carryover ( $\rho$ ). The reservoir should meet the demand 1 in 100 years drought. Therefore there is a need to estimate the volume of water to be stored for designing the reservoir. The solution of the problem starts by

estimation  $q$  for  $C_v=0.40$  ( $\sigma_x = 16 \times 10^6 m^3$ ) in equation (1) and using the values of  $q$  and  $r$  ( $r=q$  for independent flows) in equations (15) and (16). The value of  $q$  equals to 0.58, and  $E(L_T)=7.15$ , then  $F_T = [7.15 - 0.40^{-1}] = 4.65$ ,

$$D_T = 0.39 + 4.65 \times 0.16 = 1.134 \times 10^8 m^3.$$

Had the discharge is normal distribution, then

$$q = 0.5, E(L_T) = 5.78, D_T = 92 \times 10^6 m^3.$$

discharge is gamma distribution, the calculated results are  $q = 0.55$ ,  $E(L_T) = 6.74$ , and  $D_T = 1.07 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ . When  $\rho \neq 0$ , if  $\rho = 0.5$ , then, for log-normal discharge,  $q = 0.58$ ,  $r = 0.73$ ,  $E(L_T) = 10.63$ ,  $D_T = 1.69 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ , for normal distribution,  $q = 0.5$ ,  $r = 0.67$ ,  $E(L_T) = 8.57$ ,  $D_T = 1.36 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ , for gamma distribution of discharge,  $q = 0.55$ ,  $r = 0.71$ ,  $E(L_T) = 9.92$ ,  $D_T = 1.43 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ . The above calculation mainly demonstrates the role of skewness and the carryover effects in the storage needs during drought periods.

Therefore, when it is difficult to identify the pdf of the drought variable, the assumption of log-normality allows a conservative design, which is a desirable feature. The assumption of normality leads to the requirement for least storage under identical conditions of  $C_v$  and of the dependence structure of the rainfall or the runoff sequences.

#### 4. Conclusions

The results of the present analysis can be stated as follows:

1. The non-normal pdf of the drought variable influences the behavior of  $E(L_T)$  and  $E(S_T)$  significantly. The log-normal pdf tends to lengthen the values of  $E(L_T)$  and enlarge the values of  $E(S_T)$  for identical conditions of  $C_v$ ,  $C_s$ ,  $\rho$  and  $T$ , followed by the gamma and normal pdfs. The effect of skewness is accordingly reflected in the increased values of the above parameters in relation to the normal pdf.

2. For the purpose of designing storage structures for drought periods, one can safely assume  $E(S_T) \approx E(L_T)$ , or the drought intensity  $\approx 1$  (in the standardized terms)

for  $C_v=0.2\sim 1$ ,  $\rho=0\sim 7$ , and a return period of 25~1000 years. The above approximation leads to a conservative design for water storage facilities during drought periods.

3. The skewness and the persistence in the sequences of the drought variable enhance the extremal drought durations and the severities. In particular, the effect of skewness on the extreme drought durations cannot be regarded as insignificant, contrary to the existing belief in the hydrological literatures.

4. A drought frequency formula can be derived to estimate the drought severity in relation to the return period, analogous to the flood frequency formula. The drought frequency factor,  $F_T$ , is equal to  $[E(S_T)-C_v^{-1}]$  and can easily be computed by knowing pdf,  $C_v$  and  $\rho$  of the drought variable, and the desired return period "T".

This research has been supported by IDSS developing for water resources optimum allocation and management {20025700003} and by Innovatory found in Henan Province, China.

Correspondence to: [Zhenmin Zhou](mailto:Zhenmin Zhou)  
20 Zhenghua Road  
Zhengzhou, Henan 450045, China  
Telephone: 0086-0371-5790658  
Cellular phone: 13015542843  
E-mail: [zzm1022@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:zzm1022@yahoo.com.cn)

#### References

- Bogardi JJ, et al. Practical generations of synthetic rainfall event time series in a semi-arid climatic zone. J Hydrol 1998;103:357-73.
- Guyen O. A simplified semiempirical approach to probabilities of extreme hydrologic droughts. WRR 1983;19:441-53.
- Song H, Zhang S. Basic Analysis on Regional Drought Statistic Features. Advances in Water Science J. China. 1994;3.