Tilapia Heat Shock Protein: Molecular Cloning and Characterization

Iman M.K. Abumourad¹, P. Nie²

1- Department of hydrobiology, National Research Center, Cairo, Egypt.

2- State Key Laboratory of Freashwater Ecology and Biotechnology, and Laboratory of Fish Diseases, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hubei provience, China.

Abstract

A new member of heat-shock protein family (HSP 9) identified in Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* immunized by killed *Flavobacterium columnarae*. Suppressive subtractive hybridization (SSH) was utilized to construct a cDNA library and a semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis used to examine HSP9 immune gene regulation. *O. niloticus* heat shock protein (ONHSP9) cDNA composed of 1228 bps with a 1167 bps open reading frame, the predicted gene product is 389 amino acid with molecular weight of 42.1 kDa, it shares 92% similarity with that of *Danio rerio*. Compared to ß-actin, the semi-quantitative RT-PCR revealed that ONHSP9 expressed in tissues of stimulated fish as up-regulated gene suggesting that this member of heat-shock genes is probably involved in the general immune response against the pathogenic bacteria. [Nature and Science. 2009;7(2):46-57]. (ISSN: 1545-0740).

Keywords: Tilapia, cDNA library, Immune genes, Heat-shock protein.

Coresponding author: Iman Abumourad Email: imankam_2@yahoo.com

Introduction

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are widely distributed in nature, and are highly conserved proteins among prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Lindquist, et al., 1986). They are known to be involved in immune responses to many bacterial and parasitic pathogens (Kaufmann et al., 1990, 1994), and in the pathogenesis of some types of autoimmune diseases (Mo"ller, 1991). HSPs have long been suspected to act as danger signals (Young et al., 1990 and Van Eden et al., 1988). Initially described as a family of intracellular proteins essential for various vital cell functions (Raulet, 1989 and Ohga et al, 1990), HSPs have later been demonstrated to stimulate potently immune responses. HSPs are attractive as danger signals because they are evolutionarily highly conserved, constitutively present in virtually all body cells and upregulated and released in response to cellular stress. In infections, this release could support induction of immunity. HSPs release, however, is not specific to infections but also occurs in response to physical stimuli, such as heat or irradiation, and in noninfectious inflammatory diseases (Ohga et al, 1990); so, members of the HSPs family are candidate molecules that potentially signal tissue damage or cellular stress to the immune system. The expression of HSPs is up-regulated rapidly during several forms of cellular stress and HSPs can be released from damaged tissue. Thus, in recent years, substantial interest has focused on the interplay of HSPs with the immune system (Wallin, et al., 2002).

Bacterial infection induces changes in the expression of host cell genes. A global knowledge of these modifications should help to better understand the bacteria / host cell interactions. The host response to bacterial stimulation represents a complex coordination of gene products, which are precisely turned to activate or inactivate specific pathways and finally counteract the effects of the bacterial antigen. *Flavobacterium columnare* has been recognized as a worldwide pathogen of freshwater fish, it is the etiological agent of columnaris disease, characterized by gill necrosis, greyish white spots on the body, skin erosion, and fin rot (Annemie *et al.*, 1997).

With the use of large-scale screening of mRNA changes, it is possible to define changes in gene expression that underlie the host response to bacterial pathogens and to gain specific insights into the molecular nature of the host pathways that govern bacterial pathogenesis. Subtractive cDNA hybridization has been a powerful approach to identify and isolate cDNAs of differentially expressed genes (Tsoi *et al.*, 2004, Chang *et al.*, 2005). Numerous cDNA subtraction methods have been reported in general, they involve hybridization of cDNA from one population (tester) to excess of cDNA from other population (driver) and then separation of the unhybridized fraction (target) from hybridized common sequences. SSH is used to selectively amplify target cDNA fragments (differentially expressed) and simultaneously suppress non target DNA amplification. In this regard, to obtain a more comprehensive view of the tilapia response and to identify the immune genes and their expression in response to *Flavobacterium columnarae*, a model of stimulation, we used the subtractive suppressive hybridization and the semiquantitative RT-PCR methods.

Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* were obtained in September 2007 in Wuhan, Hubei province, China. The fish were acclimatized in an aerated freshwater tank at room temperature under natural photoperiod for a week. They were stimulated for four days each with intraperitoneal injection of 10⁷ *Flavobacterium columnari*, killed using 0.5% formaldehyde in PBS. A healthy fish was injected with PBS and used as a control. Two stimulated tilapia, 24.5 cm and 27 cm in fork length were selected with the gills, liver; spleen, head kidney and intestine were dissected out for the construction of the infected group subtracted library. One healthy fish 23.7 cm in fork length was used also for the subtracted library with the mentioned organs dissected out. All samples were stored in -70°C for further use

Total RNA and poly A⁺ RNA isolation

Total RNA was prepared for RT-PCR detection using Trizol Reagent (Invetrogen, USA). About 1.5 g of mixed tissues containing approximately equal amount of different formally mentioned tissues from both infected and uninfected fish were used as tester and driver samples, respectively. The mRNA was then isolated from total RNA using the poly Atract Isolation System (Promega). Concentration of mRNA was determined using a spectrophotometer.

Driver and tester Preparation (SMART cDNA synthesis)

Driver cDNA was synthesized from 2 μ g. each of different tilapia poly (A) RNA using the Great Lengths manufacture's instructions. First- and second-strand cDNA synthesis and bluntending of DNA ends by T4 DNA polymerase were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting cDNA pellet was dissolved in 50 μ l of deionized water and digested by *Rsa*I in a 50 μ l reaction mixture containing 15 units of the enzyme for 6 h. at 37°C. The cDNAs were then extracted, precipitated, by column using the DNA binding and washing buffers, and resuspended in 5.5 μ l of deionized water.

Tester was Prepared as described above for the driver. Digested tester cDNA (1 μ l) was diluted in 5 μ l of H2O. The diluted tester cDNA (2 μ l) was then ligated to 2 ml of adapter 1 and adapter 2 (10 mM) and 6ml ligation mixture (2 μ l 5x ligation buffer, 1 μ l T4 enzyme ligase, 3 μ l H2o) in separate ligation reactions in a total volume of 10 μ l at 16°C overnight, using 0.5 units of T4 DNA ligase (Life Technologies) in the buffer supplied from the manufacturer. After ligation, 1 μ l of 0.2 M EDTA was added and the samples were heated at 70°C for 5 min to inactivate the ligase and stored at 20°C.

Analysis of ligation:

Ligation efficiency was examined using beta-actin forward primer (1μ) and reverse (1μ) for tester 1 (ligated adaptor 1) and tester 2 (ligated adaptor 2) in a PCR mix. Of 22 μ l. to verify that a proper quantity of the cDNAs has adaptors on the both ends.

Suppression Subtractive Hybridization and PCR Amplification

1.5 microliters of Rsa 1 digested driver dscDNA was added to each of two tubes containing 2μ l of adaptor 1- and adapter 2-ligated tester cDNA. The samples were mixed and resuspended in 1.0 μ l of 4X hybridization buffer (CLONTECH). The solution was overlaid with mineral oil, the DNAs were denatured (1.5 min, 98°C), and then allowed to anneal for 10 h at 68°C. After this first hybridization, the two samples were combined in a second hybridization and a fresh portion of heat-denatured driver (1 μ l) in 1.0 ml of hybridization buffer in a total volume of 4 μ l was added. The sample was allowed to hybridize overnight at 68°C. The final hybridization was then diluted in 200 ml of dilution buffer (CLONTECH).

For each subtraction, we performed two PCR amplifications. The primary PCR was conducted in 25 ml. It contained 1 μ l of diluted, subtracted cDNA, 1 μ l of PCR primer P1 (10 μ M), and 24 ml of PCR master mixture prepared using the PCR-select TM cDNA subtraction kit (CLONTECH). PCR was performed with the following parameters: 94°C for 5min; 30 cycles

at (94°C for 30 sec; 66°C for 30 sec; 72°C for 1.5 min); and a final extension at 72°C for 10min. The amplified products were diluted 9-fold in deionized water. Some of the product (1 μ l) was then used as a template in secondary PCR using the nested PCR primer PN1 and PN2 and performed with the following conditions, 94°C for 5min; 13 cycles at (94°C for 30 sec; 68°C for 30 sec; 72°C for 1.5 min); and a final extension at 72°C.

PCR analysis of subtraction efficiency

Beta-actin was used as a positive control to confirm the reduced relative abundance of SSH libraries following the PCR selection procedure. The beta-actin gene primers were the conseved designed according to domains in Tilapia nilotica, forward: CGAGGGTTATGCCTTGCC and reverse: TGTAGGTGGTTTCGTGGATT. PCR condition was 94°C for 5min; 35 cycles at (94°C for 30 sec; 56°C for 30 sec; 72°C for 40 sec); and a final extension at 72° C . 5 µl PCR product from each reaction for 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 cycles were represented on 2% agarose/EtBr gel.

Cloning and dot blot hybridization:

Products from the secondary PCRs were inserted directly into pGEM-T using a pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega), which was then transformed into Escherichia coli and screened by the the colonieswhich were selected and amplified with nested PCR primer 1

(5'-TCGAGCGGCCGGCCGGGCAGGT-3') and nested PCR primer 2

(5'- AGGGTGGTCGCGGCCGAGGT-3'). 3 μ l of PCR product were denatured in 3 ml of 0.5 M NaOH. Two identical H-bond N⁺ nylon membranes were prepared by loading 1 μ l of denatured PCR product of each clone on the same location, after 5 min. neutralization in 0.5 M Tris-Hcl (pH7.5), the membranes were baked for 30 min at 120 °C to cross link the cDNAs.

Forward-subtracted cDNAs were digested with Rsa1 and labeled as probes with digoxigenin using a DIG High Prime system (Boehringer Mannheim) by following the manufacture's instruction. Positive clones were sequenced using the dedoxy chain sequencer (ABI Applied Biosystems Model 337).

Sequence homology, alignment and phylogenetic analysis

The sequences were compared with the sequences in the database using the BLASTX program at the web server of the National biotechnology information. Protein prediction was performed using software at the ExPASY Molecular Biology Sever (http://expasy.pku.edu.cn) and

SAPS program (Statistical Analysis of Protein Sequences). The open reading frame of *Oreochromis niloticus* HSP9 was searched using the NCBI server ORF finder. Sequences were aligned, employing the distance matrix; a neighbor-joining tree was constructed using Clustal W (version 1.83).

Tissue specific expression of HSP9 by RT-PCR

Total RNA from different mixed tissues was treated with DNase, 2 μ g RNA was reverse transcribed with M-MLV reverse transcriptase using hexanucleotides (Promega) to prim the reaction. The first strand cDNA was used as templates for RT-PCR with a pair of HSP specific primers designed forward 5'- ACAGTGCGGCGGATAAGG-3' and reverse: 5'ACGGCTGAACAAGACCAGAATA3'.

The PCR cycling parameters were one cycle of 94 ° C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94° C for 30 s, 58° C for 30 s and 72 ° C for 1 min, with a final extenssion step of 72° C for 10 min. The RT-PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel with PCR products derived from beta actin of the infected and non infected tilapia as controls.

Results

The electrophoresis analysis of ligation efficiency showed that tester and β -actin are about the same intensity (Fig. 1)



Fig.(1): ligation analysis efficiency (Lane 1, 3: Tester; lane 2, 4 :β-actin and M: marker)

Subtracted cDNA library

Subtracted cDNA library specific for immunized tilapia fish were evaluated by PCR analysis using β actin gene after the subtractive hybridization was performed (Fig.2). The subtraction efficiency was noticed as shown in fig. 2. The β actin product could be observed at 15 cycles for the unsubtracted cDNAs, while the amplified product was seen at 30 cycles in the subtracted cDNAs.

The abundance of β actin was theoretically calculated as 2¹⁵ between the unsubtracted and subtracted cDNAs, this indicates the enrichment of cdNAs specific for tilapia immunized by *F. columnarae* about 2¹⁵ times by SSH.



Fig.(2): Reduction of β actin abundance by SSH, PCR analysis was performed on secondary PCR product, 15 cycles(Lane 1,6); 20 cycles (lane 2,7); 25 cycles (Lane 3,8); 30 cycles(Lane 4,9); 35 cycles (Lane 5,10); M=DNA marker.

Isolation of differentially expressed clones

The comparison of pooled RNA samples from *Oreochromis niloticus* immunized by *Flavobacterium columnarae* against unimmunized healthy *Oreochromis niloticus* yielded multiple differentially expressed clones as revealed by dot blot analysis (Fig.3).



Fig.3: Screening of colonies with differential cDNA fragments from subtractive cDNA library by dot blot analysis. Two identical nylon membranes in which each colony was dotted in the same place were hybridized with subtractive cDNA library probd(s) and control cDNA library probs(c), respectively.

Identification of cDNA sequence of ONHSP9

Blast queries of the sequenced nucleotides showed that some of the sequenced genes were immune- related genes, HSP gene was identified as acute- phase reactant. The deduced amino acid sequence of ONHSP9 (Fig.4) with the genebank accession no. GH159106 contains 1228 nucleotide bps with 1020 bps open reading frame encoding a protein of 389 aa and molecular weight 41.2 kda. A signal peptide was predicted using Signal P 3.0, the signal peptide probability = 0.026, signal anchor probability = 0.126 and the Max cleavage site probability: 0.017 between residues 44 and 45.

1SVVAAEVLLSILDHVLNVIFTEASLDHNLPLAACAFVLGRHMDDAVGVDVECDLDLRDSA61RGWRDSYQSKLTQQLVVCCHLSLTLAHFDLHLSLSISCCGEHLALLGGNCGVPVDELGKG121TTQSLDTQRQWSHIQKQHIGYIASQNTTLDGCSNSDSFIRVHRLAGGSAKQILDCLLNLG181HACHASHQHLSDVSLGHFSILHGLLARSHSAADKVSHNTFKLSTGLHVKMFGTGGVHSQV241GEVDVSLQRGQLTLCLLSSLSDSLKSHVVLHHVYTRLSLELLDNVSERCSKSSPPGSVTI301GGLHLKTPFCISRMRCQTYLHLDHIQHYLILVLFSRRPATTVSLSTQSSNSTAGGARNSR361IPVRLESIMAYGSVKLRQSNQGAVNLGSLHHH

Fig.4: Deduced amino acid sequences of ONHSP9.

Alignment analysis and phylogenetic tree construction

A Basic Genebee ClustalW 1.83 was performed on a variety of HSP genes from *Homo* sapiens, Pongo abilii, Bos Taurus, Equus caballus and Danio rerio, GeneBank accession nos. AAH00478, NP_001126860, NP_001029696, XP_001502580, AAH44175 and GH159106 (Fig. 5). A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on six aligned amino acids sequences using Clustal W program (Fig. 6).

Homo Pongo Bos Equus Danio Oreochromis Homo Pongoab Bos Equus Danio Oreochromis

Homo Pongo Bos Equus



Danio Oreochromis Homo Pongo Bos Equus Danio Oreochromis Homo Pongo Bos Eauus Danio Oreochromis Homo Pongo Bos Equus Danio Oreochromis Homosapiens Pongoabelii Bostaurus Equuscaballus Daniorerio Oreochromisniloticus Homo Pongo Bos Equus Danio Oreochromis Homo Pongo Bos Eauus Danio Oreochromis

Homo

YATKRLIGRRFDDAEVQKDLKNVPYKIVRASNGDAWLEVHGKMYSPSQAGAFILIKMKET AACAFVLGRHMDDAVG----VDVECDLDLRDSARGWRDSY--.: .* : : ::**: ** :* AENYLGRTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSOROATKDAGOISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AESYLGQSVKNAVVTVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQIAGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKT<mark>Q</mark>D -QSKLTQQLVVCCHLSLTLAHFDLHLSLSIS . : : : : KVIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KVIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGG-TFDISILEIOKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDOALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGGGTFDIS<mark>V</mark>LEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEGFDQHLLRHIVKEFKKESGVD CCGEHLA<mark>LLGG</mark>NCGVPVD<mark>E</mark>LG<mark>KG</mark>TTQSLD<mark>T</mark>-----QRQWSHIQKQ<mark>HI</mark>GYIASQNTTLD * .:.: *: **. : :.: . : : : LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDSSGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVTD LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDSSGPKHLNMKLSRAQFEGIVTD LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVTD LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVAD LMKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSLQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRSQFEGIVAD GCSNSDSFIRVHRLAGGSAKQILDCLLN-.:. :: **:. : :: ..: . LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV LIRRTVAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVLLVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKSVNPDEAV -LGHACHASHQHLSDVSLGHFSILHGLLARSHSAADKVSHNTFKLSTGLHVKMFGTGGVH AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD SOVGEVDVSLORGOLTLCLLSSLSDSLKSHVVLHHVYTRLS MISASRAAAAR---LVGAAASRGPTAARHODSWNGLSHEAFRLVSRRDYASEAIKGAVVG MISASRAVAAR---LVGAAASRGPTAARYQDGWNGLSHEAFRIVSRRDYASEAIKGAVVG MISASRAAV<mark>S</mark>R---<mark>F</mark>VG<mark>T</mark>AASRGPTAARHQDGWNGLSHEAFRIVSRRDYASEAIKGAVVG MISVSRAAAAR---LVGAAASRGPTAARHKDGWNGLSHEAFRIVSRRDYASEAIKGAVVG MLSVSRTARLVRNVSCSQKTSSGVSDLIKKACLNGWTQKTLQTAARRHYASEAIRGAVIG IDLGTTNSCVAVMEGKRAKVLENAEGARTTPSVVAFTADGERLVGMPAKRQAVTNPNNTF IDLGTTNSCVAVMEGKQAKVLENAEGARTTPSVVAFTADGERLVGMPAKRQAVTNPNNTF IDLGTTNSCVAVMEGKOAKVLENAEGARTTPSVVAFTADGERLVGMPAKROAVTNPNNTF IDLGTTNSCVAVMEGKQAKVLENAEGARTTPSVVAFTADGERLVGMPAKRQAVTNPNNTF IDLGTTNSCVAVMDGKNAKVLENAEGARTTPSVVAFTSDGERLVGMPAKRQAVTNPNNTL -SVVAAE<mark>V</mark>LLSILDH<mark>VL</mark>N--VIFT--E<mark>A</mark>SLDH<mark>N</mark>LPL *: :**: ** . : YATKRLIGRRYDDPEVQKDIKNVPFKIVRASNGDAWVEAHGKLYSPSQIGAFVLMKMKET YATKRLIGRRYDDPEVQKDIKNVPFKIVRASNGDAWVEAHGKLYSPSQIGAFVLMKMKET YATKRLIGRRYDDPEVQKDIKNVPFKIVRASNGDAWVEAHGKLYSPSQIGAFVLMKMKET YATKRLIGRRYDDPEVQKDIKNVPFKIVRASNGDAWVEAHGKLYSPSQIGAFVLMKMKET YATKRLIGRRFDDAEVQKDLKNVPYKIVRASNGDAWLEVHGKMYSPSQAGAFILIKMKET AACAFVLGRHMDDAVG----VDVECDLDLRDSARGWRDSY--••**• ** • * : * • • AENYLGRTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED ${\tt AENYLGHTAKNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQISGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKSED$ AESYLGQSVKNAVVTVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGQIAGLNVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDKTQD -QSKLTQQL<mark>V</mark>VCCHLSLTLAHFDLHLSLSIS . : : : KVIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KVIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGGGTFDISILEIQKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDQALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGG-TFDISILEIOKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEDFDOALLRHIVKEFKRETGVD KIIAVYDLGGGTFDISVLEIOKGVFEVKSTNGDTFLGGEGFDOHLLRHIVKEFKKESGVD CCGEHLALLGGNCGVPVDELGKGTTQSLDT-------QRQWSHIQKQHIGYIASQNTTLD .:.: *: **. :.: : * * . : : : :

LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDSSGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVTD

LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDSSGPKHLNMKLSRAQFEGIVTD Pongo LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVTD Bostaurus Equus LTKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSVQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRAQFEGIVAD LMKDNMALQRVREAAEKAKCELSSSLQTDINLPYLTMDASGPKHLNMKLTRSQFEGIVAD Danio Oreochromis GCSNSDSFI<mark>RV</mark>HRL<mark>A</mark>GGSAKQILDCLLN-. . . . **:. * : :: ..: LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV Homo Pongo LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV Bos LIRRTIAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVILVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKAVNPDEAV Equus Danio LIRRTVAPCQKAMQDAEVSKSDIGEVLLVGGMTRMPKVQQTVQDLFGRAPSKSVNPDEAV Oreochromis -LGHACHASHQHLSDVSLGHFSILHGLLARSHSAADKVSHNTFKLSTGLHVKMFGTGGVH : :: **.:.. AIGAAIOGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSOVFSTAAD Homo AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD Pongo Bos AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD Equus Danio AIGAAIQGGVLAGDVTDVLLLDVTPLSLGIETLGGVFTKLINRNTTIPTKKSQVFSTAAD Oreochromis SQVGEVDVSLQRGQLTLCLLSLSDSLKSHVVSLHHVYTRLS * . :: .: *::* ** .:: *:*:* GQTQVEIKVCQGEREMAGDNKLLGQFTLIGIPP-APRGVPQIEVTFDIDANGIVHVSAKD Homo Pongo GQTQVEIKVCQGEREMAGDNKLLGQFTLIGIPP-APRGVPQIEVTFDIDANGIVHVSAKD GQTQVEIKVCQGEREMAGDNKLLGQFTLIGIPP-APRGVPQIEVTFDIDANGIVHVSAKD Bos GQTQVEIKVCQGEREMAGDNKLLGQFTLIGIPP-APRGVPQIEVTFDIDANGIVHVSAKD Equus Danio GQTQVEIKVCQGEREMATDNKVLGQFTLVGIPP-APRGV-QIEVTFDIDANGIVHVSAKD Oreochromis -LELLDNVSERCSKSSPPGSVTIGGLHLKTPFCISRMRCQTYLHLDHIQHY *..*: *: : * ::: :. : ::. KGTGREQQIVIQSSGGLSKDDIENMVKNAEKYAEEDRRKKERVEAVNMAEGIIHDTETKM Homo Pongo KGTGREOOIVIOSSGGLSKDDIENMVKNAEKYAEEDRRKKERVEAVNMAEGIIHDTETKM KGTGREQQIVIQSSGGLSKDDIENMVKNAEKYAEEDRRKKERVEAVNMAEGIIHDTETKM Bos Equus KGTGREQQIVIQSSGGLSKDDIENMVKNAEKYAEEDRRKKERVEAVNMAEGIIHDTETKM KGTGREOOIVIOSSGGLSKDDIENMVKNAEKYAEEDRRRKDRVEAVNMAEGIVHDTESKM Danio Oreochromis ----LILVLFSRRPATTVSLSTQSSNSTAGGARNSRIPVRLESI-*: * : * : : : * : Ξ. . : . . .: * EEFKDQLPADECNKLKEEISKMRELLARKDSETGENIRQAASSLQQASLKLFEMAYKKMA Homo Pongo EEFKDQLPADECNKLKEEISKMRELLARKDSETGENIRQAASSLQQASLKLFEMAYKKMA EEFKDOLPADECNKLKEEISKMRELLARKDSETGENIROAASSLOOASLKLFEMAYKKMA Bos Equus EEFKDQLPADECNKLKEEISKMRELLARKDSETGENIRQAASSLQQASLKLFEMAYKKMA EEFKDQLPADECNKLKEEISKVRELLSRKDTETGENIKQAATSLQQASLKLFEMAYKKMA Danio Oreochromis MAYGSVK *** .: SEREGSGSSGTGEQKEDQKEEKQ Homo Pongo SEREGSGSSGTGEQKEDQKEEKQ SEREGSGSSGTGEQKDNQKEEKQ Bos SEREGSGSSSTGEOKEDQKEEK-Equus Daniorerio SEREGSSGSSSSGEAGEKKEGQQ Oreochromis LRQSNQGAVNLGSL--.

Fig. 5. The alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of HSP gene from human, Pongo abilii, Bos Taurus and Danio rerio, GeneBank (accession nos. AAH00478, NP_001126860, NP_001029696, XP_001502580, AAH44175 and GH159106), identical amino acids are indicated with an asterisk, similar amino acids are shaded. Dashes are gaps generated by alignment.



Fig.6: phylogenetic tree showing the relationship among the HSPs from different vertebrates

Expression of HSP9 in Oreochromis niloticus

ONHSP9 expression studied by RT-PCR in mixed tissues from infected and uninfected control fish was detected only in the infected tilapia (Fig.7).



Fig.7: Tissue specific expression revealed by RT-PCR in *Oreochromis niloticus*; $1=\beta$ actin, 2=immunized fish, 3= unimmunized fish.

Discussion

Suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) has led to the enrichment of specific expression genes as the non-specific expression of beta actin was reduced to about 2¹⁵ time lower after subtraction, suggesting that non-specific expressed genes have been avoided and immunization-specific genes have been enriched efficiently in this study. The identification of new genes involved in the bacterial immunization provides the foundation for further research on the immunoilogical interaction between host and the bacteria, In this study, cDNA library construction in case of O. niloticus immunized by F. columnarae introduced some new immune genes, one of them was Heat shock protein 9. HSP9 is a member of HSPs family which are intracellular proteins that can be released in various forms of cellular stress (Andreas et al., 2005). HSPs, which are expressed constitutively in all cells, are also essential for several, important cellular processes, such as protein folding, protection of proteins from denaturation or aggregation, and facilitation of protein transport through membrane channels (Hartl et al., 1996). A wide variety of stressful stimuli, such as heat shock, ultraviolet radiation, and viral or bacterial infections, induce an increase in the intracellular synthesis of HSPs, clearly, HSPs play an important role in antimicrobial, as well as autoimmune, responses and have potent effects in inducing antigen-specific immunity to bound material upon immunization of animals (Wallin, et al., 2002), thus it is important to identify and characterize these genes which may help best understanding the process of host-pathogen relationship. ONHSP9

identified and characterized as it is composed of 1228 bps with a 73bps 5'UTR, a 135 3'UTR and 1020 bps open reading frame, the predicted gene product is 389 amino acid with molecular weight of 42.1 kDa. A signal peptide was predicted and suggested that HSP9 is a non secreatory protein. ClustalW alignment performed on variety of HSPs, ONHSP shares overall identity of 62.6% - 92% with other known vertebrates. Using Clustal W, a phylogenetic tree was constructed to demonstrate the distance similarity. Tissue expression of ONHSP9 was examined by RT-PCR and demonstrated that it is constitutively expressed in the immunized fish in contrast to unimmunized fish, thus it is suggested to be included in the immune response against *F. columnare*.

It could be concluded that killed *F. columnarae* did stimulate the immune response in the host fish, tilapia, some immune genes could be identified and characterized, one of these genes is HSP9, which may have a role and included in the immune response to bacterial infection, thus the present study provides some information for further research on the structure of HSP9 gene as an immune gene in relation to bacterial infection.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a project grant awarded to prof. P. Nie from the National Natural science Foundation of China.

References

- Andreas L., Dirk B., Frank E., Daniel E., Svenja E., Minka B., Emma Hamilton-W., Sabine S., Achim H., Jürgen F., Arne von Bonin and Christian K.(2005).Heat Shock Protein 60 Is Released in Immune-Mediated Glomerulonephritis and Aggravates Disease: *In Vivo* Evidence for an Immunologic Danger Signal, J Am Soc Nephrol. 16: 383-391.
- Annemie, D., Freddy, H., Andluca., D.(1997). Shieh medium supplemented with tobramycin for selective isolation of *Flavobacterium columnare* (*Flexibactercolumnaris*) from diseased fish. J. clinical microbiology, 322–324.
- Chang M. X., Nie P., Liu G. Y., Song Y. and Gao Q. (2005). Identification of immune genes in grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella* in response to infection of the parasitic copepod *Sinergasilus major*.J. Paracitol. Res. 96: 224-229.
- Hartl, F.U. (1996) Molecular chaperones in cellular protein folding. Nature. 381, 571–579,
- Kaufmann, S. H. E., Schoel, B., Wand-Wu["] rttenberger, A., Steinhoff, U., Munk, M E. and Koga, T. (1990) T-cells, stress proteins, and pathogenesis of mycobacterial infections. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.155:125.

Kaufmann, S. H. E. and Schoel, B. (1994). Heat shock proteins as antigen immunity against infection and self. In Morimoto, R. I., Tissieres, A. and Georgopoulos, C., eds, The Biology of Heat Shock Proteins and Molecular Chaperons, p. 495. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Lindquist, S. 1986. The heat-shock response. Annu. Rev. Biochem.55:1151.

- Mo"ller, G. 1991. Heat-shock proteins and the immune system. Immunol. Rev. 121:1.
- Ohga, S., Yoshikai, Y., Takeda, Y., Hiromatsu, K. and Nomoto, K.(1990). Sequential appearance of γ/δ -and α/β bearing T cells in the peritoneal cavity during an i.p. infection with Listeria monocytogenes. Eur. J. Immunol.20:533

Raulet, D. H. 1989. Antigens for g/d T cells. J.Nature, 339:342.

- Robert P.A.Wallin, Andreas Lundqvist, Solveig H. Moré, Arne von Bonin, Rolf Kiessling and Hans-Gustaf Ljunggren (2002). Heat-shock proteins as activators of the innate immune system. J. TRENDS in Immunology, 23 (3):130-135.
- Tsoi S. C. M, Ewart K. V., Penny S., Melville K., Liebscher R. S., Brown L. and Douglas S. E. (2004). Identification of Immune-Relevant Genes from Atlantic Salmon Using Suppression Subtractive Hybridization. J. Mar. Biotecnol.6, 199-214
- Van Eden, W., Thole, J. E. R., Van der Zee, R., Noordzij, A., Van Embden, J. D. A., Hensen, E. J. and Cohen, I. R. 1988.Cloning of the mycobacterial epitope recognized

Young, R. A. (1990). Stress proteins and immunology. Annu. Rev. Immunol.8:401.