Biochemical Quantification of protein , Fat, Starch, Crude fibre, Ash and Dry matter content in different Collection of Greater Yam (*Dioscorea alata* L.) found in Orissa.

Kambaska Kumar Behera[1], Trinanth Moharana [2], Santilata Sahoo[1] and Aratibala Prusti[3]
P.G. Deptt. of Botany , Utkal university , Vanivihar , Bhubaneswar-751004, Orissa , India , Email : kambaska@yahoo.co.in
[2] Dept. of Hort.OUAT, Ex (ICAR,Emeratus Scientist)
[3] P.N. College (Autonomous) Khurda , Orissa , India

Abstract

A study was under taken to quantify the biochemical composition of protein, fat, starch , crude fibre, ash and dry matter in different collections (C1-C22) of *Dioscorea alata* fresh tubers. In the present study C-18 had the highest dry matter (33.33%) and lowest was in C-3 (24.91%). The Average dry matter was highest in intermediate shape groups and collections with white flesh tubers. Starch percentage is highest in C-20 (82.51%) followed by C-1, C-7, C-3, C-22 and lowest is estimated in C-15 (78.36%). The highest protein content in dry matter of tuber was observed with C-1 (9.67%) and the lowest protein content was observed with C-13 (7.31%). The lowest fat content, however, was observed in C-11 (0.67%) and highest value was with C-2 (1.24%) . C-1 had the lowest ash content of 1.89 % whereas C-15 had the highest value of 7.08 %. However, the ash content was towards the higher side in the intermediate group (5.85). The crude fiber range was higher in the intermediate group i.e. out of five collections four were having more than 2% crude fiber. From the present investigation it is concluded that different collections of *D.alata* vary greatly for their dry matter , starch, protein , fat ,ash and crude fiber content depending on different collection groups and the geographical regions. [Nature and Science. 2009;7(7):24-32]. (ISSN: 1545-0740).

Keywords: Greater Yam, Dry matter, Biochemical estimation, Different collections

Introduction

Roots and tubers are the most important food crops of very ancient origin in the tropics and subtropics. Theses crops are associated with the human existence, survival, and their socio-economic history. The Indo-Burma region is the centre of origin of taro and Asiatic edible yams. The two hot spots of global biodiversity viz. North Eastern Himalayas and Western Ghats are particularly rich in wild relatives of tropical root and tuber crops Burkill, (1960). Root crops occupy nearly 50 million hectares of arable land and account for a global production of 560 million tones. Nigeria alone accounts for 70% of the total yam production. In terms of the productivity and gross return, yam ranks second among all the root and grain producing food crops (FAO, 2004). It also ranks second in dry matter and energy production per hectare (IITA, 2004).Yams belong to the genus *Dioscorea* of family *Dioscoreaceae* an important members of the oldest monocot. More than 600 species have been reported under this genus Coursey,1976).Out of so many species of *Dioscorea* only ten species have been domesticated and commercially cultivated. In India so far 26 species of *Dioscorea* have been reported (Panneerselvam , 2007). Among the *Dioscoreas D.alata* is the leading species grown globally as well as all over the state of Orissa. It is highly polymorphic in relation to shape and colour of the tuber. Basing on the shape of the tuber and colour of the cortex or flesh , some selections were made from the collections of *D.alata* on different parts of the state .Out of the collections only 22 cultivars were included in the present study. Detail information of these 22 cultivars of *D.alata* is presented in Table-1&2.

Yams are a valuable source of carbohydrates, fibers, and low level fats, which makes them a good dietary source and could be eaten as boiled yam , fufu or fried in oil (Osman ,1990). Several species of Yams also have medicinal properties and the tuber is said to contain some pharmacologically active substances including dioscorine, saponin and sapogenin [20]. The objective of the present study therefore, was to examine the biochemical composition of dry matter, starch, protein, ash, crudefiber and fat content of different collection of *D.alata* found in different parts of Orissa and the selection of the cultivated variety for higher crop production for the yam cultivars of the state (Niswass, 1985).

Materials and Methods

Highly polymorphic *D.alata* tubers were collected from different parts of Orissa during the year 2005-06 . Basing on the shape of the tuber and colour of the cortex or flesh, some selections were made .Out of the collections only 22 cultivars were included in the present study . All the 22 collections were grouped under three shape types namely (1) Pyramidal (2) Cylindrical (3)Intermediate (those in between pyramidal and cylindrical types) and four flesh colours namely (1) White, (2) Cream, (3) Yellowish pink (4) Violet. Detail information of these 22 cultivars of *D.alata* are presented in Table-1&2 [23].

Table-1. *D.alata* L., Collections based on shape of the tuber

Sl. No	Shape of tuber	Total collection	Code number of different *D.alata* collections
1	Pyramidal shape	9	C1, C7, C18, C19, C11, C12, C13, C3, C20
2	Intermediate shape	5	C5, C6, C2, C15, C21
3	Cylindrical shape	8	C4, C14, C8, C16, C17,C 9, C10, C22

Table-2 *D.alata* L., Collections based on colour of the tuber

Sl .No	Colour of flesh	Total collection	Code number of different *D.alata* collections
1	White	11	C1, C7, C18, C19, C5, C6, C4, C14, C8, C16, C17.
2	Cream	4	C11, C2, C9, C10
3	Yellowish pink	3	C12, C13, C15.
4	Violet or pink	4	C3, C20, C21, C22

These 22 collections (C1-C22) were grown in the experimental garden, P.G. Deptt. of Botany Utkal university as per the standard agronomic practices with stacking and non stacking system and harvesting of the tuber was done after all the vines dried and it was done around 300 days after planting. There were three replications and in each replication 22 treatments were randomly distributed. In each treatment 16 plants were grown besides border rows. Observations were recorded in four randomly selected plants (Bradbury and Holloway,1988; AOAC,1984).

Drymatter: Drymatter in tuber was calculated by taking 100 gms of freshly harvested tuber from a representative sample of tuber and drying the sample at 40^0C till a constant weight was obtained and the value was expressed in percentage [Cozzolino and Labandera,2002; Egesi et al.,2003; Ferguson, et al.,1980).

Starch: Starch was calculated from a representative 2.5 gms of powered dry tuber following the standard method and titrating with Fehling's solution A + B. The percent of starch was calculated by the AOAC,(1990) method. (Greenwood-Barton, 1961 ; Macrae , et al.,1974). , Osisiogu and Uzo,1973; , Prain and Burkill,1936;).

Protein: Protein content of the yam tuber was estimated on the basis of nitrogen content of the tubers and on dry matter basis. The micro Kjeldhals distillation method as per Jackson (1967) was used for such estimation. The protein content was estimated by 'N' percent x 6.25 considering that the protein contains 16 percent nitrogen (Balogun and Fetuga**,** 1986; Bressani,1994; Gary, 1986; Amoo 1998; Adeyeye,1995).

Fat: Fat content was estimated as per the standard procedure indicated in methods of analysis of AOAC (1990) and the value was expressed in percentage (Panneerselvam , 2007; Vogel, 1980).

Crude fiber: Crude fiber was estimated as per standard procedure stated in methods of analysis AOAC (2001) and value was expressed in percentage (Martin and Rhodes, 1977; Osisiogu and Uzo,1973).

Ash: Ash was calculated on dry matter basis of tuber as per the standard procedure specified in methods of analysis of AOAC (1984).The value was expressed in percentage.

Result and Discussion

Dry matter in tuber: During the studies significant difference was observed for the dry matter content in tubers of different collections of *D. alata* in both the years of observations and also in the pooled analysis. The dry matter was the highest in the tubers of C-18 (33.33%) followed by C-13 (32.75%) and no significant difference was observed in these two cultivars. The lowest dry matter content was observed in C-3 (24.91%) which was significantly the lowest as compared to the rest of he collection. The mean value was 29.19%, 30.43% and 28.09% respectively in pyramidal, intermediate and cylindrical type and 29.70%, 28.56%, 30.47% and 26.81% respectively in white, cream, yellowish pink and violet flesh colour group of tuber (Table-3) (Martin ,1974 ; Onwueme and Charles,1994).

Starch content of tuber : As regard to the starch content, significant differences were recorded . Analysis of angular transformed values revealed that starch content was highest in C-20 (82.51%) but this was at par with C-1, C-7, C-3 and C-22. The lowest starch content was however, observed with C-15 (78.36%). The mean was 81.44%, 79.55% and 80.20% respectively in the pyramidal, intermediate and cylindrical types and 80.52%, 79.77% 80.07% and 81.82% respectively in the white, cream, yellowish pink and violet coloured flesh groups (Table-4) (Maynard,1970).

Protein (% in dry matter of tuber): Protein content in the tuber was significantly differed among the collections. The highest protein content in dry matter of tuber was observed with C-1 (9.67%) and it was however, at par with C-4, C-14, C-16, C-17 and C-9. The lowest protein content was observed in C-13 (7.31%). The mean was 8.55, 8.16% and 7.75% respectively in pyramidal intermediate and cylindrical types and 8.03%, 7.8 %, 8.34 % and 8.35% respectively in white, cream, yellowish pink and violet cololured flesh tuber groups (Table-5) (Ogungbenle,1998).

Fat content: Fat content was assessed from the dried material of the tuber of different collections of *D. alata* in both the years. There was significant difference among the collections. In the first year the range was 0.64% to 1.30 % and in the second year it was from 0.73 to 1.20 % whereas in the pooled data it was 0.67 to 1.24%. The lowest fat content, however, was observed in C-11 (0.67%) and highest value was with C-2 (1.24%). The white fleshed types including the cylindrical types had less than 1% fat as indicated from the observations. The means value was 0.88 %, 0.92 % and 0.81% respectively in pyramidal, intermediate and cylindrical types. However, the white, cream, yellowish pink and violet flesh colour tuber groups had 0.84, 0.91, 0.75 and 0.92 per cent respectively (Table-5) (Onwueme and Charles,1994).

Ash content (Dry matter basis) : Significant difference was observed for the ash content in the tubers. C-1 had the lowest ash content of 1.89 % whereas C-15 had the highest value of 7.08 %. However, the ash content was towards the higher side in the intermediate group (5.85). The mean value was 3.82 %, 5.85 % and 4.37 % respectively for pyramidal, intermediate and cylindrical group, whereas, it was 4.25%, 5.1% , 5.16 % and 3.48 % respectively in white, cream, yellowish pink and violet coloured tuber groups (Table-6) [Pucher et al., 1948; Vogel, 1980).

Crude fiber: The crude fiber content of the tuber was in a range of 1.39 to 2.60 % in the first year of the study while, it ws 1.46 to 2.53% in the second year. There was significant difference among the collection of *D. alata* as regard to crude fiber content. The range was higher in the intermediate group i.e. out of five collections four were having more than 2% crude fiber. The mean was 1.95 %, 2.27 % and 1.96 % respectively in the pyramidal, intermediate and cylindrical groups whereas it was 1.94 %, 2.25 %, 2.32 % and 1.81 % respectively in the white, cream, yellowish pink and violet colour flesh tuber groups (Table-6) [Sadasivam, and Balasubramanian,1985; SPYN ,2003).

Table .3. Drymatter content (%) in different collections of *D. alata* L.

Collection	Dry matter content in tuber (%)		
Pyramidal	I	II	Pooled
C-1	32.48 (28.83)	31.82 (27.80)	32.15 (28.31)

C-7	34.03 (31.33)	34.30 (31.76)	34.17 (31.54)
C-18	35.71 (34.06)	34.00 (32.60)	35.26 (33.33)
C-19	31.04 (26.60)	30.70 (26.08)	30.82 (26.34)
C-11	32.43 (28.76)	32.26 (28.50)	32.35 (28.63)
C-12	31.94 (28.00)	32.47 (28.83)	32.21 (28.41)
C-13	34.69 (32.41)	35.12 (33.10)	34.90 (32.75)
C-3	29.66 (24.5)	30.22 (25.33)	29.94 (24.91)
C-20	32.24 (28.66)	32.22 (28.43)	32.23 (28.54)
Intermediate			
C-5	33.82 (31.00)	34.03 (32.41)	33.92 (31.71)
C-6	34.69 (32.33)	34.75 (32.50)	34.67 (32.41)
C-2	33.67 (30.73)	33.56 (30.58)	33.61 (30.65)
C-15	33.33 (20.20)	33.62 (30.33)	33.47 (30.26)
C-21	31.52 (27.33)	31.30 (27.00)	31.41 (27.16)
Cylindrical			
C-4	32.41 (28.75)	32.37 (28.66)	32.39 (28.70)
C-14	32.62 (29.08)	32.58 (29.00)	32.60 (29.04)
C-8	32.41 (28.73)	32.26 (28.50)	32.34 (28.61)
C-16	31.73 (27.66)	32.16 (28.33)	31.94 (27.99)
C-17	32.79 (29.33)	32.15 (28.33)	32.47 (28.80)
C-9	31.16 (26.76)	31.09 (26.66)	31.12 (26.71)
C-10	31.89 (26.16)	33.43 (30.38)	32.66 (28.27)
C-22	31.11 (26.70)	31.17 (26.58)	31.14 (26.64)
'F' test	Sig.**	Sig.**	Sig.**
S.E (m) ±	0.485	0.413	0.326
C.D (0.05)	0.980	0.835	0.640

N.B.: Data in parenthesis are actual value and analyzed data are angular value

Table .4. Starch content (in dry matter) in different collections of *D. alata* L.

Collection	Starch content in tuber (%)		
Pyramidal	I	II	Pooled
C-1	64.95 (82.06)	65.14 (82.33)	65.04 (82.19)
C-7	65.49 (82.80)	64.68 (81.80)	65.08 (82.30)
C-18	64.15 (81.00)	64.40 (81.33)	64.28 (81.17)
C-19	63.79 (80.50)	63.91 (80.66)	63.85 (80.58)
C-11	63.60 (80.23)	63.56 (80.16)	63.58 (80.20)
C-12	64.64 (81.66)	64.23 (81.40)	64.43 (81.53)
C-13	63.67 (80.33)	64.13 (81.30)	63.90 (80.32)
C-3	64.89 (82.00)	65.14 (82.33)	65.02 (82.16)
C-20	65.75 (83.13)	64.82 (81.90)	65.28 (82.51)
Intermediate			
C-5	63.48 (80.06)	63.60(80.23)	63.54 (80.14)
C-6	63.24 (79.73)	63.26 (79.73)	63.24 (79.73)
C-2	62.84 (79.16)	62.49 (78.66)	62.66 (78.91)
C-15	62.60 (78.83)	61.96 (77.90)	62.28 (78.36)
C-21	64.20 (81.06)	63.55 (80.16)	63.88 (80.61)
Cylindrical			
C-4	63.93 (80.70)	63.96 (80.73)	63.95 (80.71)
C-14	63.41 (79.96)	62.84 (79.16)	63.12 (79.56)
C-8	63.79 (80.50)	63.08 (79.50)	63.43 (80.00)
C-16	62.02 (81.00)	62.24 (78.30)	63.30 (79.65)
C-17	63.43 (80.00)	63.05 (79.46)	63.24 (79.73)

C-9	63.01 (79.40)	63.79 (80.50)	63.40 (79.95)
C-10	63.41 (79.96)	63.53 (80.13)	63.47 (80.04)
C-22	65.65 (88.00)	64.22 (81.03)	64.93 (82.01)
'F' test	Sig.**	Sig.**	Sig.**
S.E (m) ±	0.285	0.479	0.301
C.D (0.05)	0.575	0.967	0.591

N.B.:- Data in parenthesis are actual value and analyzed data are angular value

Table .5. Protein and fat percent in dry matter basis in different collections of *D. alata* L.

Collection	Protein % content			Fat % content		
Pyramidal	I	II	Pooled	I	II	Pooled
C-1	3.20(10.25)	3.01(9.10)	3.10(9.67)	0.92(086)	0.90(0.82)	0.84
C-7	2.89(8.50)	2.89(8.37)	2.89(8.43)	0.92(0.86)	0.92(0.96)	0.86
C-18	2.75(7.60)	2.69(7.26)	2.72(7.43)	1.00(1.00)	1.06(1.13)	1.06
C-19	2.91(8.65)	2.89(8.39)	2.90(8.52)	0.92(0.85)	0.89(0.79)	0.82
C-11	2.78(8.20)	2.73(7.55)	2.75(8.40)	0.80(0.64)	0.84(0.70)	0.67
C-12	2.56(8.27)	2.58(8.27)	2.56(8.72)	0.86(0.75)	0.85(0.73)	0.74
C-13	2.57(7.22)	2.59(7.40)	2.58(7.31)	0.86(0.74)	0.86(0.74)	0.74
C-3	2.95(7.74)	2.92(7.80)	2.93(7.77)	1.11(1.24)	1.09(1.20)	1.22
C-20	2.72(9.41)	2.78(9.38)	2.75(9.36)	0.95(0.92)	1.01(1.02)	0.97
Intermediate						
C-5	2.87(6.63)	2.74(6.73)	2.80(6.68)	0.97(0.95)	0.90(0.82)	0.88
C-6	287(6.60)	2.93(6.54)	2.90(6.57)	0.92(0.85)	0.89(0.80)	0.82
C-2	2.54(8.72)	2.58(8.54)	2.56(8.63)	1.13(1.30)	1.08(1.18)	1.24
C-15	2.68(9.59)	2.72(9.39)	2.70(9.46)	0.96(0.93)	0.93(0.86)	0.89
C-21	2.68(9.38)	2.79(9.60)	2.74(9.94)	0.85(0.73)	0.90(0.81)	0.77
Cylindrical						
C-4	3.09(8.38)	3.06(8.37)	3.08(8.37)	0.87(0.77)	0.89(0.80)	0.78
C-14	3.06(7.21)	3.09(7.83)	3.08(7.53)	0.90(0.82)	0.93(0.88)	0.85
C-8	2.68(7.60)	2.93(7.26)	2.91(7.43)	0.95(0.91)	0.98(0.84)	0.87
C-16	3.06(9.12)	3.06(9.50)	3.06(9.31)	0.90(0.82)	0.87(0.75)	0.79
C-17	30.02(8.30)	3.08(6.81)	3.05(8.45)	0.84)0.72)	0.86(0.74)	0.73
C-9	3.08(7.45)	3.06(7.73)	3.07(7.59)	0.96(0.94)	0.94(0.89)	0.91
C-10	2.94(6.49)	2.89(6.67)	2.91(6.58)	0.91(0.85)	0.91(0.83)	0.84
C-22	2.58(6.69)	2.62(6.87)	2.60(6.78)	0.85(0.72)	0.87(0.75)	0.74
'F' test	Sig.**	Sig.**	Sig.**	Sig.**	Sig.**	
C.D (0.05)	0.052	0.052	0.050	0.025	0.045	

Data in parenthesis are actual value and analyzed data are ⌐ value

Table. 6. Ash and crude fiber content in the dry matter of tuber in different collections of *D. alata* L.

Collection	Ash (%)			Crude fiber (%)		
Pyramidal	I	II	Pooled	I	II	Pooled
C-1	(1.74)1.31	(2.05)1.43	(1.89)	(1.42)1.19	(1.46)1.21	(1.44)
C-7	(2.34)1.52	(2.94)1.71	(2.64)	(1.80)1.34	(1.90)1.38	(1.55)
C-18	(4.90)2.20	(4.62)2.14	(4.76)	(1.31)1.34	(1.36)1.36	(1.35)
C-19	(3.92)1.97	(4.34)2.03	(4.13)	(2.14)1.46	(2.23)1.49	(2.23)
C-11	(4.93)2.22	(5.37)2.31	(5.15)	(2.46)1.66	(2.40)1.54	(2.43)

C-12	(4.67)2.15	(4.66)2.15	(4.66)	(2.28)1.50	(2.41)1.55	(2.34)
C-13	(5.59)2.36	(6.09)2.46	(5.34)	(2.10)1.44	(2.14)1.46	(2.12)
C-3	(2.20)1.43	(2.40)1.55	(2.34)	(1.60)1.26	(1.51)1.22	(1.55)
C-20	(2.74)1.63	(3.30)1.31	(3.02)	(1.69)1.30	(1.66)1.29	(1.57)
Intermediate						
C-5	(5.45)2.33	(5.72)2.39	(5.53)	(1.90)1.37	(2.00)1.41	(1.95)
C-6	(5.00)2.23	(4.78)2.18	(4.89)	(2.21)1.48	(2.26)1.50	(2.23)
C-2	(6.72)2.59	(6.76)2.60	(6.74)	(2.26)1.56	(2.44)1.55	(2.35)
C-15	(5.89)2.62	(7.27)2.69	(7.06)	(2.50)1.53	(2.41)1.55	(2.45)
C-21	(4.58)2.13	(5.36)2.31	(4.97)	(2.08)1.44	(2.26)1.50	(2.17)
Cylindrical						
C-4	(3.60)1.89	(3.70)1.92	(3.65)	(1.39)1.17	(1.50)1.22	(1.44)
C-14	(4.58)2.14	(4.65)2.15	(4.61)	(1.71)1.30	(1.78)1.33	(1.74)
C-8	(3.95)1.98	(4.46)2.11	(4.20)	(2.60)1.61	(2.53)1.59	(2.56)
C-16	(5.98)2.44	(5.60)2.36	(5.79)	(2.24)1.49	(2.23)1.49	(2.23)
C-17	(4.66)2.15	(4.60)2.14	(4.63)	(1.64)1.27	(1.63)1.27	(1.63)
C-9	(3.56)1.38	(3.77)1.94	(3.66)	(2.10)1.44	(2.10)1.44	(2.10)
C-10	(4.98)2.23	(4.73)2.17	(4.85)	(2.15)1.46	(2.09)1.44	(2.12)
C-22	(3.53)1.87	(3.76)1.94	(3.64)	(1.33)1.35	(1.83)1.35	(1.33)
'F' test	Sig.**	Sig.**		Sig.**	Sig.**	
C.D (0.05)	0.109	0.148		0.057	0.057	

Data in parenthesis are actual value and analyzed data are ⌐ value

CONCLUSION

D. alata cultivars are used as staple food in many communities of tropical world. As per Egbe and Treche (1984). *D. alata* cultivars in average contain 24.47% dry matter and 72.6% starch, 8.24% protein and 0.24% fat in dry matter. In the present study C-18 had the highest dry matter (33.33%) and lowest was in C-3 (24.91%). Average dry matter was highest in intermediate shape and collections with white flesh. Starch percentage is highest in C-20 (82.51%) followed by C-1, C-7, C-3 and C-22 and lowest is estimated in C-15 (78.36%). The highest protein content in dry matter of tuber was observed with C-1 (9.67%) and the lowest protein content was observed with C-13 (7.31%). The lowest fat content, however, was observed in C-11 and (0.67%) highest value was with C-2 (1.24%). C-1 had the lowest ash content of 1.89 % whereas C-15 had the highest value of 7.08 %. However, the ash content was towards the higher side in the intermediate group (5.85). The crude fiber range was higher in the intermediate group i.e. out of five collections four were having more than 2% crude fiber (Vogel, 1980). From the present investigation it is concluded that different collections of *D.alata* vary greatly for their dry matter, starch protein, fat, ash, and crude fiber content with respect to their agro climatic and wild genetic stock (Brown, 1995).

Acknowledgements: Authors are thank full to Dr. S. Roy Chowdhury, Principal Scientist, WTCER (ICAR) Bhubaneswar for his supportive encouragement for smooth completion of the work.

REFERENCES

Adeyeye, EI. 1995 . Studies on the chemical composition and functional properties of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis sternocarpa*) flour. PhD Thesis of Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Amoo, I.A .1998. Estimation of crude proteins in some Nigerians foods. *J. Appl. Sci* 1: 65–72

AOAC.1984.Official Methods of Analysis, 14th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Inc., Arlington, Virginia, USA.

AOAC.1990.Official Methods of analysis 15th edn. Assoc. Official Anal. Chem. Washington, D.C, U.S.A.

AOAC. 2001. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. International Official Methods of Analysis. 17th edn. Horwitz W. (ed.): AOAC Inc., Arlington, USA.

Balogun, A.M and Fetuga, BL.1986. Chemical composition of some under exploited leguminous crop seeds in Nigeria. J. Agric Food Chemistry. 34:189–192

Bradbury, J.H and Holloway, W.D.1988.Chemistry of Tropical Root Crops: Significance for Nutrition and Agriculture in the Pacific. ACIAR Monograph No. 6 : 201 pp.

Bressani, R. 1994.Composition and nutritional properties of amaranth. In: Amaranth, Biology, Chemistry and Technology. Paredes-Lopez O. (eds.): Chapter 10, CRC Press : 185–205.

Brown M.W.1995. Quality Standards and Marketing of Selected South Pacific Root Crops. Institute of Research, Extension and Training for Agriculture (IRETA), University of the South Pacific, Western Samoa : 145 pp.

Burkill. I.H. 1960. Organomongraphy and evolution of *Dioscoreaceae*, the family of yams. J. Linn. Soc. (Bot), 56 : 319-412.

Coursey D.G. 1976.Yams. In: Simmonds N.W. (ed.), Evolution of Crops Plants. Longman Publisher, London.

Cozzolino, D and Labandera, M.2002. Determination of dry matter and crude protein contents of undried forages by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. J.Sci. Food Agr. 82: 380–384.

Egesi, C.N., Asiedu, R., Egunjobi, J..K and Bokanga, M. 2003. Genetic diversity of organoleptic properties in water yam (*Dioscorea alata* L.). J. Sci. Food Agric. 83: 858–865.

Egbe, T., Agbor, T and Treche. S. 1984 .Variability in the chemical composition of yams grown in Cameroon. In [1]*ropical Root Crops*: *Production and* ; *ses in Africa* (E. R. Terry, E. V. Doku, O. B. Arene, and N. M. Mahungu, Eds.), pp. 153-156. International Development Research Centre, Ottawa

Ferguson, T.U., Haynes, P.H and Spence, J.A.1980. Distribution of Dry matter and mineral nutrients in tubers of two cultivars of *D. alata* Tropical Agriculture, 57(1): 61-67.

Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). 2004.Online Statistical Database. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Gary, D.C.1986 . Analytical Chemistry 4th ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York

Green wood-Barton, L.H.1961. Yam starches from Nigeria. Report 51 of Tropical product Institute, pp.73.

InternationalInstitute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA).2004. Nigeria's Cassava Industry: Statistical Handbook.

Jaleel, C.A., Gopi, R., Manivannan, P., Kishorekumar, A., Gomathinayagam, M and Panneerselvam, R. 2007. Changes in biochemical constituents and induction of early sprouting by triadimefon treatment in white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir.) tubers during storage. Journal of Zhejiang University Science: B. 8: 283–288.

Jackson, M.L.1967. Soil Chemical Analysis. Hall of India Private, New Delhi, Indian, p. 248. .

Macrae , J. C., Dale, S and . Mc Cready R. M .1974. Starch estimation in leaf tissue - A comparison of results using six methods. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, Vol. 25(12): 1465 – 1469.

Martin, F.W and Rhodes, A.M. 1977. Infra-specific classification of *Dioscorea alata*. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad) 54: 1–13.

Martin F.W.1974. Tropical Yams and their Potential. Part 3,*Dioscorea alata*. USDA Agriculture Handbook No. 495, 40 pp.

Maynard, A.J. (Ed.).1970. Methods in Food Analysis, Academic Press, New York, pp. 176.

Niswass.1985.Food system in Orissa. National Institute of Social work and Social Science, Bhubaneswar published thesis pp-20.

Ogungbenle, H.N.1999.Chemical composition and some functional properties of Benniseed (*Sesamum radiatum*), Pearl millet (*Pennisetum tyhoides*) and Quino (*Chenopodium quinoa*) flours. M.Tech. Thesis of Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Onwueme, I.C and Charles, W.B.1994.Tropical root and tuber crops: production, perspectives and future prospects. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper no. 126, Rome, 228 pp.

Osisiogu, I.U.W and Uzo, J.O. 1973. Industrial Potential of some Nigerian Yam and Cocoyam Starches, Tropical Science 15: 353-359.

Osman, H.1990. Dietary fiber composition of common vegetables and fruits in Malaysia. Food. Chem., 37: 21-26.

Panneerselvam, R., Jaleel, C.A., Somasundaram, R., Sridharan, R and Gomathinayagam, M.2007. Carbohydrate metabolism in *Dioscorea esculenta* (Lour.) Burk. tubers and *Curcuma longa* L. rhizomes during two phases of dormancy. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 59: 59–66.

Panneerselvam, R.2007. Changes in biochemical constituents and induction of early sprouting by triadimefon treatment in white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir.) tubers during storage. Journal of Zhejiang University Science: B, 8: 283–288.

Prain, D and Burkill, I.H. 1936. An account of genus *Dioscorea* part I species which turn to left Ann. R. bot. Cdn. Cal :141-210.

Pucher, G. W., Leavenworth C. S and Vickery, H.B.1948.Determination of starch in plant tissues. Analyt. Chem. 20: 850–853.

Plumber,T. David.1997. An Introduction to practical Biochemistry 3rd ed. Tata McGraw-Hill,New Delhi.

SPYN.2003. South Pacific Yam Network, Yarn: cultivar selection for disease resistance and commercial potential in Pacific Islands. Contract no ERBIC18CT980309. Final report, CIRAD, Montpellier, France, 173 pp.

Sadasivam, S and Balasubramanian,T.1985. Practical Manual (Undergraduate), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, pp .2

Sumati, R. N and Rajagopal, M.V. 1989. Fundamental of Food and Nutrition. Wiley Eastern Limited.

Vogel, A. A.1980.Textbook of practical organic chemistry. 5thedition. Longman, London : 30 pp.

5/3/2009