# Physico-Chemical And Bacteriological Characterization Of Oke-Afa Canal Water In Lagos State, Nigeria

<sup>1</sup>Raji Olawale Razaq., <sup>2</sup>\*Oluduro Anthonia Olufunke, <sup>1</sup>Ofoezie Emmanuel.

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Ecology and Environmental Studies, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria, 220005, Email: <u>wale14980@yahoo.com</u>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Ecology and Environmental Studies, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria, 220005, Email: <u>emma\_ofoezie@yahoo.co.uk</u>, +2348034395652

<sup>2\*</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria, 220005, <u>aoluduro2003@yahoo.co.uk</u>; <u>aoluduro@oauife.edu.ng</u>, +2348069379885- Corresponding author

**Abstract:** The physico-chemical and bacteriological characteristics of Oke-Afa canal water, Nigeria were investigated. Samples were collected during rainy and dry seasons from April 2009 to March 2010. The physico-chemical parameters were assessed by standard methods and the total viable bacteria by multiple tube technique. Antibiotic susceptibility of isolates was by agar-diffusion method. The mean value of physico-chemical parameters investigated include; water temperature ( $28.6 \pm 0.3$ °C), pH ( $6.9 \pm 0.1$ ), conductivity ( $495.9 \pm 47.8 \ \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ), Total Dissolved Solid (TDS) ( $288.0 \pm 28.5 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$ ), Dissolved Oxygen ( $4.4 \pm 0.3 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$ ) and Biological Oxygen Demand ( $1.3 \pm 0.2 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$ ). Conductivity, TDS and turbidity varied significantly (P = 0.001) between the seasons. The concentrations of heavy metals (Fe,  $0.0 - 0.03 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$ , Zn,  $0.0 - 0.09 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$  and Mn,  $0.0 - 0.02 \ \text{mg} \ \text{I}^{-1}$ ) varied. Nineteen bacterial species were recovered of which *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella edwardsii* were the most prevalent. Resistance to antibiotics was in varying proportions-Ceftriazone (50%), nitrofurantoin (37.1%) and cotrimoxazole (18.5%). Nine different multiple antibiotic resistance patterns were observed. The chemical composition of the canal and the quality of bacteria detected could pose serious health hazard to residents around the canal.

[Raji Olawale Razaq., Oluduro Anthonia Olufunke, Ofoezie Emmanuel. Physico-Chemical And Bacteriological Characterization Of Oke-Afa Canal Water In Lagos State, Nigeria. *Nat Sci* 2014;12(11):129-136]. (ISSN: 1545-0740). http://www.sciencepub.net/nature. 20

Keywords: Canal water, Physico-chemicals, Pollutants, Heavy metals, bacteria

# **1.0 Introduction**

Water in the natural environment contains many dissolved substances and non- dissolved particulate matters. Aquatic environment near cities are usually prone to overloading with a variety of pollutants either through direct or indirect discharges (Avejuvo et al., 2010). This situation may be worsened by the indiscriminate disposal of untreated wastes often laden with sewage into actively used streams and canals. In addition to their characteristic microflora, sewagepolluted waters carry numerous sewage microflora, some of which pose a public health risk (Olayemi, 1994). The availability of water and its physical, chemical and biological composition affect the ability of aquatic environments to sustain healthy ecosystems; as water quality and quantity are eroded, organisms suffer and ecosystem may be lost. Poor water quality can be the result of natural processes but is more often associated with human activities and is closely linked to industrial development. In Nigeria, a variety of wastes originating from domestic and industrial sources find their way into streams and rivers due to weak enforcement of existing legislation and lack of basic infrastructure, such as sewers and hygienic disposal facilities(Nubi and Osibanjo, 2008). In addition, inorganic nutrients, such as water soluble nitrogen and phosphorus, can cause excessive growth of algae, forming algal blooms and eventually cause the serious problem of eutrophication in lakes and reservoirs (Junshum, 2007). Untreated organic matter that contains faecal coliform can be harmful to the environment and aerobic decomposition of this material can reduce dissolved oxygen levels if discharged into rivers(Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2008).

Microbial contamination of surface and ground waters by pathogenic organisms is probably the most important water quality issue in the developing world. The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies the greatest human health risk of microbial contamination being through the consumption of water as contaminated by human or animal faeces (WHO, 2004). The impact of such canal water on public health is not well documented, especially in Nigeria where houses are built near the canal pathways with bored wells for drinking purpose. Lagos is an industrial city and several inland waters are potential sinks of both effluent and solid waste materials. Many industries are situated around Oke-Afa Canal and this makes it a potential sink for most industrial effluents which pose serious health effect to people living around the Canal, since most homes dug wells from which they drink

from. This canal receives water from Industrial effluents, agricultural run-offs; transport, burning of fossil fuel, animal and human excretion, geological weathering and domestic waste which had been reported to have major contributions to the pollution of water bodies (Avejuvo *et al.*, 2010).

There is a strong relationship between human activities and pollution of the environment. The recognition of this connection and need to protect human health, recreation and fish's production led to early development of water quality regulations and monitoring methods (Charis and Abbasi, 2005). Their impact on receiving water bodies in most municipal cities such as Lagos state is not well documented. As a result, they are rarely taken into consideration in environmental and water resources management. Industrial growth and its associated environmental problem such as water, soil, plant and air contamination is fast increasing, hence regular assessment of canal water is very crucial to safeguard public health and the environment.

The objective of this investigation was to characterize the canal water using standard physicochemical and bacteriological factors alongside the seasonal changes. This will provide useful information for sustainable urban and water resources management, and the health risk of the residents around the canal.

# 2.0 Materials and methods Study area

This study was carried out in Oke-Afa canal, Ejigbo Local Government area, Lagos state. Oke-Afa canal is a 3.5 km stretch long and it drains Isolo in Lagos metropolis, southwestern Nigeria. This canal is located on latitude  $6^{\circ}$  30<sup>'</sup> 50.994" N –  $6^{\circ}$  34<sup>'</sup> 4.446" N and longitude  $3^{\circ}$  16<sup>'</sup> 27.004"E –  $3^{\circ}$  17<sup>'</sup> 31.828" E. Lagos is the economic centre and the most populated city in the country. Figure 1 shows the map of the sampling stations.

# 2.1 Characteristics of Oke – Afa Canal

Oke-Afa canal is located around residential areas having a population of more than 300 people. The area was formerly a swampy area but with the increase in population size in the state, the area is now a newly developing residential area with over two hundred houses and ten streets built around and along the canal. Most of the houses built along the canal course drain their waste water directly into the canal water. Very close to the canal are the Isolo open dump site, the Oke-Afa plank market and a cattle ranch.

# 2.2 Sample collection and field observation.

Water samples were collected from six sampling stations along the canal course quarterly from June 2009 to April 2010. Water samples were collected in a clean 2 litres plastic keg. Water temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) were determined *in-situ* using

mercury in-glass-bulb thermometer, pH meter and Wrinkler's titrimetric methods respectively. Samples for Biological Oxygen Demand-5 (BOD<sub>5</sub>) were collected in 250 ml dark reagent bottles and were incubated in a dark cupboard, after which the amount of oxygen was determined as above in DO determination.

### 2.3 Laboratory analysis

The concentration of calcium  $(Ca^{2+})$  and  $(Mg^{2+})$ magnesium were determined using complexiometric method (APHA et al., 1991). Turbidity was determined using Nephelometric method at wavelength 540nm. Sulphate concentration was evaluated using Turbidometric method while Phosphate  $(PO_4^{3-})$  concentration was determined using spectrophotometric method at wavelength 710nm (Golterman et al., 1978). The concentration of sodium  $(Na^{+})$  and potassium  $(K^{+})$  were assessed using flame emission spectrophotometer (FES) and chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) was determined using Mohr titration. The concentrations of Cadmium, Cobalt, Chromium, Copper, Iron, Manganese, Lead and Zinc were evaluated using atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS) (Golterman et al., 1978). Total dissolved solids (TDS) was assessed gravimetrically (APHA et al., 1991). Total alkalinity and total acidity was determined titrimetrically using N/50sulphuric acid and 0.02 M NaCO<sub>3</sub> as titrant and mixed indicator (bromocresol green and methyl red) and phenolphtaline indicators respectively (Ademoroti, 1996b).

For bacteriological analysis, 1ml of water samples collected in sterile glass bottles was five-folds serially diluted in physiological saline and plated on nutrient agar for the total viable bacterial (TVB) count. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h and colonies were enumerated. Preliminary identification of Isolates was based on their cultural (colonial), morphological and physiological characteristics and further confirmed using appropriate biochemical tests with reference to the Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (2003).

Susceptibility of the isolates to some commonly used antibiotics was carried out using agar disc diffusion method (CLSI, 2008). A 24h old sub-cultured isolate was seeded on Mueller-Hilton agar plate. The antibiotics discs (Gram positive and Gram negative) were firmly placed using sterile forceps on the agar plates. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24h. Diameters of zone of inhibition were measured with a transparent calibrated ruler (mm) and compared with the CLSI (2008) standard.

### 2.4 Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (One-way ANOVA) was used to determine the difference in each physical and chemical variable between sampling sites, and between seasons. The relationship among stations

based on the physico-chemical parameters were analysed using cluster analysis, and the contribution of each variable to seasonal and spatial variability/heterogeneity was determined using principal component analysis Za, (1999). All analyses were performed on SPSS 16.0 software.

3.0 Results

The mean, standard error and range values of all variables investigated in the six sampling stations are presented in Table 1.The hydrogen ion concentration (pH), Conductivity, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and Total Hardness showed a similar trend with the mean values being highest at station A and no significant difference was recorded in all sampling stations (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean, standard error and range of physical and chemical variables investigated in the Oke – Afa canal in Ejigbo Local Government, Lagos State

Variables	А	В	С	D	Е	F	ANG	
							F	Р
Water Temperature ( <sup>0</sup> C)	28.0±0.4 (27.0 -29.0)	29.0±0.4 (28.0 -30.0)	28.1±0.8 (27.0-30.5)	28.1±0.8 (27.0-30.5)	28.9±0.8 (27.0 -30.5)	29.3±0.8 (27.0 -30.5)	0.571	0.721
Apparent Colour (pt-co)	419.9±125.4 (153 -758.9)	217.9±55.7 (95.3-326.1)	290.3±51.8 (153-383.8)	290.0±98.1 (153-383.8)	297.3±56.5 (181.9-441.5)	282.8±62.9 (181.5 - 441.5)	0.834	0.542
True Colour (pt-co)	80.9±34.3 (37.6-181.9)	88.0±60.5 (8.8-268.4)	102.5±55.7 (37.6-268.4)	95.3±58.9 (8.8-268.4)	95.3±58.9 (0.0-326.1)	102.5±65.9 (8.8-297.3)	0.041	0.999
Turbidity (NTU)	18.9±5.3 (13.7-34.9)	28.8±12.4 (10.6-37.9)	19.7±10.2 (4.6-25.8)	12.8±3.4 (4.6-19.7)	18.9±5.4 (10.6-34.9)	17.4±3.9 (10.6-28.8)	1.086	0.401
pH (pH Units)	$7.0\pm0.2$ (6.7 - 7.5)	6.9±0.2 (6.7 -7.5)	6.95±0.2 (6.7 -7.5)	$7.0\pm0.2$ 6.8 - 7.5)	$6.8\pm0.1$ (6.7 - 6.9)	6.9±0.1 (6.7 – 7.3)	0.239	0.940
Conductivity (µScm <sup>-1</sup> )	523.0±155.3 (219 - 900)	$490.3\pm132.2$ (221 - 810)	$495.5\pm137.8$ (222 - 840)	$472.8 \pm 110.2$ (224 - 710)	$500.3\pm130.0$ (224 - 810)	494.0±122.3 (222 - 770)	0.015	1.000
TDS (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	$307.0\pm90.6$ (132 - 529)	$289.0\pm78.6$ (132 - 476)	(222 - 610) 285.3±80.5 (132 - 494)	(22 + 76) 273.5±67.2 (132 - 417)	(22 + 616) 289.5±78.9 (132 - 476)	$283.8\pm74.3$ (132 - 453)	0.019	1.000
Total Alkalinity (mgl <sup>1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	$\begin{array}{c} (132 & 32)) \\ 43.0 \pm 12.9 \\ (22 - 80) \end{array}$	(152 - 476) 41.5±12.1 (22 - 76)	(132 + 494) 45.5±11.0 (30 - 78)	(132 + 17) 43.5±12.8 (20 - 80)	(152 + 76) 41.5±12.3 (14 - 74)	(152 + 453) 44.0±10.4 (28 - 74)	0.017	1.000
Total Hardness $(mgl^{-1}CaCO_3)$	$61.8\pm8.8$ (6.1 -18.4)	(22 - 76) 55.2±6.9 (6.4 - 17.6)	(30 - 78) 57.2±4.2 (6.6 - 18.2)	(20 - 80) 54.3±6.2 (6.6 -18.2)	(14 - 74) 60.3±2.9 (6.6 - 18.2)	(28 - 74) 54.7±6.8 (6.1 - 18.2)	0.252	0.934
$\frac{\text{(mgl CaCO_3)}}{\text{Total Acidity}}$ $(\text{mgl}^{-1}\text{CaCO_3})$	(0.1 - 18.4) 42.0 $\pm 23.9$ (6.0 - 112.0)	(0.4 - 17.0) 50.5±26.4 (10.0 - 128.0)	(0.0 - 18.2) 53.5±28.1 (8.0 - 132.0)	(0.0 - 18.2) 39.5±25.8 (6.0 - 116.0)	(0.0 - 18.2) 43.5±22.4 (16.0 - 110.0)	(0.1 - 13.2) 44.0±27.5 (10.0 - 126.0)	0.043	0.999
$\frac{(\text{mgr CaCO}_3)}{\text{Calcium ion}}$ $(\text{Ca}^{2+}) (\text{mgl}^{-1})$	(8.0 -112.0) 22.8±3.0 (16.7 -31.1)	(10.0 - 128.0) 20.1±2.29 (13.5 - 23.8)	(8.0 - 132.0) 20.3±1.0 (18.2 - 23.1)	(6.0 - 116.0) 19.8±1.9 (14.2 - 22.3)	(10.0 - 110.0) 22.2±0.8 (20.2 - 23.8)	(10.0 - 120.0) 19.9±2.2 (13.5 - 23.1)	0.390	0.849
$\frac{(ca^{2})(mgr^{2})}{(mgr^{-1})}$	$2.51\pm1.27$ (0.4 - 5.7)	(13.3 - 23.8) 2.51±1.27 (0.4 - 5.7)	(18.2 - 23.1) 2.51±1.27 (0.4 - 5.7)	(14.2 - 22.3) 2.51±1.27 (0.4 - 5.7)	(20.2 - 23.8) 2.51±1.27 (0.4 - 5.7)	(13.3 - 23.1) 2.51±1.27 (0.4 - 5.7)	0.000	1.000
Sodium ion (Na <sup>+</sup> ) $(mgl^{-1})$	25.7±7.3	26.8±8.7	26.7±8.9	24.5±6.1	(0.4 - 3.7) 24.3±7.0 (9.9 - 42.9)	26.5±6.9	0.021	1.000
Potassium ion (K <sup>+</sup> )	$\begin{array}{r} (11.6 - 43.5) \\ 11.8 \pm 2.1 \\ (0.1 - 17.0) \end{array}$	$\frac{(11.0 - 50.6)}{11.7 \pm 2.2}$	$\frac{(10.5 - 51.7)}{11.4 \pm 2.1}$	$\frac{(11.0 - 39.6)}{11.8 \pm 2.3}$	11.8±2.4	$\frac{(11.6 - 43.5)}{12.3 \pm 2.4}$	0.015	1.000
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> ) Bicarbonate ion	(8.1 - 17.9) 51.6±15.5	(7.9 - 17.9) 49.8±14.5	(7.7 - 17.4) 54.6±13.2	(7.9 - 18.5) 52.2±15.4	(7.5 - 18.7) 49.8±14.8	(8.2 - 19.4) 52.8±25.0	0.020	0.999
(HC0 <sub>3</sub> ) (mgl <sup>1</sup> ) Chloride ion (Cl <sup>-1</sup> )	(26.4 -96.0) 61.8±20.8	(26.4 -91.2) 56.1±17.8	(36.0 - 93.6) 54.4±16.2	(24.0 - 96.0) 53.9±16.2	(16.8 - 88.8) 54.2±15.9	(33.6 - 88.8) 54.9±15.9	0.030	0.999
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> ) Sulphate ion (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )	(23.7 - 104.4) 9.5±4.2	(22.7 – 97.5) 10.3±4.4	(23.7 - 89.6) 8.6±3.3	(22.7 - 84.7) 7.9±3.9	(22.7 - 87.6) 10.3±3.7	(22.7 - 84.7) 8.7±4.2	0.059	0.997
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> ) Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mgl <sup>-</sup>	(0.0 - 18.1) 4.3±0.75	(1.8 - 19.9) 4.5±0.6	(1.2 - 14.9) 4.1±0.8	(0.0 - 17.5) $4.5 \pm 0.8$	(1.2 -18.1) 4.5±1.12	(0.6 - 16.9) 4.2±1.0	0.039	0.997
1)	(2.8 - 6.4) 1.4±0.34	(3.2 -5.6) 1.5±0.41	(2.0 - 6.0) 1.1±0.3	(2.4 -6.0) 1.6±0.43	(1.6 - 6.8) 1.3±0.3	(1.6 - 6.4) 0.7±0.3		
$(BOD_5) (mgl^{-1})$	(0.8 - 2.0) $0.6 \pm 0.2$	(0.8 - 2.4) 0.6±0.2	(0.4 - 1.6) 0.5±0.1	(0.8 - 2.8) $0.5 \pm 0.2$	(0.8 - 2.0) 0.6±0.2	0.0 - 1.6) 0.6±0.2	0.828	0.546
Phosphate ion $(PO_4^{3-})$ (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	(0.4 -0.9) 0.003±0.003	(0.3 - 0.9) 0.005±0.01	(0.2 - 0.8) 0.01±0.01	(0.2 - 0.8) 0.003±0.00	(0.2 - 0.9)	(0.2 - 0.8)	0.035	0.999
Iron ( Fe) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> ) Manganese (Mn)	(0.0-0.01) (0.0-0.01) $0.020\pm0.02$	(0.0-0.02) $0.013\pm0.01$	(0.0 - 0.03) $0.013\pm0.01$	(0.0 - 0.01)	ND	ND	0.971	0.461
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	(0.0 - 0.08)	(0.0 - 0.05)	(0.0 - 0.05)	ND	ND	ND	0.632	0.678
$Zinc (Zn)(mgl^1)$	$0.023\pm0.01$ (0.0 - 0.05)	$0.031\pm0.02$ (0.0 - 0.09)	$0.019\pm0.02$ (0.0 - 0.07)	$0.012\pm0.00$ (0.0 - 0.02)	0.021±0.01 (0.0 - 0.04)	$0.003\pm0.00$ (0.0 - 0.01)	0.642	0.671
Cadmium (Cd) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-
Lead (Pb) $(mgl^{-1})$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-
Cobalt (Co) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-
Chromium (Cr) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-
Copper (Cu) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	ND ns_ND = Not de	ND	ND	ND	-	-

N = number of sampling runs. A, B, C, D, E, F = sampling stations. ND = Not detect

The seasonal pattern of variation for each variable is shown in Table 2. Total Alkalinity and Total Acidity

did not show similar trend in the sampling stations. Total Acidity, Conductivity, TDS and Total Hardness showed a very highly significant difference at p< 0.001. Cadmium, Cr, Co and Pb were not detected in all sampling stations. Total Acidity, Conductivity, TDS and Total Hardness, varied significantly (P=0.001) while Total Alkalinity, pH showed no significant difference in both sampling seasons. The major ions

 $(Mg^{2+}, Na^+, Cl^-, SO_4^{2-})$  varied significantly at P = 0.001 except K<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> which varied (P = 0.05) in both seasons. Dissolved oxygen and BOD<sub>5</sub> varied in both seasons (P= 0.001). For the heavy metals, there was no significant difference in any of the seasons (Table 2)

	Table 2. Seasonal	pattern of variation	in the physico-chemica	l parameters studied
--	-------------------	----------------------	------------------------	----------------------

				OVA	
	Dry Season	Rainy Season	AN	IOVA	
Variables	(n=12)	(n=12)	г	р	
	Mean $\pm$ S.E	Mean± S.E	F	Р	
	(Range)	(Range)		-	
Water Temperature $(^{0}C)$	28.4±0.3	28.8±0.5	0.44	0.512	
• • • •	(27.0 - 30.5)	(27.0 – 30.5)			
Apparent Colour	275.6±34.6	323.7±46.6	0.685	0.417	
(pt-co)	(95.3 – 441.5)	(153.0 - 758.9)	0.005	0.417	
True Colour	165.0±32.6	29.7±5.5	16 73	0.000***	
(pt-co)	(37.6 – 326.1)	(0.0 - 66.5)	10.75	0.000	
Turbidity (NTU)	22.5±2.9	16.4±2.8	2 207	0.144	
Turbidity (NTO)	(10.6 - 37.9)	(4.6 - 34.9)	2.297	0.144	
<b>TT</b> ( <b>TT TT</b> '( )	6.86±0.02	7.03±0.11	0.17	0.155	
pH (pH Units)	(6.7 - 6.9)	(6.7-7.5)	2.17	0.155	
1	707.0±32.8	284.92±19.3			
Conductivity ( $\mu$ Scm <sup>-1</sup> )	(586.0 - 900.0)	(219.0 - 371.0)	122.84	0.000***	
	415±19.57	161±8.74			
TDS (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	(325.0 - 529.0)	(132.0 - 190.0)	140.39	140.39 0.000***	
	49.83±8.3	$36.5\pm1.3$	F   0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   0.915		
Total Alkalinity (mgl <sup>1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> )			Al   F   0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   -   -	0.126	
	(14.0 - 80.0)	(28.0 - 42.0)	A   F   0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   -   -	-	
Total Hardness	65.9±1.7	48.6±2.6	32.05 0.000*		
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	(62.2 - 84.1)	(35.3 – 60.7)	0.00		
Total Acidity	76.5±13.6	14.5±2.3	20.12	0.000***	
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	(24.0 - 132.0)	(6.0 - 34.0)	20.12	0.000.***	
Calcium ion	22.9±0.8	18.8±1.0	10.081	0.004	
$(Ca^{2+}) (mgl^{-1})$	(20.9 - 31.1)	(13.5 - 23.8)	10.081	0.004	
Magnesium ion (Mg <sup>2+</sup> )	4.6±0.4	0.47±0.0	122 416	0.000***	
$(mgl^{-1})$	(3.4 - 5.7)	(0.4 -0.6)	155.410		
Sodium ion (Na <sup>+</sup> )	36.9±2.7	14.5±1.1	50 (70	0.000***	
$(mgl^{-1})$	(26.3 - 51.7)	(9.9 – 19.8)	59.670	0.000***	
Potassium ion (K <sup>+</sup> )	14.4±1.2	9.2±0.4	1 ( 500	0.001.001	
$(mgl^{-1})$	(9.7 - 19.4)	(7.5 - 11.2)	16.523	0.001***	
Bicarbonate ion	59.8±9.9	43.8±1.6			
$(\text{HCO}_3)$ (mgl <sup>1</sup> )	(16.8 - 96.0)	(33.6 - 50.4)	2.527	0.126	
Chloride ion (Cl <sup>-1</sup> )	85.0±2.8	26.8±1.2			
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	(73.9 – 104.4)	(22.7 - 32.5)	2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   0.915	0.000***	
Sulphate ion (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )	2.67±0.7	15.8±0.7			
			0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   0.915   -	0.000***	
$(mgl^{-1})$	(0.0 - 8.1)	(11.2 - 19.9)	0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   -   -		
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	3.1±0.3	5.6±0.2	F   0.44   0.685   16.73   2.297   2.17   122.84   140.39   2.53   32.05   20.12   10.081   133.416   59.670   16.523   2.527   376.194   171.835   41.194   14.355   308.132   0.278   0.037   0.915   -	0.000***	
	(1.6 - 4.0)	(4.0 - 6.8)			
$(BOD_5) (mgl^{-1})$	1.7±0.2	0.8±0.1	14 355	0.001***	
(1992)) (mgr. )	(0.0 - 2.8)	(0.4 - 1.6)	11.555	0.001	
Phosphate ion $(PO_4^{3-})$ $(mgl^{-1})$	0.8±0.0	0.3±0.0	308 132	0.000***	
r nosphate fon (1'04') (lligt')	(0.7 - 0.9)	(0.2 - 0.5)	500.152	0.000	
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$	$0.004{\pm}0.00$	0.003±0.002	0.279	0.(02	
Iron ( Fe) $(mgl^{-1})$	(0.00 - 0.03)	(0.00 - 0.02)	0.278	0.603	
Manganese (Mn)	0.0067±0.01	0.008±0.01	A 4		
(mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	(0.00 - 0.08)	(0.00 - 0.05)	0.037	0.850	
	0.014±0.01	0.023±0.01			
$Zinc (Zn)(mgl^1)$	(0.00 - 0.05)	(0.0-0.09)	0.915	0.349	
Cadmium $(Cd)$ $(mal^{-1})$					
Cadmium (Cd) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND		-	
Lead (Pb) $(mgl^{-1})$	ND	ND		-	
Cobalt (Co) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	-	-	
Chromium (Cr) (mgl <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	-	-	
Copper (Cu) $(mgl^{-1})$	ND	ND	-	-	

ND- Not detected, \*\*\* - Very highly significant

The most probable number (MPN) of bacteria recovered during the study is presented in Table 3. During the early and peak rainy season, the MPN of

bacteria in the sampling stations was between  $2.3 \times 10^{1}$  to  $1.1 \times 10^{3}$  cfu/100ml while in the early and peak dry season it ranged from  $1.1 \times 10^{3}$  to  $>1.1 \times 10^{3}$  cfu/100ml.

	Stat-	MPN presumptive (cfu/100ml)	Confirm-	Completed		
Season	ions	MPN presumptive (clu/100ml)	atory	30 <sup>o</sup> C	44°C	
	Α	23	ECNP	NA	NA	
	В	93	ECNP	NA	NA	
Early rain	С	240	ECNP	NA	NA	
	D	$1.1 \times 10^{3}$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	Е	>1.1 x $10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	F	240	ECP	G	G	
	A	>1.1 x $10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
Peak rain	В	>1.1 x $10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	С	>1.1 x $10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	D	240	ECNP	NA	NA	
	Е	>1.1 x $10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	F	$1.1 \ge 10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	A	$1.1 \text{ x} 10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	В	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
Early dry	С	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
<u> </u>	D	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	Е	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	F	>1.1 $\times 10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
Peak dry	Α	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 240 & ECNP \\ \hline >1.1 x 10^3 & ECNP \\ \hline 1.1 x 10^3 & ECNP \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ >1.1 x 10^3 & ECNP \\ \hline \end{array}$	G	G		
2	В			NA	NA	
	С			NA	NA	
	D	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	Е	$>1.1 \text{ x} 10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	
	F	>1.1 $x10^3$	ECNP	NA	NA	

Table 3. Most	Probable	Number	(MPN)	in the	Sampling	Stations
1 4010 01 111050	I I UNHOIC	1 \unino er	(	III UIIU	Samphing	Stations

NA – Not applicable, ECNP – E. coli not present, ECP - E. coli present, G – Gas production

The bacterial isolates and their occurrence at different sampling seasons is presented in table 4. Nineteen different bacterial isolates were recovered from all the six sampling stations which include Bacillus coagulans. Corvnebacterium renale. Acinobacter lowfii, Ps. aeruginosa, Kl. edwardsii, Cellulomonas Alcaligene riazotea. faecalis, Chromobacterium bovis, Enterobacter cloacae.. Brucella sp, Aeromonas veronii, Erwinia sp, Kl. ozaenae, Kl. pneumoniae, Ps. pseudomallaei, Ps. maltophila, Ps. stutzeri, Shigella alkalescens and Escherichia coli (Table 4). Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Kl. Edwardsii had the highest number of occurrence. The bacterial species diversity was greater in the rainy season than in the dry season ( $p \le 0.001$ ). Of all the isolated bacteria, only Ps. aeruginosa and Kl.

*edwardsii* showed a very high significant level at  $p \le 0.001$  at both dry and rainy season (Table 4).

### 4.0 Discussion

The seasonal variation of pH value observed in the study was higher in rainy season than in the dry season. This was at variance with results of previous studies by Dublin-Green (1990) on Borny River, where the highest pH values were recorded in the dry season and lower values in the late rainy season. This may have resulted from dilution of waters high in organic content, decaying of domestic and industrial waste litter in the residential area contributing to the acidic nature of the water, and this may affect aquatic organisms because most of their metabolic activities are pH dependent (Adeyemo, 2008). In this study, the temperature range recorded could be attributed to the insulating effect of increased nutrient load resulting from industrial discharge. However, the study conformed to the report of Ekeh and Sikoki (2003) who recorded a lowest temperature of 25°C in the rainy season and 30°C in dry season in New Calabar River. This could be due to the influx of water during the rainy season hence making the water cooler than in the dry season where water influx is lower.

Organisms	5	Sampling seas	sons	ANOVA			
	Rain (%)	Dry (%)	Occurrence	Rain Mean±S.E	Dry Mean±S.E	F	Р
Aeromonas veronii	2 (16.7)	0	2	1.83±0.11	2.0±0.0	2.200	0.152
Corynebacterium renale	3 (25)	0	3	1.75±0.13	2.00±0.0	3.667	0.069
Bacillus sp	3 (25)	0	3	1.75±0.13	2.00±0.0	3.0667	0.069
Acinobacter lowfii	3 (25)	0	3	1.75±0.13	2.0±0.0	3.0667	0.069
Brucella sp	1 (8.3)	0	1	1.92±0.08	2.0±0.0	1.00	0.328
Escherichia coli	2 (16.7)	1 (8.3)	3	1.83±0.11	$1.92 \pm 0.08$	0.355	0.557
Ps. Maltophila	1 (8.3)	0	1	1.92±0.08	2.0±0.00	1.000	0.328
Alcaligens faecalis	1 (8.3)	0	1	1.92±0.08	2.0±0.00	1.000	0.328
Chromobacterium bovis	2 (16.7)	0	2	1.83±0.11	2.0±0.0	2.200	0.152
<i>Erwinia</i> sp	1 (8.3)	0	1	1.92±0.08	2.0±0.0	1.000	0.328
Shigella alkalescens	1 (50)	1 (50)	2	1.9±0.08	$1.92 \pm 0.08$	0.000	1.000
Klebsiella 0zoenae	2 (16.7)	1 (8.3)	3	1.83±0.11	$1.92 \pm 0.08$	0.355	0.557
Ps. aeruginosa	4 (33.3)	12 (100)	16	1.67±0.14	$1.0\pm0.00$	22.000	0.000***
Enterobacter cloacae	0 (0)	1 (8.3)	1	2.0±0.00	$1.92 \pm 0.08$	1.000	0.328
Cellulomonas riazotea	2 (16.7)	3 (25)	5	1.83±0.11	1.75±0.13	0.234	0.633
Kl. Edwardsii	3 (25)	12 (100)	15	1.75±0.13	1.0±0.00	33.000	0.000***
Kl. Pneumoniae	1 (8.3)	2 (16.7)	3	1.92±0.08	1.83±0.11	0.355	0.557
Ps. pseudomallaei	1 (8.3)	4 (33.3)	5	$1.92 \pm 0.08$	1.67±0.14	2.302	0.143
Ps. Stutzeri	0 (0)	1 (25)	1	2.00±0.00	1.75±0.13	3.667	0.069

T٤	able 4. Frequency	of bacterial isolates at d	lifferent Sampling Seasons
	ã		13.70

The multiple antibiotic resistance profile of the isolates is shown in table 5. Resistance of isolates to antibiotics was generally high. Fourteen of the isolated bacteria were multiple antibiotic resistant types. Six

(37.2%) showed resistance to two antibiotics; seven (37.2%) to three antibiotics while one (5.3%) was resistant to four antibiotics (Table 5).

ORGANISM	NUMBER OF ANTIBIOTICS	MAR PATTERN	Number of organism(%)	Total number of organism (%)
Corynebacterium bovis		AMX, CXC	1 (5.3%)	
Cellulomonas riazotea	2	ERY, COT	1 (5.3%)	6 (31.7%)
Pseudomonas stutzeri		CRO, NIT	4 (21.1%)	
Pseudomonas pseudomallei		CRO, NIT, COT	1 (5.3%)	
Pseudomonas fluorescens		CRO, NIT, COT	1 (5.3%)	
Klebsiella edwardsii		CRO, NIT, COT	1 (5.3%)	
Corynebacterium renale	3	CRO, GEN, AMX	1 (5.3%)	7 (37.2%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		CRO, NIT, GEN	1 (5.3%)	
Bacillus coagulans		AUG, AMX, COT	1 (5.3%)	
Acinobacter lowfii		CRO, GEN, CPX	1 (5.3%)	
Klebsiella pneumoniae	4	CRO,NIT,COT, AUX	1 (5.3%)	1 (5.3%)

Table 5. Multiple antibiotic resistance profile of the bacterial isolates

MAR= Multiple antibiotic resistance, AUG = Augmentin; AMX = Amoxicilin; ERY= Erythromycin; TET = Tetracycline; CXC = Cloxacillin; GEN = Gentamicin; COT= Cotromozazole; CHL = Chloramphenicol; CRO = Ceftriazone; NIT = Nitrofuranton; OFL = Ofloxazin; CPX = Ciprofloxazin; PFX= Pefloxacin

Phosphate is considered to be the most significant among nutrients responsible for eutrophication of lakes as it is the primary initiating factor. In this study, the phosphate concentration was high in the dry season compared to that recorded in the rainy season. This could be attributed to concentration effect as a result of reduced water volume during the dry season, while the mean value recorded in the wet season could be due to the dilution effect of rains. Similar findings were observed in Cross River, in Eastern Nigeria by Akpan *et al* (1990). The phosphate concentration in the water was above the 0.1mgl<sup>-1</sup> of World Health Organization

(W.H.O) standard. The excess gives rise to algal bloom and causes risk to human beings as algae produce toxins, which damage neurological system. In this study, the chloride ion concentration reduced down the sampling stations and was within the WHO permissible limit of 200 mg  $l^{-1}$ .

The DO concentration of the water examined showed that the canal was poorly aerated, irrespective of sites since it was below the W.H.O standard for permissible limit. The seasonal variation showed that BOD<sub>5</sub> was higher in dry season than rainy season which conformed to the observations of (Akinbuwa 1988, 1999) on Opa Reservoir and Erinle Lakes, respectively. This could be due to the reduced water volume and high microbial activities during the dry season. The conductivity values of the canal water did not fall within the existing record of standard for African waters as indicated by (2010), since the recorded values during the period of study were higher than 600µScm<sup>-1</sup> in some stations. In the present study, the conductivity values were greater than the recommended values and hence the water cannot be safely used for domestic and agricultural purposes.

The Canal water exhibited an overall ionic dominance pattern of  $Na^+ > Ca^{2+} > K^+ > Mg^{2+}$  and  $Cl > HCO_3 > SO_4^{2-}$ ). The ionic dominance of the Canal water was in contrast with the ionic dominance pattern of  $Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > Na^+ > K^+$  and  $HCO_3 > SO_4^{2-}Cl$  for freshwater bodies (Karikari and Ansa-Asare, 2005). It is apparent that the dominance of chloride over sulphate could be due to the large amount of domestic wastes being discharged into the canal waters.

The presence of Iron in the study area could be attributed to high organic matter and low dissolved oxygen content, in that Iron can easily be absorbed on particulate organic matter or complexes with colloidal organic matter in aquatic environment as pointed out by Deekae *et al.* (2010).The values of Zn at all the Sites were below the 5.0 mg  $I^{-1}$  highest desirable level in drinking water, though this may not pose immediate visible damage, however when it accumulates, it becomes problematic to aquatic ecosystem.

It is evident from the results obtained in this study that water samples from Oke-Afa Canal were heavily contaminated with potential pathogenic bacteria. The index of the microbial load was high and evidently pronounced bacterial pollution. The same trend was observed during the dry and rainy seasons, though more bacteria were encountered in the dry season. This may be due to the high evaporation of the water and subsequent discharge of waste from the residential buildings and industrial facilities around the area during the dry season.

The Total Heterotrophic Bacteria (THB) recorded at the sampling stations was high in stations A and F during the early rainy season. Comparatively, low THB count was observed at station C. This indicates the dilution effect of the flowing canal water. This agrees with studies observed by Ekhaise and Anyasi (Ekhaise and Anyasi, 2005) on Ikpoba River in Nigeria, due to the flushing action of deep water and also this station is not covered by the overhead bridge and therefore is exposed to bactericidal effect of sunlight. Odeyemi *et al.* (2010) reported similar findings. The relatively high counts in stations B, D, E and F could be attributed to the incidence of human activities such as defaecation, since bushes are around the canal banks and waste effluents from drains of houses built around the canal banks.

The presence of coliforms such as *E. coli*, *Shigella alkalescens, Alcaligens faecalis* in the water samples during the sampling period in stations A and B indicates faecal pollution. The presence of faecal coliform and *E. coli* in all the water samples clearly shows that the canal water is heavily polluted with human faecal materials. This agrees with the submission of Bakare *et al.* (2003) that the presence of *E. coli* in water samples is an indication of faecal contamination of the river. Thus it can be assumed that canal water is exposed to both site and non-site pollution.

The general increase in heterotrophic indicator organism in early and peak dry season is the period of high risk to most residential homes situated around the canal since there is high possibility that other faecal pathogens are washed down in the same manner due to the erratic slow flow of the canal water and easier percolation to ground water supplies in such homes.

Amongst all the identified bacterial isolates, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella edwardsii were the most prevalent with a very high significance level. Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an autochthonic organism of which biological biocenosis of waters and algal blooms may stimulate their development. Regardless of the auto- or allochtonous origin of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, it is an epiphyte bacterium and occurs in surface water at the boundary of phases "stable parts-water" which was the case with Oke-Afa canal. The organisms isolated in the canal are potential pathogens, capable of causing various gastrointestinal disorders in human. Resistance of isolates to antibiotics varied. Resistance to gentamycin was low. This study corroborated the report of Odevemi et al. (2010) that demonstrated low incidence of antibiotic resistance to gentamycin. A similar study by Rice et al. (1995) collaborates this phenomenon by affirming that highlevel gentamycin resistance is rarely detected among enterobacteria bacteria isolated from the aquatic environment.

High prevalence of resistance to erythromycin, ceftriazone, and amoxicillin in this study could partly

be traced to abuse and co-selection of resistance by the organisms.

#### Conclusion

The concentration of chemical variables, quality and diversity of bacterial species obtained in the study could be hazardous to human health, riparian users and residential homes situated around the canal. The establishment of continuously, co-coordinately monitoring program in Oke-Afa canal will provide a complete overview for the ecological situation of the canal water.

### Acknowledgements

Authors wish to express their profound gratitude to Prof I. F. Adeniyi, Mr Aduwo, Department of Zoology, and Mrs T. O. Awotipe and Mr P. O. Oni, Department of Microbiology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife for the technical assistance rendered.

### References

- Abowei JFN. Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and Surface Water Temperature Conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Adv. J. Food Sc. Technol. 2010; 2(1): 36-40.
- 2. Ademoroti CMA. Standard Methods for Water and Effluents analysis.Folude Press Ltd. Ibadan. 1996b; 182pp.
- 3. Adeyemo OK, Adedokun OA, Yusuf R, Adeleye EA. Seasonal changes in physico-chemical parameters and nutrient load of river sediments in Ibadan city, Nigeria. *Global Nest J.* 2008; 10 (3):326-336.
- Akpan ER, Offem JO. Seasonalvariation in water quality of the Cross River, Nigeria. *Rev. Hydrobiol. Trop.* 1993; 26 (2): 95-103.
- Akinbuwa O. The Study of the Physico-chemical factors and Rotifera fauna of Opa Reservoir, Ile-Ife, Nigeria Unpublished M. Sc. Thesis, Zoology Department, University of Ife, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. 1988; Pp.261.
- Akinbuwa O. The Rotifera and Physico-chemical Conditions of Erinle Lake and its major inflows at Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Zoology Department, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. 1999; Pp.333.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 19<sup>th</sup> ed. 1995, Washington, U.S.A.
- Ayejuyo OO, Olowu RA, Adewuyi GO, Adejoro IA, Akinbola TA, Osundiya MO *et al.* Assessment of pollution trend of okeafa canal lagos, nigeria. *E-Journal of Chemistry.* 2010; 7 (2):605-611.
- Bakare AA, Lateef A, Amuda OS, Afolabi R. The aquatic toxicity and characterization of chemical and microbiological constituents of water samples from Oba River, Odo-oba, Nigeria. *Asia Jr. of microbial. Biotech. Env. Sc.* 2003; 5(1):11 – 17.

- Bergeys Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. Bergeys Manual Trust and Willians and Wilkins, Baltimore. 2003; 2nd Edition: Vol.1.
- CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; fifteenth informational supplement, Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute Wayne, Pa. M100-S18, 2008; vol.28, no.1.
- Charis KB, Abbasi SA. A study on the fish fauna of Oussuddu – a rare freshwater lake of South India. Int. J. Environ. Studies. 2005; 62: 137 – 145.
- Deekae SN, Abowei JFN, Alfred-Ockiya JF. Seasonal Variation of Some Physical and Chemical Parameters of Luubara Creek, Ogoni Land, Niger Delta.*Nigeria. Res J. Environ. Earth Sc.* 2010; 2(4): 208-215.
- Dublin-Green CO. Seasonal variations in some physicochemical parameters of the Bonny Estuary, Niger Delta. *NIOMR Technical Paper*. 1990; 59: 21-25.
- Ekeh IB, Sikoki FD. The state and seasonal variability of some physico-chemical parameters in the New Calabar River, Nigeria. *SuppndActaHydrobiol.* 2003; 5: 45-60.
- Ekhaise FO, Anyasi CC. Influence of breweries effluent discharge on the microbiological and physico-chemical quality of Ikpoba River, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biol.* 2005; 4 (10):1062-1065.
- 17. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Analytical *Methods* Approved for Drinking Water Compliance Monitoring under the Total Coliform Rule. 2008.
- Golterman RI, Clymo RS, Ohnstad MAM. Methods for Physical and Chemical analysis of freshwater.*IBP* Handbook No.8. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford. 1978, 214pp.
- Junshum P, Menasveta P, Traichaiyaporn S. Water Quality Assessment in Reservoirs and Wastewater Treatment System of the Mae Moh Power Plant, Thailand. J. Agric. Soc. Sc. 2007; 3:1813–2235.
- Karikari AY, Ansa-Asare OD. Physico-Chemical and Microbial Water Quality Assessment of Densu River of Ghana. West Afr. J. Appl. Ecol. 2005; 10:87-100.
- Nubi AT, Osibanjo OA. Impact assessment of dumpsite leachate on the qualities of surface water and sediment of river Eku, Ona-ara local government, Oyo state, Nigeria. *Sc. World J.* 2008; 3 (3): 12 – 25.
- Odeyemi AT, Dada AC, Ogunbanjo OR, Ojo MA. Bacteriological, Physicochemical and Mineral studies on Awedele spring water and soil samples in Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. Afr. J. Environ. Sc. Technol. 2010; 4(6):319-327
- 23. Olayemi, A. B. Bacteriological water assessment of Urban River in Nigeria. *Inter. J. Environ. Health Res.* 1994; *4:* 156-164. Ayejuyo.
- 24. Rice EW, Messer JW, Johnson CH, Reasoner DJ. Occurrence of high-level aminoglycoside resistance in environmental isolates of enterococci. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1995; 61:374-6.
- 25. WHO. Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Third Edition. Volume 1: Recommendations. World Health Organization, Geneva, 2004.
- 26. Za JH. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice Hall. Inc., New Jersey, USA, 1999; 663 pp.

10/25/2014