### Investigation cognitive effects of drug addiction

#### Shabnam Birjandi

### M. A. in Clinical Psychology

Abstract: The objective of this systematic review was to identify and synthesize literature on risk factors that are associated with Methamphetamine (MA) use among youth. More than 40 electronic databases, websites, and key journals/meeting abstracts were searched. Methamphetamine is a potent stimulant that is readily available. Its effects are similar to cocaine, but the drug has a profile associated with increased acute and chronic toxicities. We included studies that compared children and adolescents who used MA to those who did not. Among low-risk youth, a history of engaging in a variety of risky behaviors was significantly associated with MA use. A history of a psychiatric disorder was a risk factor for MA for both low- and high-risk youth. Family environment was also associated with MA use. One reviewer extracted the data and a second checked for completeness and accuracy. For discrete risk factors, odds ratios (OR) were calculated and when appropriate, a pooled OR with 95% confidence intervals was calculated. Future research should utilize prospective study designs so that temporal relationships between risk factors and MA use can be established. Twelve studies were included. Female sex was also significantly associated with MA use. Many of the included studies were cross-sectional making it difficult to assess causation.

[Shabnam Birjandi. Investigation cognitive effects of drug addiction. *Nat Sci* 2015;13(6):39-44]. (ISSN: 1545-0740). <u>http://www.sciencepub.net/nature</u>. 6

**Keywords:** Methamphetamine, drug addiction, cognitive effects

#### 1. Introduction

Due to its high potential for abuse, methamphetamine is classified as a Schedule II drug and is available only through a prescription that cannot be refilled. Although methamphetamine can be prescribed by a doctor, its medical uses are limited, and the doses that are prescribed are much lower than those typically abused. Methamphetamine (MA), also known as crystal meth, is a synthetic stimulant that affects the brain and central nervous system. This is followed by a prolonged high that results in an extended period of euphoria.

Most of the methamphetamine abused in this country comes from foreign or domestic superlabs, although it can also be made in small, illegal laboratories, where its production endangers the people in the labs, neighbors, and the environment. The half-life of MA ranges from 10-30 hours depending on the purity of the drug, urine pH, and the amount consumed. Like other psychoactive drugs of abuse, chronic MA use can result in tolerance, where increased amounts of MA are required to produce the same high. Because MA is a stimulant, it produces physiological and psychological effects similar to those elicited by cocaine. MA stimulates the release of dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin, and blocks their reuptake. This excess amount of neurotransmitters in the synapses produces sensations of euphoria, lowered inhibitions, feelings of invincibility, increased wakefulness, heightened sexual experiences, and hyperactivity resulting from increased energy for extended periods of time.

Deleterious short-term effects include increased heart and respiration rates, hyperthermia, chest pain, hypertension, increased respiration, decreased appetite, anorexia, irritability, confusion, tremors, convulsions, anxiety, aggressiveness, and symptoms of psychosis such as hallucinations and paranoia. This is followed by mental and physical exhaustion, headaches, irritability, reduced concentration, hunger, decreased energy, anhedonia, and a craving for more MA.

Cognitive impairments and changes in the brain that result in symptoms similar to those of Parkinson's disease can occur. Long-term use of MA with neurotoxicity. use is associated neurodegeneration, and clinical depression that may lead to homicidal and suicidal ideation and action. MA is produced, or "cooked" quickly, reasonably simply, and cheaply by using legal and readily available ingredients, including ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, red phosphorous, iodine, ammonia, paint thinner, lye, camping fuel, drain cleaner, and lithium.

These components and cooking tools can be purchased at local drug stores and hardware stores, and recipes can be found on the Internet. Many of the chemicals used in the production of MA are explosive and the generated waste products are corrosive and toxic. Thus, we performed a systematic review to identify factors at the individual, family, and community level that are associated with MA use among children and adolescents. Because MA is easily accessible, relatively cheap, and has reinforcing properties, chronic use can pose a significant danger. If risk factors for MA use could be identified, physicians and other health care professionals who work with youth may be better equipped to identify MA users and develop education and prevention programs that could be targeted to youth at greater risk for using MA.

## **Material and Methods**

Data were extracted by one reviewer and checked for accuracy and completeness by a second reviewer. A standard data extraction form was developed and the data were subsequently entered into an electronic database. Two reviewers independently screened the titles and, when available, the abstracts. Based on general inclusion criteria, studies were classified as "potentially relevant", "irrelevant", and "unclear". The full text of studies described as "potentially relevant" and "unclear" was obtained and two reviewers independently applied the specific inclusion criteria. Studies were included if they compared children  $\leq 18$  years of age who did and did not use MA (the comparison group could be other drug users or children who do not use drugs). The following study designs were included: case-control, cohort, and cross-sectional. Studies were excluded if they did not have a comparison group, if the outcomes were not measured quantitatively or if they were uncontrolled before and after studies, case-series, or case studies.

Disagreements were resolved through discussion or through third party adjudication, as necessary. Two reviewers independently assessed methodological quality; discrepancies were resolved through consensus or by third party adjudication as required. Observational studies were assessed using the Downs and Black checklist. This tool comprises six sections that assess reporting, external validity, internal validity (bias), internal validity (confounding), and power.

After reviewing the studies that met our inclusion criteria, we made a post hoc decision to group the studies and conduct the data analysis by the nature of the comparison group: 1) youth who did not use illicit drugs (referred to as "low-risk") and 2) youth who abused illicit drugs other than MA or were recruited from juvenile detention centers (referred to as "high-risk"). For each included study, we extracted information about the population (demographics and sources), type of study (study design, prospective or retrospective data collection), definitions and details of risk factors, and the numeric results. For dichotomous risk factors (e.g., sex) we calculated pooled odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), where appropriate. For continuous

risk factors (e.g., years of education) we calculated weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% CI using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model.

For this review a value greater than 50% was considered as substantial heterogeneity. In instances where only one study reported the specific risk factor or where pooling was not appropriate, the effect estimate (OR or WMD) and 95% CI were reported for each risk factor. A random effects model was used because it allows for combining heterogeneous results where the heterogeneity cannot readily be explained. The resulting estimate is more conservative because the resulting confidence intervals are wider. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the  $I^2$  statistic, which describes the percentage of total variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance.

## Results

Therefore, our review includes 13 publications but only 12 unique studies. Study retrieval and selection is outlined in Figure 1.The database, grey literature searches and hand searching yielded 2,376 potentially relevant studies. In total, 106 unique studies were reviewed and 13 met our inclusion criteria. Of these, two studies assessed risk factors in the same population and are treated as one study for the purposes of this report.

Three studies administered urine tests to determine MA use and one study diagnosed children with MA dependence. The remaining studies relied on self-reported MA use. Seven studies were conducted in North America and the remaining five in Asia. Most studies relied on self-reported MA use. The majority of the studies were published recently; the median year of publication was 2004. The median sample size was 604 and ranged from 60 to 78,715. Three studies used a case-control design and the remaining nine were cross-sectional. In five studies, youth who reported using MA were compared to youth who did not use illicit drugs, hereafter referred to as "low-risk" youth.

These low-risk youth were sampled from school populations. For the remaining seven studies, youth who reported using MA were compared to youth who abused illicit drugs other than MA, and/or youth who were sampled from juvenile detention centers, hereafter referred to as "high-risk" youth. Youth were recruited from a variety of locations, including schools, detention centers, juvenile homes, or treatment facilities. One study included youth up to the age of 24 and one study did not report the age of participants.



Figure 1. Risk factors affected by Methamphetamine use.

The studies assessed a variety of risk factors, including demographic variables, mental health status, and risky behaviors. The median Downs and Black score was 15 of a possible score of 29 and ranged from 12 to 28 suggesting a risk of bias for those studies that received lower quality scores. Six of the twelve studies reported adjusted results, that is, the risk factor of interest was adjusted for other potentially confounding risk factors.

Six studies disclosed their funding source and the most common source was a government agency. Five studies compared risk factors for MA among low-risk youth, as defined above. There was considerable heterogeneity among the studies, which precluded the calculation of pooled estimates of effects in most cases.

Two studies (one case-control and one cross-sectional) independently reported statistically significant associations between alcohol use, smoking, and heroin/opiate use.

Pooled estimates are presented where appropriate. Two cross-sectional studies examined sex as a risk factor and both independently indicated a significant association showing that males are more likely to use MA than females.

One study found the following behaviors were significantly associated with MA use: engaging in unprotected sex, engaging in unplanned sex under the influence of alcohol, and engaging in sexual intercourse with an alcohol-intoxicated partner. Two cross-sectional studies independently reported a significant association between having ever previously engaged in sexual intercourse and using MA.

However, Caucasian youth were significantly less likely to use MA than Hispanic and Native American youth. One cross-sectional study using survey data examined ethnicity as a risk factor for MA. The results showed that Caucasian youth were more likely to use MA than African-American youth and Asian youth. One case-control and two cross-sectional studies examined education as a risk factor for MA use and all three studies concluded that MA use was significantly associated with fewer years of education. Two studies reported the mean years of education among MA users and non-MA users.

Two studies of the same population examined the relationship between psychiatric disorders and MA use; however, the studies did not examine the same psychiatric conditions and their results could not be pooled. The presence of the following conditions was found to be significantly associated with MA use: having any psychiatric disorder, adjustment disorder, conduct disorder, and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Oppositional defiance disorder, anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder, dysthmic disorder, bipolar disorder, and eating disorder were not significantly associated with MA use among lowrisk youth. Several other risk factors were associated with MA use among low-risk youth: being homosexual or bisexual, experiencing disruptive parenting, peers using or providing MA, and family history of drug use. Three studies conducted adjusted or multivariable logistic regression analyses (i.e., the risk factor of interest was adjusted for other potentially confounding factors). Lampinen et al. found that age and sexual preference were significant risk factors for MA use; sex was not found to be a risk factor in their analysis. After adjusting for other psychiatric conditions and peer and family characteristics, Sattah et al. reported that the following risk factors were associated with MA use: recent alcohol or tobacco use, history of marijuana use, not having a family confidant, peer pressure,

having a positive attitude towards MA use, and sexual experience.

After controlling for age and race, Rawson et al. found that female sex was significantly associated with MA use. In the third study, female sex, age, more than two admissions to a juvenile home, non-violent history, psychiatric treatment, family history of drug misuse, and child abuse were significantly associated with MA use; a family history of crime was not significantly associated with MA use.

The third study found that conduct disorder, a positive attitude toward MA use, poor understanding of MA use, disruptive parenting, low level of caregiver education, friends using or providing MA, and a more interactive interaction with peers were significant risk factors for MA. Sex was not found to be statistically significant in this multivariable analysis. Seven studies examined risk factors for MA among high-risk. Because of substantial heterogeneity among the studies, pooling of estimates was generally not appropriate.

Three studies (one case-control and two cross-sectional) examined the association between ethnicity and MA use among high-risk youth. Compared to African-American and Asian youth, Caucasian youth were significantly more likely to use MA. There was no significant difference in MA use between Caucasian versus Hispanic, Asian, or Native American.

Five studies (one case-control and four cross-sectional) independently reported that female sex was significantly associated with MA use. One cross-sectional study found no association between a history of alcohol use and MA use.

One cross-sectional study categorized participants into two age groups: 13–14 years and 15–18 years. The results show that age was not significantly associated with MA use. One cross-sectional study found that a family history of crime or drug use was significantly associated with MA use. The pooled analysis of two cross-sectional studies showed a significant association between family history of alcohol abuse and MA use.

The pooled analysis of one cross-sectional and one case-control study showed a two-fold association between children who experienced abuse and MA use; however, the result was not statistically significant. Three studies conducted a multivariable logistic regression analysis. Shillington et al. found that strict parental monitoring, after controlling for age, was a statistically significant protective factor against MA use among high-risk youth. The following factors were significantly associated with MA use: receiving psychiatric treatment, greater than two admissions to juvenile home, and history of violence. However, strict parental monitoring was found to be protective for MA use among high-risk youth.

# Discussion and conclusion

An exhaustive search of over 40 electronic databases, grey literature, and hand searching identified 12 unique studies that met our inclusion criteria. This systematic review presents the bestavailable evidence regarding risk factors for MA use among youth. The majority of the studies were crosssectional in design and therefore it is not possible to determine whether the risk factors precede or follow MA use. Because we believe that factors associated with MA use may differ among socially integrated (i.e., low-risk) and marginalized (i.e., high-risk) youth, we chose to analyze these studies separately. Compared to low-risk youth, there were some clear patterns of risk factors associated with MA use.

A history of engaging in a variety of risky behaviors (e.g., sexual activity [planned, unplanned, or under the influence of alcohol], alcohol consumption, and opiate use) was significantly associated with MA use among low-risk youth. Engaging in high-risk behavior may be a gateway for MA use or vice versa. Homosexual or bisexual lifestyle is also a risk factor. This is not surprising, as MA is believed to heighten sexual pleasure and gay and bisexual men cite this as a reason for using MA. A history of a psychiatric disorder and, in particular, adjustment disorder, conduct disorder, or ADHD, is a risk factor for MA use.

This is consistent with previous research that shows psychiatric conditions to be risk factors for drug use in general. Several risk factors were associated with MA use among high risk youth (i.e., those that used other illicit drugs or were in detention/juvenile centers). Unlike low-risk youth, females were significantly more likely to use MA. Youth who grew up in an unstable family environment (e.g., family history of crime, alcohol use, and drug use) were significantly more likely to use MA. While child abuse was not a significant risk factor, it approached statistical significance.

High-risk youth who had received treatment for psychiatric conditions were more likely to use MA. One study found that strict parental monitoring was found to be protective against MA use among this group of youth. There were only 12 studies that met our inclusion criteria. These studies were fairly heterogeneous, which precluded pooling of results for most risk factors. Furthermore, many of the risk factors were assessed in only one study and the sample size was small. This is reflected in the wide confidence intervals and imprecise effect estimates. Most studies were either cross-sectional or retrospective making it impossible to assess a causal relationship between the risk factors and MA use.

As with any systematic review, there is the possibility of publication and selection bias. However, we feel the risk for publication bias was minimized by our exhaustive search process. In addition to electronic databases, the reference lists of the included studies were searched, relevant conference proceedings and key journals were hand searched, and a thorough grey literature search was conducted. Youth who engage in risk-taking behaviors, live in an unstable home environment, have a psychiatric condition, and have peers that use or sell MA have a higher propensity for MA use. In order to identify youth at risk for MA use, health care workers and counsellors need to conduct a holistic assessment that includes psychiatric, lifestyle, and family history.

# References

- Rawson RA, Gonzales R, Obert JL, McCann MJ, Brethen P: Methamphetamine use among treatment-seeking adolescents in Southern California: participant characteristics and treatment response. J Subst Abuse Treat 2005, 29(2):67-74.
- Wray JN: Psychophysiological aspects of methamphetamine abuse. J Addict Nurs 2000, 12:143-7.
- Shillington AM, Lehman S, Clapp J, Hovell MF, Sipan C, Blumberg EJ: Parental monitoring: can it continue to be protective among high-risk adolescents? J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse 2005, 15(1):1-15.
- 4. Centre for Addiction and Mental Health: Methamphetamine use down among Ontario students, 1999–2005. CAMH Population Studies EBulletin 2006, 7(2):1-2.
- Shillington AM, Clapp JD: Adolescents in public substance abuse treatment programs: the impacts of sex and race on referrals and outcomes. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse 2003, 12(4):69-91.
- 6. Miura H, Fujiki M, Shibata A, Ishikawa K: Prevalence and profile of methamphetamine users in adolescents at a juvenile classification home.
- 7. Sattah MV, Supawitkul S, Dondero TJ, et al.: Prevalence of and risk factors for methamphetamine use in Northern Thai youth: results of an audio-computer-assisted selfinterviewing survey with urine testing.
- 8. Albertson TE, Derlet RW, Van Hoozen BE: Methamphetamine and the expanding complications of amphetamines. West J Med 1999, 170(4):214-9.

- Klasser GD, Epstein J: Methamphetamine and its impact on dental care. J Can Dent Assoc 2005, 71(10):759-62. Lake CR, Quirk RS: CNS stimulants and the look-alike drugs. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1984, 7(4):689-701.
- Sulzer D, Sonders MS, Poulsen NW, Galli A: Mechanisms of neurotransmitter release by amphetamines: a review. Prog Neurobiol 2005, 75(6):406-33.
- Marcelle G, ed: Methamphetamines: a guide for parents and other caregivers. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration, National Clearinghouse for Alcohol and Drug Information; 1999.
- 12. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, et al.: Association of dopamine transporter reduction with psychomotor impairment in methamphetamine abusers. Am J Psychiatry 2001, 158(3):377-82.
- 13. National Institute on Drug Abuse: NIDA Research Report Series: Methamphetamine abuse and addiction. Rockville, MD: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2002. Research Report Series.
- 14. Anglin MD, Burke C, Perrochet B, Stamper E, Dawud-Noursi S: History of the methamphetamine problem. J Psychoactive Drugs 2000, 2(2):137-41.
- 15. Ministerial Action Group on Drugs: Methamphetamine Action Plan. Wellington, NZ: National Drug Policy; 2003.
- 16. Saul D: Western Canadian Summit on methamphetamine: bringing together practitioners, policy makers and researchers: consensus panel report. Vancouver, BC: Vancouver Coastal Health; 2005:1-48.
- 17. Slavin S: Crystal methamphetamine use among gay men in Sydney. Contemporary Drug Problems 2004, 32:425-65.
- Parks L, Jack M, for the Alberta Centre for Child Family & Community Research: Methamphetamines in Alberta: a focus on children, youth, and families [Web Page]. 2005.
- Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, et al.: Loss of dopamine transporters in methamphetamine abusers recovers with protracted abstinence. J Neurosci 2001, 21:9414-8. Royal Canadian Mounted Police: K Division Methamphetamine Strategy [Web Page]. 2005.
- 20. Office of Applied Studies: Methamphetamine use, abuse, and dependence:2002, 2003, and 2004. National survey on drug use and health: The NSDUH Report. Rockwell, MD: Substance

Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2005.

- 21. Patton D, Brown D, Brozeit B, Dhaliwal J: Substance abuse among Manitoba high school students. Winnipeg, MB: Addictions Foundation of Manitoba; 2001.
- analysis. BMJ 2003, 327:557-60. Yen CF, Yang YH, Chong MY: Correlates of methamphetamine use for Taiwanese adolescents. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2006, 60(2):160-7.
- 23. Yen CF: Relationship between methamphetamine use and risky sexual behavior in adolescents. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2004, 20(4):160-5.
- 24. Yen CF, Chong MY: Comorbid psychiatric disorders, sex, and methamphetamine use in adolescents: a case-control study. Compr Psychiatry 2006, 47(3):215-20.
- 25. Downs SH, Black N: The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health 1998, 52(6):377-84.

- 26. DerSimonian R, Laird N: Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986, 7(3):177-88.
- 27. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2006, 60(3):352-7.
- 28. Uchida C: Drug abuse and psychosocial background among juvenile delinquents: Correlation between self-destructive behaviors and traumatic experiences. International Medical Journal 1995, 2(1):34-7.
- 29. Green AI, Halkitis PN: Crystal methamphetamine and sexual sociality in an urban gay subculture: an elective affinity.
- 30. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG: Measuring inconsistency in meta-Research and Division of Government Relations. Alcohol, drug abuse and mental disorders: reducing the economic and human costs.
- 31. Oetting ER, Deffenbacher JL, Taylor MJ, Luther N, Beauvais F, Edwards RW: Methamphetamine use by high school students: recent trends, gender and ethnicity differences, and use of other drugs. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse 2000, 10(1):33-50.
- 32. Kim JY, Fendrich M: Gender differences in juvenile arrestees' drug use, self-reported dependence, and perceived need for treatment. Psychiatr Serv 2002, 53(1):70-5.

4/24/2015