

## Impact of pollution with lead, mercury and cadmium on the immune response of *Oreochromis niloticus*

Omima A.S.A.Aboud

Department of Fish Diseases Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Giza,Egypt  
[Omimaaboud65@yahoo.com](mailto:Omimaaboud65@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** Evaluation of the effects of lead, mercury and cadmium on both humoral and cellular immune response of *Oreochromis niloticus* “*T. nilotica*” fish was carried out toward an important fish pathogenic bacteria “*Pseudomonas fluorescens*”. The effects on cell mediated immune response was determined by using the phagocytic assay “phag. index”. The results revealed that, lead, mercury and cadmium have inhibitory effect on phagocytic activity of fish macrophages and so having an inhibitory effect on cell mediated immune response. The results also revealed that. The inhibitory effect of lead, mercury was of the same level along the time of exposure while in cadmium the inhibitory effect was high in the first week of exposure then the percentage of phagocytosis re-increased after 3 weeks and re-increased again after 6 weeks. The effect of these metals on humoral immune response revealed also that these metals having inhibitory effect on humoral immune functions which is manifested by low levels of antibodies and high mortality rates in fish exposed to these metals than in the control fish after experimental infection by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. No doubt that there was suppression of humoral and cell mediated immune response. Immune response by these metals provides opportunities for the entry of pathogens and developing of many diseases in fish. [New York Science Journal 2010;3(9):12-16]. (ISSN: 1554-0200).

**Key words:** lead; mercury; cadmium; tilapia nilotica; phagocytic assay; antibody titer; pseudomonas fluorescens.

### Introduction

Water pollution referred to the addition to the water of an excess of material that is harmful to humans, animals and fishes (Vesilland *et al.*, 1990). The materials found in water and considered toxic to fishes in one way or another can be categorized into (oxygen debilitating materials, toxic materials, toxic gases, toxic organic compounds and pesticides, Post, 1989).

The contamination of freshwater with a wide range of pollutants has become a matter of concern over the last few decades (Vutukuru, 2005; Dirilgen, 2001; Voegborlo, *et al.*, 1999). The natural aquatic systems may extensively be contaminated with heavy metals released from domestic, industrial, mining and other man-made activities (Coacher, *et al.*, 1993; Velez and Monoro, 1998; Kalay and Canli, 2000). The toxic effects heavy metals have been reviewed, including bioaccumulations (Rasmussen and Anderson, 2000; Adami *et al.*, 2002; Waqar, 2006). Heavy metals are surrounded with great care and special importance due to their highly toxic effects on fish as they affect survivability, growth and reproduction. All living creatures, immune system and immune response come about as protective mechanism to react and protect the fish from attack by various microorganisms and parasites (Vorkamp *et al.*, 2004; Andreji *et al.*, 2005). Suppression of immune system and immune response may results from action of several pollutants including heavy metals which provide opportunities for entering of many pathogens,

but effect of heavy metals on immune system and immune response of cultured tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* is not fully understood (Compagno, 2001; Storelli *et al.*, 2002; Liu and Kuch, 2005).

Heavy metals are surrounded with great care and special importance due to their highly toxic effects on fish as they affect survivability, growth and reproduction (Gill and Pant 1985, Sorenson 1991 and Thuvander 1998)

No doubting all living creatures, immune system and immune response come about as a protective mechanism and although fishes are most primitive vertebrates, but they too had to develop an immune system proficient enough to react and protect them from attack by various microorganisms and parasites (Vorkamp *et al.* 2004; Andreji *et al.* 2005).

Suppression of immune system and immune response may results from the action of several pollutants including heavy metals which provide opportunities for entering of many pathogens, but till now the effect of heavy metals on the immune system and immune response is not fully understood (Compagno 2001; Storelli *et al.* 2002; Liu and Kuch, 2005).

The aim of this study was evaluation of the effects of lead, mercury and cadmium on humoral and cell mediated immune response of *Oreochromis niloticus* which is the most popular fish in Egypt.

### Material and Methods

**Fish for experimental work:** one hundred and eight *Oreochromis niloticus* with a range of weight 140-160 g and a range length 20-22 cm were used. The fish were obtained from an private fish farm in kafr El-sheikh Governorate. Fish acclimated and kept under observation for 2 weeks before starting of the experiment and were fed once daily on artificial dry pillets according to (De selva 1991).

#### Chemicals:

Heavy metals used in the experiment were:

- -Lead (pb): as lead acetate salt  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_6\text{O}_4\text{Pb} \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , (riedel dehaen, Germany.)
- -Mercury (Hg): as mercuric chloride salts ( $\text{HgCl}_2$ ), rhone poulenc, France.
- -Cadmium (cd): as cadmium chloride. 1 hydrate  $\text{CdCl}_2$ , rhone poulenc, France.

#### Bacterial strain used for the challenge experiment:

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* was isolated from diseased *Oreochromis niloticus* showing signs of septicaemia at Fish Diseases Department, Animal Health Research Institute. The organism was identified using microscopical examination, culture and API20 according to Austin and Austin (1989).

#### Evaluation of phagocytic activity (cellular) in fish exposed to 20% of LC50\96 hrs of lead acetate, mercuric, chloride and cadmium chloride separately:

The phagocytic activity was carried out according to Mathews *et al* (1990). 48 *Oreochromis niloticus* were divided into four equal groups, the first group was exposed to lead acetate at dose 20% of LC50\96 hrs, the second group to mercuric chloride at the same dose, the third group was exposed to 20% of LC50\96 hrs of cadmium chloride while the fourth group was left as a control group.

Before the beginning of the experiment, 3 fish were taken from each group in order to determine their phagocytic activity in comparison with the non exposed control group.

#### Evaluation of humoral immunity in fish exposed to 20% of the LC50\96 hrs of lead acetate, mercuric and cadmium chloride after challenging by *Pseudomonas flouresens*:

Sixty *Oreochromis niloticus* fish were used in this experiment classified into 5 equal groups each of 12 fish. The first, second and third groups were exposed to lead, mercury and cadmium at concentration 20\100 of their LC50\96 hrs, while the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> groups were left as a control non exposed groups. After 2 months of exposure to metals, the fishes were challenged with *pseudomonas flourescens* given by injection I/m with a dose of 0.2 ml/fish of  $2 \times 10^8$  bacterial cell/ml, also the group 4 was injected with the same dose (control).

Mortality rate was recorded and serum samples were collected after one, 3 and 6 weeks post infection in order to determine the level of immunoglobulines in the serum to evaluate the humoral immune response using microagglutination test.

#### Results and Discussion

##### Results of phagocytic activity:

The obtained results as recorded in table (1) showed that the percentage of phagocytosis one week before exposure to metals were  $64\% \pm 0.2$ ,  $66.6\% \pm 1.15$ ,  $62\% \pm 0.2$  and  $62.3\% \pm 7.51$  for lead, mercury, cadmium and control group respectively.

After one week of exposure, the mean values of percentage of phagocytosis were  $18.3\% \pm 1.53$ ,  $32.7\% \pm 2.52$ ,  $43.0 \pm 2.65$  and  $63.0 \pm 9.53$  for lead, mercury, cadmium and control groups respectively.

After 3 weeks of exposure the mean values of percentages of phagocytosis were  $18.3\% \pm 1.53$ ,  $32.7\% \pm 2.52$ ,  $43.0 \pm 2.65$  and  $63\% \pm 9.53$  for lead, mercury, cadmium and control group respectively.

After 6 weeks of exposure the mean values of percentage of phagocytosis were  $17.7 \pm 2.08$ ,  $31.3\% \pm 2.31$ ,  $46.7\% \pm 2.52$  while in the control group were  $64.3\% \pm 6.03$ .

Table(1): Showing the percentages of phagocytosis in different groups before and after 1,3 and 6 weeks of exposure to metals.

Group Time	Mean $\pm$ SD of phagocytosis percentages			
	Lead acetate group	Mercuric chloride group	Cadmium chloride group	Control group
One week before exposure	$64.0 \pm 2.0$	$66.6 \pm 1.15$	$62.0 \pm 2.0$	$62.3 \pm 7.51$
After one week of exposure	$18.3 \pm 1.53$	$35.3 \pm 1.53$	$22.3 \pm 2.52$	$61.6 \pm 7.64$
After 3 weeks of exposure	$18.3 \pm 1.53$	$32.7 \pm 2.52$	$43.0 \pm 2.65$	$63.0 \pm 9.53$
After 6 weeks of exposure	$17.7 \pm 2.08$	$31.3 \pm 2.31$	$46.7 \pm 2.52$	$64.3 \pm 6.03$

Table (2) showed that the mortality rate and antibody titer in *Oreochromis niloticus* fish exposed to lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride after experimental infection by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* bacteria. The mortality rate was 66.6%, 50%, 41%, and 25% for lead acetate, mercuric chloride, cadmium chloride and the infected non exposed control group, respectively. The antibody titer one week after challenging was zero 0.250, 0.250 and 0.0310 for lead acetate, mercuric chloride, cadmium chloride and the control group, respectively. After three weeks of Experimental infection, the antibody titer was 0.0625, 0.0020, 0.0078, and 0.00049 for lead acetate, mercuric chloride, cadmium chloride and the control group, respectively. While the antibody titer six weeks after Experimental infection was 0.0625, 0.0078, 0.0156, and 0.0020 for lead acetate, mercuric chloride, cadmium chloride and the control group, respectively. The non infected non metal exposed control group showing no titer 1,3 and 6 weeks during the experiment while no mortality could be detected .

**Table (2): Mortality rate and antibody titer in infected *Oreochromis niloticus* exposed to lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride.**

Group	Titer of antibodies			Mortality %
	One week of infection	3 weeks post infection	6 weeks post infection	
Lead acetate exposed group	0.00	0.063	0.063	66.6
Mercuric chloride exposed group.	0.250	0.0020	0.0078	50.0
Cadmium chloride exposed group.	0.250	0.0078	0.0156	41.6
Infected non exposed control group.	0.0310	0.00049	0.0020	25.0
non infected non exposed control	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0

The obtained results as recorded in table 1 revealed that there were no significant difference between the different groups one week before exposure to metals, while after one week of exposure to metals (table 1) there were a highly significant difference between all metal exposed groups and the control group ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Freiras and Rochas 2000; Hung et al 2004). In lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride the percentage of phagocytosis were significantly decreased than the control group ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Ward and Neumann 1999; Anderson et al 1999; Canli and Atli 2003). But there were no significant difference between lead acetate group and cadmium chloride group which indicated that both have approximately the same inhibitory effect after one week of exposure. While the inhibitory effect of mercuric chloride is of less evident (Ward and Neumann 1999; Watanab et al 2003).

The results after 3 weeks of exposure revealed that in all metal exposed groups, the percentage of phagocytosis was significantly decreased than the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ) which indicated that the 3 metals have a suppressive effect on cellular immune functions, this result nearly agree with Mormede and Davis 2001 & Watanab et al 2003).

Also there was a significant difference ( $p < 0.001$ ) between the exposed groups, explaining that lead acetate have inhibitory effect on phagocytic activity of fish macrophage more than mercuric

chloride and cadmium chloride. It is clear also that mercuric chloride has inhibitory effect more than cadmium chloride after 3 weeks of exposure.

The results after 6 weeks of exposure revealed that in lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride groups, the percentage of phagocytosis was significantly decreased in comparison with the control group. The differences were also significant between the exposed groups ( $p < 0.001$ ), as lead acetate has inhibitory effect more than mercuric chloride and mercuric chloride more than cadmium chloride after 6 weeks of exposure.

In all exposed groups, there were a highly significant difference before and after exposure to metals ( $p < 0.001$ ) which revealed that the 3 metals have inhibitory effect on phagocytic activity of fish macrophages which means that they have an inhibitory effect on cell mediated immunity.

Also along the time of exposure, there were no significant differences at different time of exposure to lead acetate and mercuric chloride b (the inhibitory effect is of the same level) but in case of cadmium chloride, the inhibitory effect was temporary in the first week of exposure then the percentage of phagocytosis reincreased after 3 weeks and also reincreased again after 6 weeks. The obtained results agree with Moszoznski and Moszoznski (1988), ozelka and Burkholder (1982), Sjobeck et al. (1984), Hurtenbach et al. (1988), and Thuvander (1989).

The suppressive effect observed by lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride may result from the effect of these metals on the haematopoietic tissues mostly in the anterior kidney and spleen which are the source sites of formation of macrophages.

Regarding cadmium exposed group the difference among the recorded literature and also with other results could be attributed to variation in dose of cadmium, route of administration, duration of exposure which could be modulate the immune response.

Evaluation of humoral immune response toward *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in fish exposed to lead acetate, mercuric chloride and cadmium chloride separately at concentration of 20% of their LC 50/96 hrs in comparison with a control group.

Our results showed that the antibody titer after infection by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in case of lead acetate group were zero, 0.063 and 0.063 after 1,3, and 6 weeks post-challenging respectively while in mercuric chloride exposed group were 0.250, 0.0020, and 0.0078 and in cadmium chloride exposed group were 0.250, 0.0078 and 0.0156 respectively. While the antibody titre in the infected non exposed control group was 0.0310, 0.00049 and 0.0020 after 1,3, and 6 weeks respectively.

Analysis of these results revealed that, lead, mercury and cadmium having inhibitory effect on humoral immune function which is manifested by the low levels of antibodies in comparison with the infected non exposed fish than the control group 66.6% mortality in lead acetate group, 50% in Mercuric chloride and 41.6% in cadmium chloride group while in the control group was 25%. On comparing the results with the previous recorded data we can find the following:

The obtained results agree with those recorded by Moszcynski and Moszcynski (1988), O'Neill (1981), Kawamura et al. (1983) and Robohm (1986) but our results not agree with Thuvander (1989) who reported that humoral antibody production was enhanced in cadmium exposed group. This variation may be due to species difference or due to variation in the dose or time of exposure. All these factors could modulate the effect of cadmium on humoral immunity response.

The inhibitory effect of these metals on humoral immune response and antibody formation may result from the damaging effects of these metals on haematopoietic tissues in liver, spleen and kidneys.

## References

1. **Anderson C.L., Canning E.U. & Okamura B.** Molecular data implicate bryozoans as hosts for

*Tetracapsula bryosalmonae* (Phylum Myxozoa) and identify a clade of bryozoan parasites within the Myxozoa. *Parasitology* 1999,119, 555–561.

2. **Andreji J, Stranai I, Massanyi P, Valent M.** Concentration of selected metals in muscle of various fish species. *J Environ Sci Health*. 2005;40A:899–912.
3. **Austin, b. and Austin, d. a.,** methods for the microbiological examination of fish and shell fish. Ellis horwood limited west Sussex, 1989 p. o 191 eb, England.
4. **Bozelka, b.e. and burkholder , p.m** inhibition of mixed leucocyte culture responses in cadmium treated mice. *Env. Res.* 1982, 27, 421-432.
5. **Canli, M. and Ath, G.** 'The relationships between heavy metal (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn) levels and the size of six Mediterranean fish species', *Environ. Pollut.* **121** 2003, (1), 129–36.
6. **Collins, ch., patrcia, m. lyne and grange, j.m.,** Collins and lyne microbiological methods (sixth edition) butter worth & co. (publisher) LTD, 1989 london.
7. **Compagno L.J.V.** Sharks of the World: An Annotated and Illustrated Catalogue of Shark Species Known to Date, Volume 2. Bullhean, Mackerel and Carpet Sharks (Heterodontiformes, Lamniformes and Orectolobiformes). FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes, No. 1, Vol. 2. Rome, Italy, 2001.
8. **De silva, s.,** Interactions of varying dietary protein and lipid levels in young red tilapia; evidence of protein spraying. *Aquaculture*, 1991, 95 13:168.
9. **Farkas A., Sal nki J. & Varanka I.** Assessment of heavy metal concentrations in organs of two fish species of Lake Balaton. *Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Section B* **52** (Suppl.), 1998, 93–9.
10. **Freiras A.A. & Rochas B.** Population biology of lymphocytes: the fight for survival. *Annual Review of Immunology*. 2000,18, 83–111.
11. **Gill, t.s and pant, j.c.,** erythrocytic and leucocytic responses to cadmium poisoning in a fresh water fish (*puncitius conchoniuis*). *Env. Res.* 1985, 327.
12. **Hung CLH, So MK, Connell DW, Fung CN, LamMHW, Nicholson S, et al.** A preliminary risk assessment of trace elements accumulated in fish to the Indo-Pacific Humpback dolphin (*Sousa chinensis*) in the Northwestern waters of Hong Kong. *Chemosphere*. 2004;56: 643–51.
13. **Johansson, s.m. l. and larsson, a.,** effects of inorganic lead on delta aminolevulonic acid dehydrase activities and hematological variables in the rainbow trout (*salmo giardneri*). *Arch. Envnc. Contam. Toxicol.* 1979, 8; 419.

14. **Liu JH, Kueh CSW.** Biomonitoring of heavy metals and trace organics using the intertidal mussel *Perna viridis* in Hong Kong coastal waters. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2005;5:857–75.
15. **Storelli M, Giacomini-Stuffler R, Marcotrigiano G.** Mercury accumulation and speciation in muscle tissue of different species of sharks from Mediterranean Sea, Italy. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 2002;68:201–10.
16. **Vorkamp K, Christensen JH, Riget F.** Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and organochlorine compounds in biota from the marine environment of East Greenland. *Sci Total Environ.* 2004;331:143–55.
17. **Ward S. M. & Neumann R. M.** Seasonal variations in concentrations of mercury in axial muscle tissue of Largemouth bass. *North Am. J. Of Fish Management* 1999, 19, 89–96.
18. **Watanabe, K. H., Desimone, F.W., Thiyagarajah, A., Hartley, W. R. and Hindrichs, A. E.** 'Fish tissue quality in the lower Mississippi River and health risks from fish consumption', *Sci. Total Environ.* **302** 2003, (1–3) 109–126.

5/20/2010