

## Effect of Sowing Date on Yield and Yield Components of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) in Mubi North Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

Kaki Ngodi Futules , Ibrahim Dauda Bake and Wasinata Tizhe

Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture Adamawa State University P.M.B 25 Mubi Adamawa State Nigeria.

[E-Mail:futuleskaki@yahoo.com](mailto:futuleskaki@yahoo.com); GSM: 08034798172

**Abstract:** This study was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Crop Science, Adamawa State University, Mubi during 2009 raining season to evaluate the effect of sowing date on yield and yield component of cowpea. The trial consists of 4 sowing date of cowpea viz: (SD1) 25<sup>th</sup> July, (SD2) 1<sup>st</sup> August, (SD3) 8<sup>th</sup> August and (SD4) 15<sup>th</sup> August 2009 respectively. Treatments were laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) and replicated four times. The land was cleared, ploughed, harrowed, leveled and marked in to blocks and plots with 1m between replication and 0.5 between plots. Cowpea seeds were sown by dibbling 3 seeds per hole at 70cm x 25cm spacing. Seedlings were later thinned to two plants per stand two weeks after sowing. The results obtained were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and means separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test(DMRT). The parameters measured includes: plant height (cm) at flowering, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, days to 50% flowering, leaf area (cm), number of pods at harvest, weight of 1000 (g), weight of shelled plants and yield (tons/ ha). The results obtained revealed that sowing date significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) influenced the yield and yield component of cowpea in Mubi. SD3 recorded the highest yield of (5.93t/ha) with SD1 recording the lowest yield of ( 5.05t/ha) which was significantly different from other treatments The significance of this study to Agriculture therefore, is that it is very necessary to know the most appropriate sowing date before planting cowpea in any agro-ecological zone of the country for enhanced yields.

[Kaki Ngodi Futules, Ibrahim Dauda Bake and Wasinata Tizhe. Effect of Sowing Date on Yield and Yield Components of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) in Mubi North Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria. New York Science Journal 2010;3(11):98-102]. (ISSN: 1554-0200).

**Key Words:** Sowing date, cowpea, Yield components, Mubi, Nigeria

### 1. Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) is an herbaceous short term annual leguminous plant which is grown in many tropical and sub-tropical countries (Singh and Sharma, 1996). It originated in Africa and it may be came an integral part of traditional cropping systems throughout Africa, particularly in the semi-arid region of West Africa savannah (Mortimore et al., 1977). Faris (1965) based his evidence on the presence of wild progenitors of cowpea in West and Central Africa postulated that, West Africa was the center of domestication of cowpea. Cowpea is widely grown throughout Africa, Australia, Asia, low Coastal South American, West Indies and the Southern part of

United State of America..In Nigeria, Adamawa State is one of the major producing state (Adejobi and Ayinde, 2005) as evidenced by the availability of the produce in most market and also the consumption rate by most household. FAO (2006) estimated that, 4.5 million tons of cowpea dry grains were produced worldwide in 2005 and 75% of that production was from Africa and Nigeria produced 2.4 million tons of this, making it the largest producer , followed by Niger (650,000t), Mali (110,000t). Other producers are South Africa, (21%), Europe (1%), Asia (2%), North America (1%). Total area grown globally was 14.0 million hectares and about 9.3 million hectares of these was located in West Africa with about 5.0 million hectares in Nigeria.

Yield of cowpea have been reported to consist of three primary components, viz: number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and 1000 seeds weight (Malik and Singh, 1983). Yields are low in humid areas because of the diseases and insect damage. In Nigeria, average yield is about 457.4kg/ha (FMA, 2000) which is far below the yield capacity of the crop. Some of the reasons advanced for low yield of cowpea in Nigeria includes; vagaries of weather conditions, lack of proper protection measures as well as inadequate knowledge of the sowing date and in appropriate use of fertilizer (Tenebe et al., 1995). Under recommended practice good management, yield of between 1500-2000 kg/ha are easily possible (Onwueme and Singh, 1991) although Blade, et al (1997) have reported that with sole crop, grain yield potential is 1500-3000kg/ha under good management. Although Nigeria is a leading producer of cowpea in the world, grain yield per hectares are generally low. This low yield could be attributed to in adequate information on the sowing date, which could have reduced high incidence of insects pest, diseases and weeds problems (Katung and Ngu, 2003). In Sub-Sahara Africa, Mortimore et al (1997) reported low cowpea plant establishment population per unit area as a major factor responsible for the low yields obtained for the small holder farming system. They recommended cowpea spacing within such system to be highly variable (35-90cm). Kayode and Odulaja (1985) reported that grain yield in the wetter savanna of Mokwa zones could be maintained if inter-row spacing wider than 60cm while intra-row spacing of 15cm and 30cm which ensure yield stability with no significant effect on severity of diseases is recommended for all the cultivars.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Crop Science Adamawa State University Mubi during the 2009 cropping season. Mubi lies between latitude  $10^{\circ} 18^1$  North of the Equator and longitude  $13^{\circ} 11^1$  East of the Greenwich Meridian. It is bounded in the North by Borno State, in the West by Hong and Song local government area in the South by Mubi South local government area and in the East by Republic of Cameroon. Temperature is normally warm to hot through out the season. Minimum temperature can be as low as  $12^{\circ}\text{c}$  and as high  $37^{\circ}\text{c}$ . The mean annual rainfall is 1000mm (Adebayo, 1997). The cowpea (prostrate type/kanannado) local variety was obtained from Mubi market. The trial consist of four sowing

dates of cowpea ( $25^{\text{th}}$  July,  $1^{\text{st}}$  August,  $8^{\text{th}}$  August and  $15^{\text{th}}$  August 2009 respectively). Treatments were laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) and replicated four times. The total land area of the experiment was  $462.5\text{m}^2$ . Each plot was  $5\text{m} \times 4\text{m}$  ( $20\text{m}^2$ ), with 1m between replication and 0.5m between each plot. The land was cleared, ploughed, harrowed and leveled using cutlass, rake and hoe. Sowing was done by dibbling 3 seeds per hole, at 70cm within row and 25cm between row and seedling were later thinned to two per stand two weeks after emergence. Two hoe weeding were carried out at three weeks and six weeks after emergence respectively which ensured that weeds competition were kept under control. Starter dose Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at 20kg N/ha as recommended by Prasad (1985). The fertilizer was applied through broadcasting. Cowpea pod were harvested by hand picking the pods when they were ripe and dry. The harvested pods were threshed manually by beating the harvested pods with stick and then winnowed. The shelled beans were taken to the laboratory and weighed using a digital scale. Plant heights were measured using a meter rule. The measurement was done from the ground level (base) to the tip of the plant. It was taken at flowering, where four plants were selected randomly from each plot with six plants per row. Number of leaves per plant was determined by counting the number of leaves at flowering on 4 randomly selected plants. Four (4) plants were randomly selected and the number of branches counted to know the branching ability due to the effect of sowing dates. This was taken when the plants were observed to be about 50% flowering. At harvest 4 plants were selected at random from each plot. The pods were counted and weighed. 1000 seeds weight of each treatment tested were determined by counting 1000 seeds and the weight measured using a digital scale. The leaf area at flowering of 4 randomly selected plants were measured using meter rule by taking the length and breadth of the leaf then multiplying by 100.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The effect of sowing date on the yield and yield components of cowpea is presented in tables 1 to 4. Effect of sowing date were observed to be non significant on plant height, number of branches, number of leaves per plant and days to 50% flowering. This indicate that sowing date in Mubi may only have little or no influence on plant height, number of branches, number of leaves per plant and days to 50% flowering. It was noted that the effect of sowing date was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) on leaf area.

The cowpea sown on the 1<sup>st</sup> August 2009 (SD1) recorded better performance of leaf area, indicating that sowing cowpea in the 1<sup>st</sup> week of August increase the leaf area which could improve the photosynthetic ability of the plant. This further support the findings of Singh, et al (2003) who observed that cowpea thrives best at the temperature of between 27°C -35°C with the annual rainfall of 500-700mm per annum for its production. Significant difference in terms of number of pods at harvest, weight of 1000 grains (g), weight of shelled plants and yield (tons/ha) were observed (Table 3 and 4). This observation agrees with the report of Malik and Singh, (1983) that yield of cowpea have been reported to consist of three primary components, viz: number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and the weight of 1000 seeds (g).

Number of pods per plant at harvest was highly significant ( $P<0.05$ ) when sown on the 8<sup>th</sup> of August compared to 25<sup>th</sup> July and 1<sup>st</sup> August. While the cowpea sown on the 15<sup>th</sup> of August has the lowest pods yield. This implies that, sowing cowpea late will definitely affect the pods yield and consequently the total yield/ha. It was also observed that the cowpea sown on the 8<sup>th</sup> of August performed better in terms of weight of 1000 grains (g) when compared with the others sown on different dates. This reveals that cowpea sown on the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of August will lead to yield increase. This result is corroborated by the findings of Futuless and Bake (2010) who reported a higher yield of cowpeas planted in early August in northern guinea savanna zone of Nigeria. The weight of seeds per plant (g) also maintain highest value in terms of weight recorded 44.85 g when sown on the 8<sup>th</sup> of August, while the ones sown on the 1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> of August recorded weight of 405.65 g and 408.73g respectively. But sowing cowpea on the 25<sup>th</sup> of July consequently led to reduction in grain weight.

Cowpea grain yield in this study was good (Table 4) in marked contrast to yield of (1500-2000 and 3000kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) reported by (Onwueme and Singh, 1991 and Blade, et al 1997) under recommended practice and good management. But rather agrees with the report of Muleba and Ezuma, (1985) that current agronomic practices such as date of sowing, plant population, maintenance of soil physical properties and fertility, weeds control and cropping system strongly influenced the yield of cowpea.

**Table 1. Effect of sowing date on plant height at flowering and number of cowpea branches per plant.**

Sowing dates	Plant height at flowering (cm)	No. of branches/plant at flowering
SD1	24.96 <sub>a</sub>	11.00 <sub>a</sub>
SD2	23.87 <sub>a</sub>	7.75 <sub>a</sub>
SD3	24.88 <sub>a</sub>	8.50 <sub>a</sub>
SD4	26.83 <sub>a</sub>	10.25 <sub>a</sub>
LSD(P<0.05)	NS	NS

Note: means with the same letter are not significantly different (Duncan's Multiple Range Test at  $P<0.05$ )

Key:

NS: Not significant

LSD: least Significant difference

SD1: 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2009

SD2: 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2009

SD3: 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

SD4: 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

**Table 2: Effect of sowing date on leaf area at flowering and number of leaves at flowering per plant ..**

Sowing dates	Leaf area at flowering	No. of leaves/plant at flowering
SD1	46.76 <sub>b</sub>	67.25 <sub>a</sub>
SD2	54.45 <sub>a</sub>	65.50 <sub>a</sub>
SD3	50.80 <sub>ab</sub>	58.50 <sub>a</sub>
SD4	53.05 <sub>ab</sub>	67.85 <sub>a</sub>
LSD(P<0.05)	*	NS

Note: means with the same letter are not significantly different (Duncan's Multiple Range Test at ( $P<0.05$ ))

Key:

\*: Significant

NS: Not significant

LSD: least Significant difference

SD1: 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2009

SD2: 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2009

SD3: 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

SD4: 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

**Table 3: Effect of sowing date on days to 50% flowering and number of pods per plant at harvest of Cowpea**

Sowing dates	Days to 50% flowering	No. of pods at harvest/plant
SD1	39.00 <sub>b</sub>	23.75 <sub>ab</sub>
SD2	39.00 <sub>a</sub>	22.75 <sub>ab</sub>
SD3	28.00 <sub>a</sub>	27.00 <sub>a</sub>
SD4	39.00 <sub>a</sub>	20.50 <sub>b</sub>
LSD(P<0.05)	NS	*

Note: means with the same letter are not significantly different (Duncan's Multiple Range Test at (P<0.05))

**Table 4: effect of sowing date on weight of 1.000 seeds (g), weight of seeds (g) per four plant and yield (tons/ha). Of Cowpea.**

Sowing dates	Weight of 1000 seeds(g)	Weight of seeds/four plants (g)	Yield (tons)/ha
SD1	89.50 <sub>b</sub>	379.33 <sub>b</sub>	5.06 <sub>b</sub>
SD2	84.75 <sub>b</sub>	405.65 <sub>ab</sub>	5.4 <sub>ab</sub>
SD3	104.25 <sub>a</sub>	444.85 <sub>a</sub>	5.93 <sub>a</sub>
SD4	85.25 <sub>b</sub>	408.73 <sub>ab</sub>	5.45 <sub>ab</sub>
LSD(P<0.05)	*	*	*

Note: means with the same letter are not significantly different (Duncan's Multiple Range Test at (P<0.05))

Key:

\*: Significant

LSD: least Significant difference

SD1: 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2009

SD2: 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2009

SD3: 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

SD4: 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2009

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the results of this trial, it was obvious to note that sowing date strongly influenced the yield and yield components of cowpea in the study area. Moreover, the result showed that the most appropriate sowing date of cowpea in this area is (SD3) which recorded the highest yield of 5.93tons/ha. Based on the finding of the study 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2009 is recommended for adoption by farmers in Mubi. In order to improve the production and yield of cowpea in the study area, a continuous study must be under taken to present a more stable and reliable information.

#### Corresponding Author

Kaki Ngodi Futules, PhD

Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Adamawa State University, Mubi, P.M.B 25, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

E-Mail: [futuleskaki@yahoo.com](mailto:futuleskaki@yahoo.com)

#### References

1. A. and I.A. Ayinde (2005). Market Institute for cowpea in Maiduguri and Abeokuta (Respectively Department of Agricultural Economics, Obafemi Owolowo University Ile-Ife and Department of Agricultural Economic and Farm Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun state).
2. Blade, S.F; S.V. Shetty; T. Terao and R.B. Singh. ((1997). Cowpea cropping system research. In Advances in cowpea research. (Eds B.B Singh; D.R Mohan Raji, K..E Dashiel; and L.E..N Jackai). International Institute for Tropical Agriculture/Japan Internal Research Center for Agricultural Science (IIT A/JIRCAS) PPI14-128.
3. FAO. (2006). (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2006). Production Year Book, Rome, Italy.
4. Faris, D.G (1965). Origin and evolution of cultivated fonnns of *Vigna sinensis*. Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology. 7:433-452.
5. Futules,K,N, and Bake,I.D,(2010).Evaluation of yield and yield attributes of some cowpea (*Vigna unguilata* (L)Walp) varieties in Northern Guinea

- Savanna. *Journal of American Science*. 6 (10) 671-674.
6. Katung, P.D and R.E Ngu (2003). Evaluation of pre-emergence herbicides in the control of weeds in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp) varieties. *Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research* .19:37-46.
  7. Kayode, G. O and A. Odulaja (1985). Responses of cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata*) to spacing in the savanna and rainforest zones of Nigeria. *Experimental Agriculture* 21(3):291-296
  8. Mortimore, M I; B.B Singh, F.Halis and Blade. (1997). Cowpea in Tradition cropping system (Eds B.B Singh; D R Mohan Raji; K. E Dashiell and L.E.N Jackai) *Advances in Cowpea Research*. Copublication of IIT NJIRCAS, IIT A, Ibadan, Nigeria.
  9. Malik P, S and V.P Singh (1983). Multiple correlation and regression Analysis Green gra.ndian journal of Agricultural science, New Delhi India 53(6): 400-403
  10. Muleba N and H.C Ezuma (1985). Optimizing cultural practice for cowpea in Nigeria. In cowpea research, production and utilization (Eds S.R Singh and K.O Rachie) PP291-298.
  11. Onwueme, I.Cand T.D Sinha. (1991). Field crop production in Tropical Africa. Technical centre for Agriculture and Rural cooperation, Wageningen, Netherlands PP 297-301
  12. Prasad, S N (1985). Introduction In: cowpea Research, production and utilization. (Eds Singh S.R and K..O RAchie) John Wiley and sons, New York. PP xxi-xxv
  13. Singh, B.B and Sharna, S.T. (1996). Restructuring Cowpea for High. *India Journal of Genetics*.14:389-390.
  14. Singh, D.R; Mohan. R; K.E, Dashiell, and E.N. Jackai (2003). Co-publication of IITA/JIRCAS, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.
  15. Tenebe, V.A; Y Yusuf; B. Kaigama and E.Aseime (1995). The effet and level of phosphorus on yield of Cowpea. *Tropical Science* pp.35-40.

13/09/2010