The relation of overtraining Syndrome to immunity of long distance athletes

Enas Aboul Ella Mohamed Zaki

Lecturer, Dep. Of Training, track and field competitions Fac. Of Physical Education for Girls, Helwan University Dr.enas zaki@yahoo.com

Abstract: Overtraining is a process of excessive training, that may lead to overtraining syndrome. The aim of the study is to provide a practical aspects of the relationship of overtraining syndrome to immunity. The researchers used the experimental method of one group of athletes subjected to intensified training program for one month, T.wbcs, Neutrophils, basophils, Esinoplyls, lymphocytes, monocytes, Immunolobilins (IGg, A,M) and interleukin6, glutamine were measured pre-post program. The results indicated a significant differences between pre-post program in all parameters In conclusion: Overtraining might induce immunosuppression of different elements, cells and Immunoglobulins, interleukin6 and glutamine.

[Enas Aboul Ella Mohamed Zaki. **The relation of overtraining Syndrome to immunity of long distance athletes.** *N Y Sci J* 2016;9(3):70-73]. ISSN 1554-0200 (print); ISSN 2375-723X (online). <u>http://www.sciencepub.net/newyork</u>. 12. doi:<u>10.7537/marsnys09031612</u>.

Keywords: Overtraining Syndrome, immunity, T.Wbcs, Immunoglobulins, interleukin6, glutamine.

1. Introduction:

Overtraining is a process of excessive exercise training, in high performance athletes that may lead to overtraining syndrome, which is characterized by persistent fatigue, poor performance despite continued training, changes in mood and neuroendocrine factors, frequent illness such us respiratory tract infection (Budget et al, 2000, Hackney and Battaglini (2007). To study overtraining syndrome, Laurel MackiNon (2000) suggest two models, in one model, athletes may be assessed at various times throughout a competitive season, usually lasting 3-8 months. In the other model, training is intensified for up to 4 weeks, which is the maximum time that athletes can withstand intensifying high training loads (Raglin and Barzdukas, 1999, Budgett, 1988), Where Pizza et al, (1995) suggested exhaustive performance of 10 days of running at 200% of normal training volume. Brooks and carter (2013) reported a direct link between stress and the adrenal gland and hormones produced in these glands to become depleted. This was also reported by Ganong (2000) (Carfagno et al, 2014).

Overtraining is of great importance to the high performance athlete. Prolonged period of persistent fatigue interfere with optimal preparation for major events. Inconsistent or poor performance at critical times in an athletes career may influence selection for representative teams, led to loss of private sources and prematurely retire from sport (Laurel, 2000).

Athletes and coaches associate over training with frequent illness, a single bout of acute intense exercise may suppress immune parameters for several hours after wards. Such immune suppression results from overtraining syndrome or rather from long terms stress of intense daily exercise training (Budgett et al, 2000, Hackney and Battaglini (2007). In is unclear as to the exact physiological mechanisms responsible for inducing the Overtraining syndrome, there are several theories. The muscle glycogen hypothesis central fatigue hypothesis, glutamine hypothesis sympathetic parasympathetic hypothesis, hypothalamic pituitary hypothesis the later one is recognized by many scientists as the prevailing hypothesis in understanding of the overtraining syndrome phenomenon [Fry et al, 2005, Robson et al, 2006].

The researcher will examine cvtochvne hypothesis as the prevailing hypothesis in understanding of the overtraining syndrome phenomenon and investigate the possible role of cytochyne which act directly and indirectly upon multiple physiological system to promote alterations in metabolism, behavior, endocrine functions protein synthesis rates and other immune functions (Papacosta and Nassis, 2011).

The aim of this study is to provide a practical aspects of the relationship of overtraining syndrome to immunity.

Research hypothesis:

There are a significant differences Pre- post overtraining in some immunosuppression elements.

2. Research Methods:

The researcher used the experimental method as it is suitable to the nature of the study, of pre-post measurement of one groups of athletes of National team.

The pre measurement were executed in the period 8/3/2014, blood sample withdrawal for laboratory examination of immunity elements post measurement were done after the program of high

intensity for one month 9/4/2014 in a club in Cairo (Olympic Center for national team).

Research sample: 10 long distance athletes of National team participate to the study.

Tuble (1) Duste characteristics of the phayers					
Basic measurement	Mean	SD	Skewness		
Age (y)	23.2	3.5	0.35		
Height (cm)	179	8.6	-0.16		
Weight (kg)	76.8	7.3	-0.19		
BMI	20.1	2.6	-0.57		

Table (1) Basic characteristics of the players

In Table (1) Skewness were between (-0.57-0.35) which is laying (± 3) indicating natural distribution of the athletes.

Measurements of the study:

Blood cell were measured using coulter counter in a special lab. (T.wbcs, Neutrophils, Esinophil, basophils lymphocytes, monocytes).

Immunoglobulins (IGg, IGm, IGA) were determined using radiating plates and a loop and measured scale to determine the concentration of the immunoglobulins.

As for Interleukin6 any glutamine determination kits were used and Eliza technique.

To study overtraining syndrome, Laurel Mackinnon (2000) suggested a model, that training is intensified for up to 4 weeks, which is the maximum time that athletes can withstand intensifying high training loads.

Materials and equipments used:

- Eliza technique
- Centrifuge
- EDTA anticoagulant
- Syringes and tubes, alcohol, cotton
- Coulter counter for wbcs- and differentiation
- Ice box, refrigerator
- Radiating plates

- Kits for interleukin6 and glutamine steps for the main study:

The researcher search for the scientific references and preceded study to determine the training program, training units, time of each unit and method of training and loads and reach the following conclusion.

- The training duration of the study: one month (30 days – No of weeks: 4 weeks

- No of units in each week 3 units

- Duration of each unit 90 minutes including warm up (10m.) main training (75m.) cooling down (5m.)

- Method of training intensified

Bases of the training program:

- Determination of the aim of the program and each stage.

- Individual variations of the players
- The prioritie of the training and grades
- The suitability of the program for age
- Distribution and stability of training
- Equilibrium of general and special training
- Using intensity
- The practical application of the program
- Direction to the load needed

- Taking Care of the suitable warm up and cooling down.

Adaptation:

Statistical data:

- Using SPSS program
- Mathematic mean
- Standard deviation
- Skewness
- T test of one group

Cells	Pre		Post		T
Cells	М	SD	М	SD	1
Wbcs (thous/mm3)	9.2	2.4	8.1	3.2	3.1
Neutrophils (thous/mm3)	5.4	1.3	4.9	0.9	2.8
Esinophils (No)	275	9.01	152	10.92	9.6
Basophils (No)	32	2.1	23	1.9	7.8
Lymphocytes (No)	2750	311	2015	140	6.2
Monocytes	542	24	455	15	5.7

Table (2) Leucocyte values before and after overtraining

P < 0.05 indicating statistical differences for the sake of Post program

Parameters	Pre		Post		т	
	М	SD	М	SD	1	
IGG µg/ml	12.100	166	10.200	147	3.8	
IGM µg/ml	961	81	743	90	4.1	
IGA µg/ml	2364	128	2014	136	5.9	

Table (3)	Immunoglobulin	values before	and after	overtraining
1 4010 (0)	immunosiosum	varaes beiore	and areer	over er anning

P < 0.05 Indicating statistical difference for the sake of post program

Table (4) Immunoglobulin	values before an	d after overtraining
----------	------------------	------------------	----------------------

	8			8		
Parameters	Pre		Post		т	
	М	SD	М	SD	1	
Interleukin6 (u/ml)	96	5.8	75	4.8	8.4	
Plasma glutamine (uM)	558	10.3	494	11.4	6.7	

P< 0.05 Indicating statistical difference for the sake of post program

3. Discussion

Hypothesis:

There is significant difference pre-post overtraining in some immunosuppression elements.

When exercise is performed on a regular basis, adaptation occurs and the athlete's performance improves.

This is the training effect. All exercise elicits a stress response and results in a degree of short term fatigue, from which the athlete recovers.

However, if exercise periods are too frequent, too intense and too prolonged, possibly with inadequate nutrition and psychological stress, recovery after each exercise about is not complete, less adaptation and less improvement in performance occurs. (MacLeod et al, 1993).

Table (2-3,4) indicated a suppression in immunity element, leucocyte, differentiation wbcs, immunoglobulin IGg, IGA, IGM, together with cytokine, interleukin6, and glutamine in post overtraining effects compared to pre condition.

Keast et al, (1998) Lewicki et al (1998) reported that exercise of high intensity and /or long duration is associated with adverse effects on immune function. As it reduce the magnitude of post exercise leukocytosis. The response of T lymphocyte to mitogenic stimulation in vitro may therefore be decreased. Immunoglobulin levels in blood and saliva decreased post exercise in trained subjects, also decreases in neutrophil and monocyte. (Van Wersch et al, 1989).

Hackney and Koltun (2012) introduce the immune system response that the innate and adaptive immune responses are associated with the production of cytokines mainly interleukin-1 and inter leukin – 6, as being the key of physiological mediators for the development of many symptoms associated with overtraining syndrome (Robson et al, 2004, Robson et al, 2007).

The production of proinflammatory cytokines also lead to upregulation of humoral immunity and suppression of the cell – mediated immunity components of the adaptive immune responses (Abbas and Lichtman 2005). Because of its immune system role, development of cell mediated immuno suppression increases the risk of illness or illness like syndrome and symptoms such as upper respiratory symptoms (URS) and infections (URI). These symptoms are associated with compromises in physical performance capacity as athletes find exercise training or competition difficult or impossible under such conditions (Walsh et al 2011, CZepluch et al, 2011).

Table (4) Showed that glutamine decreased after overtraining compared to pre training subjects, the decrease glutamine levels might result in immunosuppression. (Parri – billings et al, 1999) reported that the decreased glutamine may contribute to the impairment of the immune function observed and that overtraining syndrome is rarely seen in sprinters and power athletes, but affects endurance athletes, suggested that repeated prolonged exercise is a predisposing factor. Thus, glutamine may play a key role in the pathogenphysiology of the condition (Newshome et al 1995), Newshome and Parry billings, 1991).

In conclusion:

Overtraining might induce immuno-suppression which is caused by decreased leucocytes and different wbcs, and due to decreased immunoglobulins and propably due to cytockynes and glutamine decrease levels.

Recommendation:

It is recommended to practice regularly on scientific basic to prevent overtraining syndrome and immunosuppression. Also of importance to supplement athletes with amino acids mainly glutamine as a prevention substance.

References:

- 1. Abbas. A and Lichtman, H (2005) cellular and molecular immunology Elsevier Saunders, USA
- 2. Barron, J, Noakes, T, Levy, W (1995) Hypothalamic dysfunction in overtrained athletes J clin End. Metab. 60, 803.
- 3. Brooks, K and Carter J (2013) Overtraining, Exercise and adrenal insufficiency J Novel physiotherapies, 3,11.
- 4. Budgett R, Newsholme, E, Lehman, M (200) Redifining overtraining Syndrome Br J Sports Med. 34,67.
- 5. Budgett, R, (1988): Fatigue and under performance in athletes Br. J Sports Med. 32, 107.
- 6. Carfagns, D., Caosmi, D, Joshua, C (2014): Overtraining syndrome in the athletes: current clinical practice current sport Med. Report 13,45-51.
- 7. CZepluch, P, Barre's, R, Caidal, K (2011) Strenuous exercise adversely affect monocyte chemotaxis Hemostasis, 105, 122.
- 8. Fry, A, Steinacker, J, Meeussen, R (2005) The endocrine system in sports and exercises Oxford, UK, Black well publ. 584.
- 9. Ganong, W. (2000) Medical Physiology A Lange Medical Book, USA.
- 10. Hackney A and Koltun, K (2012) Overtraining and the Immune System Acta Clin. Croat. 51, 637.
- 11. Hackney, A and Battaglini, E (2007) The overtraining syndrome: neuroendocrine imbalance Br. J Biomotor, 2,34.
- 12. Jeffereis, W (1991) Cortisol and immunity Med Hypoth. 34, 198.
- 13. Karen, M (2015) (Feb) Overtraining and over reaching syndrome in athletes http://D.DOI, Org/10/nurpra.
- Keast, D., Cameron, K, Morton, A (1998) Exercise and the immune response sports Med., 246.
- 15. Laurel Mackinnon, T (2000): Overtraining effects on immunity and performance in alhletes. Immunol. And Cell Biol.78,502.

- Lewiki, R, Majewaka, E, Nowak, Z. (1998) Effect of maximal exercise on Tlymphocyte Int. J. Sports Med.9, 114.
- 17. Mac Leod, D, Manghan, R, Williams, C (1993) Intermittent high intensity exercise Library of congress, London.
- 18. Newsholme, E and Parry Billing, M. (1999) Properties of glutamine release in muyscle and immune system J Parent Enter Nutr. 14,63.
- 19. Newsholme, E, Crabtree, B, Ardawi, M (1995) Glutamine Metabolism in lymphocytes Exp. Physiol.70, 473.
- 20. Papacosta, E and Nassis, G (2011) saliva as a tool for monitor steroid and immune markers in sports J Sci Med Sports, 14,424.
- 21. Parri- Billing SD, M, Evans, J, Calader, P (1999) Does glutamine contribute to immuno suppression Lacet, 336,523.
- 22. Pizza, F, Flynn, M. Starling, R (1995) Run train Vs Cross Training Int. J Sports Med. 16, 180.
- 23. Raglin, J and Barzdukas, A (1999) Overtraining in athletes Health Fitness, 3,27.
- 24. Robson, A Ansley, J, Lakier, S. (2006) Causes of extreme fatigue in underperforming athletes South Afr. J Sports Med.18, 108.
- Robson, A, Blannin, A. Gleeson, M (2007) Elevated interleukin6 intrained triathletes following intensive training Eur. J Appl. Physiol, 99, 353.
- 26. Robson, P Ansley, Demilander, L. (2004) Acute interleukin 6 impairs athletic performance in healthy trained runners Can J Apply physiol 29,411.
- 27. Schneider, Y and La croix, A (1990) Monoclonal antibody production in serum J Immunol. Method, 129, 251.
- Van Wersch, J, Kaiser, V Janssen, G (1989) Platelets system changes in training Int. J Sports Med, 10,181.
- 29. Walsh, N, Gleeson, M, Pyne, D (2011) Maintaining immune health Ex. Immunol Rev. 17,64.
- Wolanin, A, Gross, M, Eugene, H (2015): Depression in athletes January, Currents Part Med. Report, 56-60.

3/13/2016