

Some New Concepts, Formulas and Conclusions to Black Hole Theory and Cosmogony
====From now on, Black Hole Theory and Cosmogony may go to more perfection====

Dongsheng Zhang 张洞生 Email: zhangds12@hotmail.com
 Graduated in 1957 from Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics. China

【Abstract】. There are two Chapters in this article. Chapter I: [Some New Concepts and New Formulas to BH Theory.] The new positive results are many new exact formulas derived out, such as formulas between Hawking radiation m_{ss} and black holes (BHs) of M_b , minimum BH of M_{bm} , information unit of I_o and entropy of S_b , etc. They can let BH's theory go to more perfection. Chapter II; [The New Concepts and New Researches to Cosmogony]. Above new concepts and formulas of BHs may be applied to better explain and solve many important problems about the origin and evolution of our Universe.
 [Dongsheng Zhang. **Some New Concepts, Formulas and Conclusions to Black Hole Theory and Cosmogony.** Report and Opinion 2011;3(6):32-46]. (ISSN: 1553-9873). <http://www.sciencepub.net>.

【Key words】. Hawking theory of black holes; Hawking quantum radiation; minimum BH-- M_{bm} ; Planck particles m_p ; information amount I_m of BHs; the origin and evolution of our Universe; cosmic-BH; Original Inflation;

Descartes: We couldn't rely on other's authority to accept the truth, which should be sought by ourselves.

【Preface】. It wouldn't be impossible to solve exactly the problems about black holes and the origin of our Universe with the Equation of the General Theory of Relativity(EGTR), because its general solution had no way to be solved. From what Einstein's said, his EGTR could be too perfect to be added anything into it. After that, all scholars had to find out some special solution to EGTR. For that purpose, they wanted to simplify EGTR and to propose a lot hypotheses. in which two common and important hypotheses were bound to violate the thermodynamic laws; **one is the movement and contraction of energy-matters with the equal amount, another is the isobaric(zero pressure) universal model. Just those two hypotheses would lead to the appearance of Singularity from solving EGTR and other wrong concepts and conclusions,** which could not accord with the real conditions of our Universe, such as Freidmann-Robertson-Walker metric and Schwarzschild metric, etc.

In addition, according to Schwarzschild solution to EGTR, once a black hole(BH) was formed, it could only increase in its mass with engulfing energy-matters outside, and exist in the Universe forever. It must violate the general law of everything having life and death in Universe. Therefore, it has been a wrong way to solve the problems in BHs and cosmogony with EGTR. It is the reason why no more great successes could be achieved by scientists with applying EGTR for near 100 years, except a few special examples.

Hawking theories about BHs have been the epoch-making significances, they build on the foundations of quantum mechanics and thermo-mechanics. Hawking proposed that, there would be temperature on the Event Horizon (EH) R_b of any BHs, and have Hawking quantum radiations(HQR) m_{ss} to be emitted out. As the result, BHs could lose its energy-matters M_b , reduce its R_b and disappear at last. It is said, any BH must accord with the same general law of life and death as anything in the Universe.

Although Hawking derived out the famous temperature T_b formula on R_b of BHs, i.e, $T_b M_b = (C^3/4G) \times (h/2\pi k)$, it is the greatest contribution to the theories of BHs. The second famous formula of BHs is Schwarzschild solution to EGTR, i.e, $GM_b/R_b = C^2/2$, it is the existent condition of any BH. However, these two formulas are not enough to solve many important problems about the properties and destiny of BHs, because the amount of m_{ss} of HQR could not be found out by Hawking. He might be over-wholeheartedly busy to look for m_{ss} from virtual particles in Dirac's sea, but neglected applying classical theories and formulas.

Author's new contributions to BH theories and cosmogony are as follows:

- 1;** The exactly new formula between m_{ss} and M_b is simply derived out, i.e, $m_{ss} M_b = hC/8\pi G = 1.187 \times 10^{-10} g^2$ (1d). That formula can open the mystery door of BHs theory.
- 2;** Furthermore, According to axiom of that any part \leq the whole, at the limited condition, the another exactly new formula is simply derived out, $m_{ss} = M_{bm} = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g$. Owing to $(hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} \equiv m_p$.^[5] i.e, Planck particle. Thus, the last destiny of all BHs could only become $m_{ss} \equiv M_{bm} \equiv m_p \equiv (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2}$ (1e).
Thus, any BH M_b could impossibly contract to a singularity.

At the same time, owing to M_b and m_{ss} have nothing to do with the structures and states inside BHs, then,

EGTR can be taken out from the theory of BHs.

3; The essential attribute of any BHs is that, once a BH formed, it would be a BH forever until it finally become $m_p = M_{bm}$ and then disappear in Planck Era,

4; **Applying the formula $dP/dR = -GM\rho/R^2$ ^[5] of thermodynamic balance in classical theories, like** in the process of the gravitational contraction of any original nebula (matters), it is proved that, a particle m_s in nebula(stars) emitted to outside is the same mechanism with HQR emitted to outside from EH of a BH. They are all from high energy (temperature) easily flowing to low energy (temperature). However, Hawking's explanations to emit m_{ss} with virtual particles in Dirac's sea would purposely make a mystery of simple things.

5; It can be proved that, the lowest and basic information unit I_o of any Hawking radiation m_{ss} is all precisely equal to **$I_o = h/2\pi =$ information unit of $(M_{bm} = m_p) = 1$ bit. (63a). Then, I_o of any m_{ss} is a constant $= h/2\pi$, and not related to the amount of m_{ss} or M_b . The total information amount I_m of a BH(M_b) is newly derived, $I_m = 4GM_b^2/C$ (63d).**

6; A new formula of time($-dt_b$) for any BH to emit a m_{ss} is, $-dt_b \approx 3 \times 10^{-27} M_b h C / 8\pi G$

7; The wave length λ_{ss} of m_{ss} is, $\lambda_{ss} = 2C t_s = 2R_b$,

Conclusions: The current theory about BHs just having two formulas (Schwarzschild and Hawking temperature formulas) but having no formula of m_{ss} are not full to solve the problems about the destiny and many important properties of BHs. **Only after many new formulas proposed by author in this article, the theory of BHs may become more complete to solve the problems of Schwarzschild BHs.** As for BHs of angular momentum and electric charges, because their amount on BHs might generally be too little, they could not change the main properties of any Schwarzschild BHs. Thus, BHs of so-called 'naked Singularity' might be only a mathematical game and impossible to appear in the Universe.

8; According to the principle of time symmetry, before the birth of our Universe, suppose the Pre-universe had a Big Crunch, and its last collapsing law was equal to the expansive law of our Universe at its genesis, then, **once Pre-universe lastly collapsed to time $t_m = [k_1 (2Gk)/C^5]^{2/3} = -0.5563 \times 10^{-43}$ s, all particles in Pre-universe would break off their gravitational connections, become Planck particles, $m_p = M_{bm}$, and violently explode in Planck Era.** After that, all the remains of Pre-universe could re-form into new innumerable $m_p = M_{bm}$ in Planck Era at the highest density. That's the birth of our Universe. The combinations of those new innumerable $m_p = M_{bm}$ created the 'Original Inflation' and the later continuous expansion of the Universe.

9; Author testified the 'Original Inflation' with a new and simple principle.

10; Author proved that, our Universe would be a really and completely gigantic cosmic-BH. Hubble law could be the expansive law of our Universe caused from the combinations of those new innumerable $m_p = M_{bm}$. Any Schwarzschild BHs is a closed ball, its density ρ_b is only decided by its M_b . For any BH and our Universe as a cosmic-BH, $\Omega = 1$ is an inevitable result. Therefore, it was a false proposition for scientists to exert great effort for finding whether $\Omega > 1$ or $\Omega < 1$ in about past 60 years.

11. **In Figure 2, the extremely harmonious and precise relationships between all numerical values of different parameters of various BHs in the Universe can confirm that, the new concepts and formulas about BH's theory and the cosmogony proposed by author in this article are rather identical and effective.**

【Author's few words】: My maxim: The genuine scientific knowledge and new ideas may often come from the trivial numerical calculations. In this article, although no profound theories and no complicated mathematical equations, author may only forge ahead a little step from some Hawking formulas of BHs with other classical formulas to derive out many new simple, important and basic formulas, such as formulas (1d), (1e), (63a), (63d), etc and Figure 2. Scholars, experts and professors may not spare a glance at my work in this article. **However,** I believe, at least, my new formulas and Figure 2 are effective to explain many importantly practical problems in BHs and cosmogony unknown in the past, such as no Singularity appeared at the birth of our Universe, the origin of our Universe, the destiny of BHs, etc. In addition, those formulas are simple, reliable and harmonious, and better accord with various observational data. So, they are real science. People can easily judge whether each one is correct or not.

Therefore, let future facts testify which new formulas and concepts got in this article will be correct and effective or not.

Chapter I: Some New Concepts and New Formulas of BH Theory

**==In this article, only Schwarzschild (gravitational) BHs of no charges,
no rotating and spherical symmetry will be studied.==**

【 1 】. New formula of $m_{ss}M_b = hC/8\pi G = 1.187 \times 10^{-10} g^2$ is derived, $M_{bm} \equiv$ Planck particle $m_p = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g$ are proved as below:

M_b — mass of a BH, T_b —temperature on EH(Event Horizon) of a BH, m_{ss} —mass of a Hawking quantum radiation(HQR), R_b —radius of EH of a BH, h —Planck constant = $6.63 \times 10^{-27} g cm^2/s$, C —light speed = $3 \times 10^{10} cm/s$, G —gravitational constant = $6.67 \times 10^{-8} cm^3/s^2 * g$, κ -- Boltzmann constant = $1.38 \times 10^{-16} g * cm^2/s^2 * k$, m_p — Planck participle, L_p ---Planck length, T_p ---Planck temperature, M_{bm} —mass of minimum BH in the Universe, its corresponding parameters-- R_{bm}, T_{bm} ,

$$T_b M_b = (C^3/4G) \times (h/2\pi\kappa) \approx 10^{27} gk \quad (1a)$$

(1a) is famous Hawking temperature formula,

Let m_{ss} be Hawking quantum radiation(HQR) on R_b ; according to formula of energy transformation,

$$m_{ss} = \kappa T_b / C^2 \quad (1b)$$

T_b is also valve temperature on R_b . according to Schwarzschild formula to EGTR,

$$GM_b / R_b = C^2 \quad (1c)$$

From (1a) and (1b), it is easily got,

$$m_{ss} M_b = hC/8\pi G = 1.187 \times 10^{-10} g^2 \quad (1d)$$

(1d) is a new generally effective formula on R_b of any BHs. Now $m_{ss}M_b = constant$, according to thermo mechanics, certainly $T_b \neq 0$, thus, $M_b \neq 0$, $R_b \neq 0$ and $m_{ss} \neq 0$. Consequently, m_{ss} , M_b and R_b are all impossibility equal to zero or infinity. Then, m_{ss} , R_b and M_b must have its respective limit. From (1d), According to axiom of any part \equiv the whole, at the limited condition, $M_b = m_{ss} = M_{bm} = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2}$.

Owing to $(hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} \equiv m_p =$ Planck particle, [1][5] so, (1e) is another new formula,

$$m_{ss} = M_{bm} = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} = m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g \quad (1e)$$

$$m_{ss} R_b = h/(4\pi C) \quad (1f)$$

$$R_{bm} \equiv L_p \equiv (Gh/2\pi C^3)^{1/2} \equiv 1.61 \times 10^{-33} cm \quad (1g)$$

$$T_{bm} \equiv T_p \equiv 0.71 \times 10^{32} k \quad (1h)$$

$$R_{bm} m_{ss} = h/(4\pi C) = 1.0557 \times 10^{-37} cmg \quad (1i)$$

Generally, Compton time $t_c =$ Schwarzschild t_s ,
 $t_c = t_s = R_{bm}/C = 1.61 \times 10^{-33} / 3 \times 10^{10} = 0.537 \times 10^{-43} s$ (1j)

$$\rho_{bm} \approx 10^{92} g/cm^3 \quad (1k)$$

From $M_b = 4\pi\rho R_b^3/3$ and (1c), for any BHs, (1n) is only and always valid,

$$\rho_b R_b^2 = 3C^2/(8\pi G) = constant \quad (1n)$$

Theoretically, the last contraction of M_b could reach to $M_b = 2M_{bm} = 2m_p = 2m_{ss} = 2 \times 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} g$. Then, $2M_{bm}$ would finally divided into a M_{bm} and a m_{ss} of ($M_{bm} = m_{ss}$). Thus, the last existence of M_b would be :

$$M_b = 2M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} g \quad (1p)$$

It can be seen from above formulas, the relationship between M_b and R_b, T_b, m_{ss} are all the simplest and liner relationship. Thus, BHs are the simplest objects in the Universe.

【 2 】. Why would the final contraction of all BHs only become to $m_{ss} = M_{bm} = m_p$ and explode in Planck Era, but impossible to become Singularity?

According to (1d) and (1e), $m_{ss} = M_{bm} = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} \equiv m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g$,

Owing to that Planck Era(world) could not be understood and observed by people at present or even forever, we would have no way to know the conditions after disappearance of $M_{bm} = m_p$. We may only deduce from (1e) that, M_{bm} might be the minimum BHs appearing in the Universe, and Planck participle m_p might be the maximum energy-particle appearing in Planck Era. Thus, $M_{bm} = m_p$ might be the ‘critical point’ between our Universe and Planck Era, and not independently exist in any one world.

1*. Once a BH of M_b could reduce its mass into M_{bm} , so,

$$M_{bm} C^2 = m_{ss} C^2 = \kappa T_b = 10^{16} erg, T_b = 10^{32} k \quad (2a)$$

$$M_{bm} C^2 / \kappa T_b = m_{ss} C^2 / \kappa T_b = 1 \quad (2b)$$

It can be seen, M_{bm} had become a complete energy-ball of $10^{32} k$, then, $M_{bm} = m_{ss}$ could only wholly explode into a lot γ -rays of high energy.

2*. As a minimum BH- M_{bm} , it couldn't reduce its mass any more as m_{ss} , otherwise, it would lead to $m_{ss} > M_{bm}$, or violate (1d) -- $m_{ss} M_b = hC/8\pi G$ and (1e).

3*. According to Uncertainty Principle,

$$\Delta E \times \Delta t \approx h/2\pi \quad (2c)$$

To M_{bm} , its $\Delta E = M_{bm} C^2 = \kappa T_b = 10^{16} erg$, its $\Delta t =$

$$2 \times \text{Schwarzschild time } t_s = 2R_{bm}/C = 2 \times 1.61 \times 10^{-33} / 3 \times 10^{10} = 1.074 \times 10^{-43} \text{ s.}$$

$$\Delta E \times \Delta t = 10^{16} \times (2 \times 0.537 \times 10^{-43}) = 1.074 \times 10^{-27}.$$

$$\text{However, } h/2\pi = 6.63 \times 10^{-27} / 2\pi = 1.06 \times 10^{-27}.$$

It is said, if $M_{bm} = m_p$ could reduce its mass any more, it would create to $\Delta E \times \Delta t < h/2\pi$, and violate Uncertainty Principle.

4*. The information amount I_o of $M_{bm} = m_p$ is $I_o = h/2\pi$, it is the minimum, basic information unit = 1 bit and cannot be divided once more in the Universe.

Conclusion: Any BHs could only reduce its mass finally into $M_{bm} = m_p$, and disintegrate, explode in Planck Era, but impossible to contract its size (R_b) non-stop into 'Singularity' of infinite density. Thus, the density of 10^{92} g/cm^3 and temperature of 10^{32} K of $M_{bm} = m_p$ would be the highest limit in the Universe.

[3]. The most essential attribute of any BHs: Once a BH could be formed, it would be a BH forever until it finally become a Planck particle $m_p = M_{bm} = (hc/8\pi G)^{1/2} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g}$ disappearing in Planck Era, no matter whether it's expansion because of engulfing energy-matter from outside or it's contraction because of emitting HQR to outside.

According to Schwarzschild solution to EGTR, from (1c),

$$R_b = 2GM_b/C^2, \tag{1c}$$

$$\therefore C^2 dR_b = 2GdM_b$$

$$C^2 (R_b \pm dR_b) = 2G(M_b \pm dM_b) \tag{3a}$$

Suppose another M_{ba} could collide and combine with M_b ,

$$C^2 R_{ba} = 2GM_{ba} \tag{3b}$$

$$\text{From (3a) + (3b) + (1c)}$$

$$\text{So, } C^2 (R_b + R_{ba} \pm dR_b) = 2G (M_b + M_{ba} \pm dM_b) \tag{3c}$$

From above formulas, when a BH could engulf in energy-matters from outside or combine with another BH, it would increase in its M_b and R_b , and decrease in its T_b and m_{ss} . After a BH emitting its m_{ss} to outside, it would decrease in its M_b and R_b , and increase in its T_b and m_{ss} .

[4]. The mechanism of BHs having M_b to emit Hawking quantum radiation(HQR-- m_{ss}):

BHs emitting m_{ss} , or m_{ss} fleeing out from R_b of BHs to outside is the same mechanism with stars emitting radiation energy. They are all from high energy (high temperature) flowing to low energy (low temperature).

«1». Suppose a particle m_s in a nebula and on the boundary of R , if m_s is in the state of thermodynamic balance and locate at the end of R , M is mass in R ball, then, (4a) below is a balance formula between Newton mechanics and thermo-dynamics.

$$dP/dR = -GM\rho/R^2 \tag{4a}$$

$$P = nkT = \rho kT/m_s \tag{4b}$$

$$M_b = 4\pi\rho R_b^3/3 \tag{4c}$$

Let (4b), (4c) into (4a), and let (1a), (1c) into (4a).

As a result, particle m_s become the balance on R_b of a BH of M_b . Then,

$$dP/dR = d[3hC^3/(32\pi GR^3 m_s)]/dR$$

$$= -(9hC^3/(32\pi^2 Gm_s R^4)) \tag{4e}$$

$$-GM\rho/R^2 = -(GM/R^2) \times (3M/4\pi R^3)$$

$$= -(3G/4\pi R^3) \times (M^2/R^2),$$

From (1c), $M_b/R_b = C^2/2G = M/R$. So,

$$-GM\rho/R^2 = -3C^4/(16\pi GR^3), \tag{4f}$$

So, (4e) = (4f), i. e.,

Conclusion: Any BHs could only reduce its mass finally into $M_{bm} = m_p$, after simplifying,

$$3h/(2\pi m_s R^4) = C/R^3. \text{ Finally,}$$

$$R = 3h/(2\pi C m_s), \text{ or,}$$

$$R m_s = 3h/(2\pi C) = 1.0557 \times 10^{-37} \text{ cmg} \tag{4g}$$

Let $m_s = 6 m_{ss}$ into (4g), then,

$$R_b m_{ss} = h/(4\pi C) = 1.0557 \times 10^{-37} \text{ cmg} \tag{4h}$$

$$\text{Thus, } (4h) \equiv (1f), \tag{4i}$$

It can be seen from (4i), just m_{ss} , but not m_s is Hawking radiation on R_b of M_b . **Why does $m_s = 6m_{ss}$?** Owing to ρ and T in (4b) and (4c) being all the average values, they are bigger than those on R_b , the comprehensive effects of both can lead $m_s = 6m_{ss}$. It is fully proved that, the balance formula between Newton mechanics and thermo-dynamics of a m_{ss} on R_b of a BH is the same mechanism with a m_s at the R end of a nebula or a star.

Owing to having temperature T_b on R_b of BHs, any m_{ss} on R_b would have heat motion and heat radiation. Thus, the instant temperature and velocity of m_{ss} would not be uniform. When the amplitude of vibration of m_{ss} went into trough, m_{ss} could temporarily depart from R_b to outside of lower temperature or energy. However, BH would immediately decrease in its R_b and increase in its T_b due to a m_{ss} lost. The higher temperature (energy) gap appearing on R_b would let m_{ss} fled out unable to return back to BH. As a result, m_{ss} became a particle fled out from BH into outside. **That explanation to m_{ss} fleeing out from BH may be much better than Hawking's explanations.** Hawking's explanations to m_{ss} with virtual particles in Dirac's sea would purposely make a mystery of simple things. **Moreover,** according to (1d), $m_{ss} M_b = hc/8\pi G = 1.187 \times 10^{-10} \text{ g}^2$, the mass amount of M_b and m_{ss} of different BHs in the Universe would be too much different, and vary at any time. How could virtual

particles which had to equal to m_{ss} vary instantly with m_{ss} ?

《2》. 3 shapes of radiation energy of m_{ss} can be equally transformed each others.

$$m_{ss}C^2 = \kappa T_b = Ch/2\pi\lambda = \nu h/2\pi \quad (4j)$$

The energy transformation of Hawking quantum radiation m_{ss} of BHs on the Event Horizon R_b can accord with (4j).

As an example, the temperature on our sun's surface is about 5800k. Let 5800k be the valve temperature like T_b on R_b of BHs, the corresponding mass m_{sf} of sun's radiation energy is: $m_{sf} = \kappa T_b/C^2 = 10^{-33}g$, and its equal wave length $\lambda_{sf} = h/(2\pi C m_{sf}) = 10^{-5}cm = 10^{-7}m$. It clearly shows that, sun can only radiate electromagnetic waves, visible light, radio waves, ultraviolet rays of $\lambda_{sf} > 10^{-7}m$.

It shows that, the mechanism of BHs radiating m_{ss} is the same mechanism with sun radiating visible light, etc. However, sometimes sun could radiate X-rays of high energy and project particles to outside, due to some strong explosions causing inside sun. Similarly, the explosions in great BHs might project energy-matters to its outside too.

【5】. The lifetime τ_b of BHs. According to Hawking formula of BH's lifetime,

$$\tau_b \approx 10^{-27} M_b^3 \quad (5a)$$

To minimum BH, $M_{bm} = m_{ss} = m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}g$, its lifetime $\tau_{bm} \approx 10^{-42}$ 秒 \approx Schwarzschild time t_s of (1j), τ_{bm} and t_s are at the same numerical grade. For star BHs, its mass $M_{bs} \approx 6 \times 10^{33}g$, so, its lifetime $\tau_{bs} > 10^{66}$ years. For our Universe as a gigantic BH, if no more energy-matters engulfed outside, its mass $M_{bu} \approx 10^{56}g$, so, its lifetime $\tau_{bu} \approx 10^{133}$ years.

Some conclusions:

1*; Our Universe is a really gigantic BH (see 【6】 of Chapter II), if there are more energy-matters outside, its mass M_{bu} will be increased, and its lifetime become $\tau_{bu} \gg 10^{133}$ years. Thus, according to BH theory, the destiny of our Universe is only decided by its mass-- M_b , but General Theory of Relativity recognized the destiny of our Universe is decided by unknown $(\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_0 . > 1 \text{ or } < 1)$. Both are completely different.

2*; m_{ss} emitted out from star BHs $\approx 10^{-44}g$ is much less than any energy-matter particles in universal space. Thus, the big BHs of (mass \geq star BH) always engulfing energy-matters outside are all like rapacious plunders, and radiating m_{ss} to outside are all like misers. For example, our cosmic-BH would emit a weakest $m_{ssu} \approx 10^{-66}g$ every 10^{12} years.

3*; When a big BH M_{bb} combined with a small BH M_{bs} , M_{bs} would enter into inside of M_{bb} , due to that particles in M_{bb} were always bigger than m_{ss} of M_{bs} , so, M_{bs} could engulf all energy-matters in M_{bb} , and finally form a new bigger BH of $(M_{bs} + M_{bb})$ with new bigger R_b of $(R_{bs} + R_{bb})$.

【6】. The total information amount I_m of a BH of M_b , $I_m = I_0 M_b / m_{ss} = 4GM_b^2/C$. The total information amount I_0 of any m_{ss} , $I_0 = h/2\pi =$ basic information unit = 1 bit = information amount of minimum BH-- $M_{bm} = m_p$. The entropy S_{Bbm} of $M_{bm} = m_p = m_{ss}$, $S_{Bbm} = \pi$. The total entropy S_{BM} of a BH of M_b , $S_{BM} = (\pi/I_0) I_m = (\pi/I_0) \times 4GM_b^2/C = 2\pi^2 R_b^2 C^3/hG$.^[4]

《1》; According to analogy of thermo-dynamics in the theory of BHs, the entropy of BHs in Einstein gravity theory is as follow:

$$S_B = A/4l^2 \quad [3] = 2\pi^2 R_b^2 C^3/hG \quad (6a)$$

In above (6a), A—surface of a BH of M_b , $A = 4\pi R_b^2$. l —Planck length,

$$l = (HG/C^3)^{1/2} \quad [6][3] \quad (6b)$$

(6a) is the famous Bekenstein-Hawking formula.

From (1c) $GM_b/R_b = C^2/2$, then, $S_B = A/4l^2 = 4\pi R_b^2 / (4GH/C^3) = 4\pi R_b^2 \times C^3 / 4GH = \pi R_b R_b C^3 / GH = \pi \times C t_s \times 2GM_b C^3 / GH C^2 = \pi 2t_s \times M_b C^2 / H$, t_s —Schwarzschild time, $C t_s = R_b$. So,

$$S_B \times (h/2\pi) = \pi(2t_s \times M_b C^2), \text{ or } S_B = \pi(2\pi/h) \times (2t_s \times M_b C^2) \quad (6c)$$

In above (6c), $H = (h/2\pi) = I_0$, According to Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle, two complementary physical dimensions: such as time and energy, location and momentum, angle and angular momentum, if both have no way to be measured precisely, their product is equal to a constant = $H = h/2\pi = 1.058 \times 10^{-34} Js = 1.058 \times 10^{-27} g \cdot cm^2/s$. Then,

$$M_b \times C^2 2t_s = h/2\pi = I_0 \quad (6d)$$

$$\Delta E \times \Delta t \approx h/2\pi = I_0 \quad (6e)$$

Doing analogy between (6d) and (6e), (6e) is mathematical formula of Uncertainty Principle. $2t_s$ is corresponding to Δt , and $M_b C^2$ is corresponding to ΔE . It shows that, BHs emitting m_{ss} are all quantum.

《2》; The information amount I_0 and entropy S_{Bbm} of $M_{bm} = m_{ss} = m_p = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2}$

In above 【1】 of Chapter I, it was proved that, $M_{bm} = m_{ss} = m_p = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2}$, and $R_{bm} \equiv L_p \equiv (Gh/2\pi C^3)^{1/2} \equiv 1.61 \times 10^{-33}cm$, $t_{sbm} = R_{bm}/C = 0.537 \times 10^{-43}s$. Let check up data of $M_{bm} = m_{ss} = m_p$, according to (6c) and (6d):

$$\frac{2t_{sbm} \times M_{bm} C^2}{9 \times 10^{20}} = 2 \times 0.537 \times 10^{-43} s \times 1.09 \times 10^{-5} g \times 9 \times 10^{20} = 1.054 \times 10^{-27} g \text{cm}^2/s. \quad (62a)$$

$$\frac{h}{2\pi} = 6.63 \times 10^{-27} / 2\pi = 1.06 \times 10^{-27} g \cdot \text{cm}^2/s. \quad (62b)$$

It can be seen, (62a) = (62b), so,

$$\frac{2t_{sbm} \times M_{bm} C^2}{h/2\pi} = h/2\pi = H = I_o \quad (62c)$$

Thus, $h/2\pi = H = I_o = 1 \text{ bit}$ is the minimum information amount in the Universe, and $I_o = \text{minimum information unit of } M_{bm} = m_{ss} = m_p$. Owing to the lifetime of $M_{bm} = m_p$ being $0.537 \times 10^{-43} s$ and $I_o = 1 \text{ bit}$, the sole way for $M_{bm} = m_p$ could disintegrate themselves into many smaller energy-particles for prolonging their lifetime.

If applying natural Planck constant, let $h/2\pi = 1 = H = I_o$, so, $t_{sbm} \times M_{bm} C^2 = 1$.

From (6c), the entropy S_{Bbm} of $M_{bm} = m_p$, due to $S_B (h/2\pi) = \pi 2t_s \times M_{bm} C^2$, so,

$$S_{Bbm} = \pi, \text{ and } I_o = 2t_{sbm} \times M_{bm} C^2 = h/2\pi \quad (62d)$$

It shows, the information amount $< (I_o = h/2\pi)$ could be impossible to exist in the Universe.

An amateur physicist, Ms. Fang (方舟の女) explained: [On philosophy, existence is just perceived by sensory organs, and perceptibility is just the information to be got and transformed. Anything bringing no information could have no way to be perceived. Thus, information is just existence. Thus,

Information = Existence = energy × time.

Correspondingly, Planck constant $H = \text{energy Uncertainty} \times \text{time Uncertainty}$

Why does existence = energy × time ? It reflects existence has only two essential factors. Any existent thing must have its energy and its living time. A thing of no energy or no living time can be really no existence.]^[7]

I think, her concept to information is rather correct and accepted.

《3》. The information amount of any m_{ss} radiated by any BH of M_b is completely the same = $I_o = h/2\pi$, and has nothing to do with the amount of M_b and m_{ss} .

To getting the general formula of information amount of any m_{ss} , from (1d), $m_{ss} M_b = hC/8\pi G = 1.187 \times 10^{-10} g^2$. So,

$$I_o = \frac{m_{ss} C^2 \times 2t_c}{C^2 h C / (8\pi G M_b) \times 2 \times 2 G M_b / C^3} = \frac{C^2 h C / (8\pi G M_b) \times 2 R_b / C}{C^2 h C / (8\pi G M_b) \times 2 \times 2 G M_b / C^3} = h/2\pi \quad (63a)$$

Above (63a) shows, the information amount of any I_{ss} of any BH is always equal to $I_o = h/2\pi$, no matter whether m_{ss} and M_b is big or small. Thus, I_o is the

minimum, the most basic information unit = 1 bit.

To getting the total information amount I_m and total entropy S_{BM} of a BH of M_b , let $n_i = M_b/m_{ss}$. So,

$$I_m = n_i I_o \quad S_{BM} = n_i \pi = (\pi/I_o) I_m, \quad (63b)$$

$$\text{Owing to } M_b = n_i m_{ss}, \quad I_m = I_o M_b/m_{ss}, \quad (63c)$$

From (1d) and (63c),

$$I_m = I_o M_b/m_{ss} = 4GM_b^2/C \quad (63d)$$

$$\text{From (63b), } S_{BM} = (\pi/I_o) I_m = (\pi/I_o) \times 4GM_b^2/C = 2\pi^2 R_b^2 C^3/hG = S_B, \quad (63e)$$

(63e) is completely the same with previous (6a), it can be proved that, all formulas derived above by author are perfectly correct and very harmonious.

From (4j), $m_{ss} C^2 = (h/2\pi) \times C/\lambda_{ss}$, so, any wave length λ_{ss} of m_{ss} is equal to the diameter of BH of M_b .

$$\lambda_{ss} = 2 t_c C = 2R_b = D_b \quad (64a)$$

Conclusions; Some very significant and effective conclusions from above calculations.

A; If our CBH having energy-matters outside, they will be thoroughly engulfed. After that, the bigger CBH in future will nonstop emit HQRs(m_{ss}) to contract its size finally to become $M_{bm} = m_p$, and disappear in Planck Era and the lifetime of the bigger CBH $\gg 10^{134}$ years. Then, the destiny of our Universe from the viewpoints of BH's theory can be very great different with the General Theory of Relativity. Thus, $\Omega \neq 1$ got out from GTR can be a false proposition.

B; After new formulas(1d), (1e), (4a), (4b), (4d), (4f), (5b) derived by author, the theory of BHs will go to a rather complete system. The relationships between various parameters are very harmonious. Furthermore, the states and structures inside any BH can be very great different, because BHs have nothing to do with their mass-- M_b .

C; The wave length of m_{ss} , $\lambda_{ss} = 2R_{bu} = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{cm}$, so, m_{ss} emitted by our Universe should be the gravitational waves. Owing to $I_o = \text{constant}$, the smaller m_{ss} is, the longer its λ_{ss} .

D. The information amount I_m of combinations of two BHs($M_{b1} + M_{b2}$) can not be conservative.

From(4b), owing to $I_m \propto M_b^2$ (I_m is directly proportional to M_b^2), after combinations of two BHs of $M_{b1} + M_{b2}$, their total information amount $I_{m1+m2} \propto (M_{b1} + M_{b2})^2$; but $I_{m1} \propto M_{b1}^2$, and $I_{m2} \propto M_{b2}^2$; then, $I_{m1+m2} > I_{m1} + I_{m2}$. Similarly, If a BH of M_b , its original I_m of M_b , $I_m \propto M_b^2$, after M_b emitting m_{ss} of $0.5 M_b$, the rest $0.5 M_b$ will only have $0.25 I_m$, but the lost $0.5 M_b$ bring away $0.75 I_m$. However, the original total information amount I_m of M_b does not be increased or decreased any more, and is equal to a constant in the process of emitting m_{ss} . Obviously, from(4f), owing to I_o of any $m_{ss} = h/2\pi$, the bigger M_b emit the longer λ_{ss} of m_{ss} , and bring away the less mass of a m_{ss} . Entropy is the same conditions with the information above.

E. Now that the information amount of any m_{ss} emitted by any BHs is always equal to $h/2\pi = 1 \text{ bit} =$ minimum information amount, and BH can only emit 1 m_{ss} each time, is the same condition available with all other objects such as stars or a radio transmitter emitting information?

【7】 . Mankind may be impossible to manufacture out any artificial real gravitational(Schwarzschild) black holes(BHs) forever.^[8]

The minimum BH, $M_{bm} = m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g}$, its $R_{bm} = 1.61 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}$, and its Compton time $t_c =$ Schwarzschild time $t_s = 0.537 \times 10^{-43} \text{ s} \approx$ its lifetime τ_{bm} . Owing to $BHs \leq M_{bm} = m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g}$ impossible to exist in the Universe, then, mankind will

only attempt to manufacture out some $BHs \geq M_{bm} = m_p$, but a M_{bm} is formed by the mass of $10^{20} p_m$, because the mass of a proton, $p_m = 1.66 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g}$. Mankind may have no ability forever let $10^{20} p_m$ collide together on some Collider at an extremely precisely same time. Most difficultly, the distance between two close p_m has only 10^{-13} cm at the density of neutron star, the time for transmitting gravity between them needs 10^{-24} s at least. However, the lifetime τ_{bm} of M_{bm} is just $\tau_{bm} \approx 0.537 \times 10^{-43} \text{ s}$. It is said, although completing a successful collision, those too many p_m must impossibly combine together within 10^{-43} s . Therefore, many scientists in many countries had done some alarmist talks about ‘artificial black holes’, those cannot be convinced.

Chapter II The New Concepts and New Researches to Cosmogony

====The new concepts in this Chapter are built on the bases of above new concepts and formulas of BHs====

From **【1】** to **【5】**, it will be demonstrated that, our Universe was born in Planck Era from a large number of new $2M_{bm} \equiv 2m_p = 2(hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} \equiv 2 \times 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g}$, but not born from so-called ‘Singularity’ or ‘the Big Bang of Singularity’. In **【6】**, our Universe would be a real gigantic cosmic-BH of 10^{56} g . In **【7】**, the new concept and new demonstration to ‘Original Inflation’ of our newborn Universe.

【1】. The evolution formulas of our expansive Universe.^[2]

According to the achievements in modern cosmogony and physics, the law and relationship between t , R and T can be precisely defined. t —characteristic time of our Universe, R —characteristic size, T —temperature of radiations, k_1, k_2, k_3 —constants.

Formulas (1a) below precisely describes our Universe’s evolution relevant from the so-called ‘Big Bang’ to the end of Radiation Era, (i.e, from $t = 10^{-43} \text{ s}$ to $t \approx 1/3 \times 10^6 \text{ years}$).

$$Tt^{1/2} = k_1, R = k_2 t^{1/2}, RT = k_3, \quad (1a)$$

Formula (1b) below precisely describes our Universe’s evolution relevant within the Matter-Dominated Era , (i.e, from $t \approx 1/3 \times 10^6 \text{ years}$ to the present). k_6, k_7, k_8 —constants, ,

$$Tt^{2/3} = k_6, R = k_7 t^{2/3}, RT = k_8, \quad (1b)$$

【2】. What principle can the precise birth-time t_m of our Universe be got according to?

Since the expansive law of our Universe would exactly accord with above (1a), we could let (1a) return back to its original point, which was just the precise birth-time t_m of our Universe.

The reason why all particles of energy-matters in our Universe could be linked together to a whole ball is that there would be time enough to delivery gravity between all two close particles. The full and essential condition must be $R \leq Ct$. C —light speed. From above (1a), in the very long period after the birth-time t_m , the relationship between R and t was: $R = k_2 t^{1/2}$, but R was not directly proportional to t . When t reduced 4 times, R only reduced 2 times. It is said, once t went straight back, it could reach a limit, i.e, $R \geq Ct$. At that time t_m , there could not be time enough either to transfer gravity between two close particles, or to transfer gravity from the center of a particle to its boundary, and led all gravitational links broken inside and outside all particles. Thus, our Universe at its some very earlier time t_m could be impossible to contract its size R continuously to Singularity of $t = 0$, but only disintegrated into innumerably scattered and isolated radiation-particles of no gravity. Thus, t_m might be the birth-time of our Universe, because just at that time t_m , the new particles having gathered from energy-matters would recover their gravitational forces inside and outside, they were the cells of our Universe at its birth-time. Since $t_m \neq 0$, then, $R \neq 0$ at $t = t_m \neq 0$. What were the newborn particles and what time was t_m ?

【3】. At the time t_m of newborn particles recovering its gravitational links, t_m was the birth-time of our Universe, and it can be confirmed below that, newborn particles were just really $2M_{bm} \equiv 2m_p = 2(hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} \equiv 2 \times 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{g} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$.^[2]

Let d_m —the distance between two neighboring particles, m — mass of a new particle recovering its gravitational links, r —radius of m , $2t$ —time needed between two neighboring particles to transfer their gravity, i.e, t_s —Schwarzschild time of m , C —light speed, ρ —density of m , H —Hubble constant. Then,

$$d_m \geq C \times 2t, \text{ i.e, } d_m/2C \geq t, \text{ or } R \geq Ct \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Let } \rho = \text{density g/cm}^3 \text{ of } m, \quad m = 4\pi\rho R^3/3, \quad (3aa)$$

H -- Hubble constant, i.e, the constant of our Universe at the same time, $H = V/R = 1/t$,
From $4\pi\rho r^3/3 = m$, and $m = \kappa T/C^2$,

$$\therefore t^3 \leq 3\kappa T/4\pi\rho C^5 \quad (3a)$$

$$\text{Owing to } \rho = 3H^2/8\pi G = 3/(8\pi Gt^2) \quad (3ba)$$

$$\therefore t \leq T(2G\kappa)/(C^5), \quad (3b)$$

$$\text{From (1a), } Tt^{1/2} = k_1 \quad (3ca)$$

$$\therefore t^{3/2} \leq k_1 (2G\kappa)/C^5, \quad \text{or } t \leq [k_1 (2G\kappa)/C^5]^{2/3} \quad (3c)$$

Formulas (3a),(3b),(3c) are all derived from (3), so, t has the equal numerical value.

Now getting the numerical value of t : firstly, select correspondingly t and T from Planck Era in above **Chapter【1】to find out k_1 . Getting $t \approx 10^{-43}$ s, correspondingly, $T \approx 10^{32}$ K, and put them into (1a), $Tt^{1/2} = k_1$. Then,**

$$k_1 = Tt^{1/2} = 10^{32} \times 10^{-43} \text{s} = 3^{1/2} \times 10^{10} \approx 1.732 \times 10^{10},$$

From (3c),

$$t^{3/2} \leq [(2G\kappa)/(C^5)] \times k_1 = 1.732 \times 10^{10} [(2G\kappa)/(C^5),$$

(3cb)

$$G = 6.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{cm}^3/\text{gs}^2, \quad C = 3 \times 10^{10} \text{cm/s}, \quad \kappa = 1.38 \times 10^{-16} \text{gcm/s}^2 \text{K},$$

$$t^{3/2} \leq [(2 \times 6.67 \times 10^{-8} \times 1.38 \times 10^{-16}) / (3 \times 10^{10})^5] \times 1.732 \times 10^{10} = 0.075758 \times 10^{-74} \times 1.732 \times 10^{10} \approx 0.1312 \times 10^{-64},$$

$t^3 = 0.017217 \times 10^{-128} = 0.17217 \times 10^{-129}$. For convenient calculations below, **let $t = t_m$. So,**

$$t_m = 0.5563 \times 10^{-43} \text{s}, \quad (3d)$$

$$\therefore t_m \leq 0.5563 \times 10^{-43} \text{s} \quad (3d)$$

It can be seen, $t = t_m$ was the exact time of newborn particles m_m having just recovered its gravitational links, i.e., the birth-time of our Universe.

Correspondingly:

$$T_m = k_1/t^{1/2} = 1.732 \times 10^{10} / (0.5563 \times 10^{-43})^{1/2} = 0.734 \times 10^{32} \text{K}, \quad (3e)$$

T_m —temperature of the Universe at time t_m ,
 m_m —mass of a newborn particle,

$$m_m = \kappa T/C^2 = 1.38 \times 10^{-16} \times 0.734 \times 10^{32} / (9 \times 10^{20}) = 1.125 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}, \quad (3f)$$

$$\rho = 3/(8\pi Gt^2) = 0.5786 \times 10^{93} \text{g/cm}^3 \quad (3g)$$

From (3aa), radius r_m of m_m ,

$$r_m = (3m/4\pi\rho)^{1/3} = 1.67 \times 10^{-33} \text{cm}, \quad (3h)$$

$$d_m = C \times 2t = 3.34 \times 10^{-33} \text{cm}, \quad d_m \geq 2r_m \quad (=3.34 \times 10^{-33} \text{cm}) \quad (3i)$$

$$\therefore (d_m \geq 2r_m) \quad (3j)$$

(3j) shows that, when our Universe returned back to the time t_m , so, t_m was the time of newborn particles having just gathered energy-matters from Planck Era and recovering its broken gravity. Thus, **t_m was the birth-time of our Universe, and m_m were new cells and the most basic unit (particle)**. Density ρ_u of the Universe at t_m ,

$$\rho_u = m_m/d_m^3 = 3.02 \times 10^{92} \text{g/cm}^3 \quad (3k)$$

【4】. At the birth-time t_m of our Universe, the newborn particles m_m having just recovered its gravity were just really minimum BHs and Planck particles, i.e, $m_m = M_{bm} = m_p = (hC/8\pi G)^{1/2} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$. Comparing the numerical values of their parameters in Figure 1 below:

From figure 1 below, in reality, newborn particles $m_m \equiv M_{bm} \equiv m_p$. The differences of numerical values between their parameters are caused from the tolerances calculated from k_1 in (3cb), m_m , t_m , T_m and r_m , etc.

【5】. Where could all energy-matters forming newborn particles of our Universe come from? According to the principle of time symmetry, the possible and sole hypothesis in this article was having a Pre-universe, which had a final 'Big Crunch' and created countless old particles $m_m = M_{bm} = m_p$. Just those 3 states of $m_m = M_{bm} = m_p$ of Pre-universe could immediately explode and disintegrate into tiny γ -rays in Planck Era, and stop the collapse of Pre-universe going onto Singularity, because m_m could have no time enough to transfer gravity each others.

m_m --just getting gravity	M_{bm} --minimum BH	m_p --Planck P. [2]
$m_m = 1.125 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$	$M_{bm} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$	$m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$,
$t_m = \pm 0.5563 \times 10^{-43} \text{s}$	$t_{bm} = 0.539 \times 10^{-43} \text{s}$	$t_p = 0.539 \times 10^{-43} \text{s}$,
$T_m = 0.734 \times 10^{32} \text{k}$	$T_{bm} = 0.71 \times 10^{32} \text{k}$	$T_p = 0.71 \times 10^{32} \text{k}$,
$r_m = d_m/2 = 1.67 \times 10^{-33} \text{cm}$	$R_{bm} = 1.61 \times 10^{-33} \text{cm}$	$L_p = 1.61 \times 10^{-3}$

Figure 1: Comparisons to parameters between M_{bm} , m_p and m_m

The explosions of countless old particles m_m in Planck Era might be so-called ‘Big Bang’ for creating our Universe. (A). Just the ‘Big Bang’ could certainly lead the ‘phase change’ of Pre-universe from collapse into expansion and stop the collapse going onto Singularity. (B). Just the ‘Big Bang’ could certainly lead density lowered in Planck Era and let a little bigger new BHs forming into stable cells ($2M_{bm}$) of our new Universe. (C). Just the ‘Big Bang’ could certainly let all remains as the energy-matters to form into new particles of $m_m = M_{bm} = m_p$ and recover their gravitational links each others at the highest density $9f \ 10^{92} \text{g/cm}^3$ in Planck Era. The countless newborn particles m_m having just recovered their gravitational links were just the cells and birth of our Universe.

Conclusion: Above 3 results of the ‘Big Bang’ of Pre-universe in Planck Era could provide the full and necessary conditions for the birth of our Universe.

What conditions let new particles m_m of our Universe to be born and grow up? We know, in Planck Era of the highest density of 10^{92}g/cm^3 , radiations (energy) and particles (matter) would non-stop annihilate, compose and transform each others with extremely high speed. Therefore, the remains of Pre-universe reforming into new particles m_m were the certain results. Furthermore, if only new particles m_m had lifetime enough longer than its Compton time, m_m would certainly become into new BHs because of the extremely high pressure and density. The key problem was under what conditions the newborn particles m_m could grow bigger and bigger. According to Hawking lifetime τ_b formula of BHs, Compton time t_{bc} of new particle m_m ,

$$\tau_b = 10^{-27} M_b^3 \text{ (s)} \tag{5a}$$

$$t_{bc} = R_b/C \tag{5b}$$

Obviously, only in case $\tau_b > t_{bc}$, i.e. $10^{-27} M_b^3 > R_b/C$, from (1c) of Chapter I. new particles could form into BHs of new $m_m = M_b$ and grow bigger and bigger. Then,

$$M_b = m_m = 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g} (\approx 2 M_{bm}) \tag{5c}$$

Owing to the ‘Big Bang’ caused from all old particles m_m of Pre-universe, the universal space would expand and the density become lower, it could easily lead the little bigger new particles $m_m \approx 2 M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$ to be formed. After that, they would non-stop combine each others and become bigger and

bigger BHs, because they closely pasted together at the circumstance of extremely high density.

The non-stop combinations of countless $m_m \approx 2 M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$ created the ‘Original Inflation’ and the continuous expansion of our Universe up to present.

As a result, only the new bigger BHs of $m_m = M_b \approx 2 M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$ formed with the longer lifetime than its Compton time could grow bigger and bigger and their continuous combinations created a present expansive cosmic-BH.

Comparing $m_m = M_b \approx 2 M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$ with formula (1p) in Chapter I, new BHs of $m_m = M_b \approx 2 M_{bm} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{g}$ were the stable cells forming our Universe.

[6]. It is proved below that, our Universe will have been a really and completely cosmic-BH (CBH). The expansion of our Universe has been the continuous combination of countless original $m_m \approx 2 M_{bm}$. Hubble law just reflects that expansion caused from the combination of countless $m_m \approx 2 M_{bm}$ and engulfing energy-matters from outside. The flatness ($\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_0 \approx 1$) of our Universe is just the essential nature of any BH. So, $\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_0 \neq 1$ was just the false proposition of GTR.

1*. Many reliable and precise numerical values observed by some modern astronomical telescopes testified that, our Universe can be a really cosmic-BH(CBH).

(A), The real and precise age A_u of our Universe is, $A_u = 137 \times 10^8 \text{ years}$. , then , its radius $R_u = C \times A_u = 1.3 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}$, its density is, $\rho_u = 3/(8\pi G A_u^2) = 0.958 \times 10^{-29} \text{ g/cm}^3$. so, now the total mass of CBH is, $M_u = 8.8 \times 10^{55} \text{g}$.

(B). The reliable Hubble constant observed is , $H_0 = (0.73 \pm 0.05) \times 100 \text{kms}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, thus, the real density calculated is, $\rho_r = 3H_0^2/(8\pi G) \approx 10^{-29} \text{g/cm}^3$, and the age A_r of CBH is , $A_r^2 = 3/(8\pi G \rho_r)$, $\therefore A_r = 0.423 \times 10^{18} \text{s} = (134 \pm 6.7) \times 10^8 \text{ years}$. As a result, the total mass of CBH is, $M_r = 8.6 \times 10^{55} \text{g} \approx 10^{56} \text{g}$.

It can be seen, the numerical values observed from two different ways are exactly the same. It shows that, our Universe is a real and definite ball of real BH. **For convenient calculations below,** let our Universe has, $M_u = 8.8 \times 10^{55} \text{g}$, age $A_u = 137 \times 10^8 \text{ years}$, radius $R_u =$

1.3×10^{28} cm, density $\rho_u = 0.958 \times 10^{-29}$ g/cm³.

2*. Suppose our Universe was a gigantic cosmic-BH (CBH), according to the law of energy-matters conservation, its total mass must certainly come from the combinations of countless original minimum BHs ($m_m = M_{bm} = m_p$). In addition, from **【3】** in Chapter I, the combinations of countless M_{bm} must combine and become a CBH.

Known numbers from above: $M_{bm} \equiv m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$ g, its $R_{bm} = 1.61 \times 10^{-33}$ cm, its $T_{bm} = 0.71 \times 10^{32}$ K, its Hawking radiations $m_{ss} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$ g. $\rho_{bm} \approx 10^{92}$ g/cm³

Let N_{bu} be numbers of $N_{bu} = M_u / M_{bm}$. If the calculations below adopting $m_m \approx 2 M_{bm}$, it is the same results with M_{bm} .

$$N_{bu} = M_u / M_{bm} = 8.8 \times 10^{55} / 1.09 \times 10^{-5} = 8.0734 \times 10^{60} \quad (6a)$$

If our Universe M_u is really composed from $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$, so, according to Schwarzschild formula of BHs, its R_{bu} must be $N_{bu} \times R_{bm}$,

$$N_{bu} = R_u / R_{bm} = 1.3 \times 10^{28} / 1.61 \times 10^{-33} = 8.075 \times 10^{60} \quad (6b)$$

(6a) = (6b) is fully and clearly testified that, our Universe M_u is the complete combinations from $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$, and is a real CBH.

3*. Applying Hubble law to the Event Horizon R_u of our UBH,

$$\text{Then, } M_u = 4\pi\rho_u R_u^3 / 3 = 4\pi(3H_0^2 / 8\pi G) C^3 t_u^3 / 3 = 4\pi(3H_0^2 / 8\pi G) C^3 t_u / 3H_0^2 = C^3 t_u / 2 G = \underline{C^2 R_u / 2 G} \quad (6c)$$

$$\text{From Schwarzschild law, } 2G M_b = C^2 R_b \\ \underline{M_b} = R_b C^2 / 2 G = C^3 t_{bu} / 2 G = \underline{R_{bu} C^2 / 2 G} \quad (6d)$$

Owing to $t_u = t_{bu}$, $R_{bu} = R_u$, $M_u = M_b$, and **(6c) = (6d)**, it is also proved that, our Universe is a real gigantic cosmic-BH. Then, Hubble law reflecting the expansion is just the expansive law of our Universe caused from engulfing energy-matters or from combinations of countless $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$. Once no energy-matters outside engulfed, our Universe will stop its expansion, and Hubble law can be invalid.

4*. As for the flatness of our Universe, i.e ($\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_o \approx 1$). The density ρ_o of any BH is only and solely decided by its mass M_b . Our Universe as a real CBH is a gigantic close ball. Then, ($\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_o = 1$) is the essential property of our CBH. Scientists arguing about whether ($\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_o = 1$ or < 1 or > 1) was a false proposition for over 50 years, due to Friedmann model being a unreal proposition.

Owing to the false proposition of ($\Omega = \rho_r / \rho_o \neq 1$), it let many scientists proposed many new wrong viewpoints, such as finding 'the lost energy-matters in the Universe', 'zero point energy', 'dark energy', etc. It can be clearly seen from (6a) and (6b), our

cosmic-BH (CBH) has not increase or decrease in energy-matters any more.

5*. From (1n) of Chapter I, For any BHs, $\rho_b R_b^2 = 3C^2 / (8\pi G) = \text{constant}$. Then, $\rho_{bm} R_{bm}^2 = 10^{93} (1.61 \times 10^{-33})^2 \approx 2.6 \times 10^{27}$, and $\rho_u (R_u = A_u)^2 = 0.958 \times 10^{-29} (1.3 \times 10^{28})^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{27}$. Thus, $\rho_{bm} R_{bm}^2 = \rho_u (R_u = A_u)^2$. It testifies our Universe is a real CBH again.

【7】. In this section, author proposes a new and simple mechanism causing the 'Original Inflation' of our Universe after the beginning of its birth-time. The mechanism of 'Original Inflation' should be the sudden and violent space expansion created from the combinations of countless newborn $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$. The concluded time t_o of 'Original Inflation' should be the time of all $M_{bm} (N_{bu} \times M_{bm} = M_u)$ linking together in the whole Universe (CBH).

According to above statements to new mechanism, the total mass of our CBH, $M_u = 8.8 \times 10^{55}$ g, it came from the combinations of ($N_{bu} = 8 \times 10^{60}$) \times ($M_{bm} \equiv m_p = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$ g). Let t_o be the concluded time of Original Inflation, so, t_o was just the time of all $M_{bm} (N_{bu} \times M_{bm} = M_u)$ linking together in whole CBH, If t_{bmc} was Compton time and Schwarzschild time of a newborn M_{bm} , its $t_{bmc} = R_{bm} / C = 1.61 \times 10^{-33} / 3 \times 10^{10} = 5.37 \times 10^{-44}$ s, then, (2 or 3) $\times t_{bmc}$ showed the time needed by all $N_m \times M_{bm}$ connecting together. $R_{bm} = 1.61 \times 10^{-33}$ cm.

1*. Suppose light(gravity) went through $2 \times t_{bmc}$ of a M_{bm} , and $N_{m2} \times M_{bm}$ would be connected together, then,

$$N_{m2} R_{bm}^3 = (2R_{bm})^3, \\ \therefore N_{m2} = 8 \quad (7a)$$

(7a) shows, the gravity of a M_{bm} could connect other 8 M_{bm} , while time of M_{bm} from t_{bmc} prolonged to 2 t_{bmc} . Thus, how long time needed by a M_{bm} connecting all $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$ to a whole? $N_{bu} = 10^{56}$ g is a known number below,

$$N_{bu} = 8.8 \times 10^{60} \approx 10^{61} = (8^{67.5}) \quad (7b)$$

(7b) shows, after the gravity of a M_{bm} went through time of $2^{67.5} \times t_{bmc}$, all $N_{bu} (= 8^{67.5} \approx 10^{61}) \times M_{bm}$ could link together to a 'original universal packet of $M_u = N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$ '.

$$(2^{67.5}) \approx (10^{20.3}), \quad \text{let } n_{o2} = 10^{20.3} \quad (7c)$$

$$\text{Now, seeking } N_{m3} \text{ with the same method above, } \\ N_{m3} R_{bm}^3 = (3R_{bm})^3, \quad \therefore N_{m3} = 27 \quad (7d)$$

$$N_{bu} = 8.8 \times 10^{60} \approx 10^{61} = (27^{42.6}), \quad \text{but } (3^{42.6}) \\ \approx (10^{20.3}), \quad \text{let } n_{o3} = 10^{20.3},$$

$$\therefore n_o = n_{o2} = n_{o3} \approx (10^{20.3}) \quad (7e)$$

From (7a) and (7d), after a M_{bm} connected other

$8 \times M_{bm}$, its volume would prolong to 8 times, i. e. $8 = 2^3$ times. At the same time, from (7d), its volume would also prolong to $27 = 3^3$. As a result, it led much more than $2^3 M_{bm}$ to be connected. It is said, after t_{bmc} prolonged to $2 t_{bmc}$, the numbers connecting M_{bm} were not only 2^3 , but probably $(2^3)^3 = 2^9$. Similarly, the numbers connecting M_{bm} were 3^9 , while t_{bmc} prolonged $3 t_{bmc}$.

It can be known from (7c) and (7e), no matter how many M_{bm} could be connected together at one time, the time needed by connecting all $N_{bu} \times M_{bm} = M_u$ would be the same, i. e. $10^{20.3}$ s. From (7a) and (7d), owing to the combinations of $N_{bu} \times M_{bm}$ creating the sudden and violent space expansion, it was just the reason causing 'Original Inflation' at the beginning of the birth-time of our Universe.

With the same method to seek the general law of n_0 times of $t_{bmc} -- n_0 \times t_{bmc}$

$$\text{Let } N_{mn} = n_0^9, \text{ and } n_0 = 10^x \quad (7f)$$

$$\text{However, } N_{bu} \approx 10^{61}, 10^{61} = 10^{9x} \quad (7g)$$

$$\text{Let } x_1 = 61/9 = 6.8, \therefore n_{01} = (10^{6.8}) \quad (7-1a)$$

n_{01} in (7-1a) was the times of $n_{01} \times t_{bmc}$ under the condition of "Original Inflation". Now, according to the principle of (7e), another x_2 and n_{02} under the condition of "Violent Space Expansion" might be existent.

$$x_2 = 61/3 = 20.3 \therefore n_{02} = 10^{20.3} \quad (7-1b)$$

$$\therefore n_{02} = n_{01}^3 \text{ or } n_{02} = 10^{13.5} n_{01} \quad (7-1c)$$

2*. (7-1a) and (7-1b) are testified that, there would be two ways to connect all $N_{bu} \times M_{bm} = M_u$ together to form a whole 'original universal packet'. No matter which expansive way was, the concluded time t_{01} or t_{02} of 'Original Inflation' or 'Violent Space Expansion' was only decided by the total mass M_u of our Universe.

A.: The concluded time t_{01} of 'Original Inflation',

$$t_{01} = t_{bmc} \times n_{01} = 5.37 \times 10^{-44} \times 10^{6.8} = 10^{-36.5} \text{ s.} \quad (7-2a)$$

B. The concluded time t_{02} of 'Violent Space Expansion':

$$t_{02} = t_{bmc} \times n_{02} = 5.37 \times 10^{-44} \times 10^{20.3} = 10^{-23} \text{ s} \quad (7-2b)$$

$$\therefore t_{02}/t_{01} = n_{02}/n_{01} = 10^{-23}/2 \times 10^{-36.5} = 10^{13.5} \quad (7-2c)$$

3*. From (7-1a) and (7-1b) to (7-2a) and (7-2b), it seems to be inferred that, there might be two ways of "Inflation". [A]. The first way was "Original Inflation" in accordance with (7-1a) and (7-2a), its expansive time was from 5.37×10^{-44} s of the birth-time to $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}$ s, but its expansive effect reached the same result with ($t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s) of 'Violent Space

Expansion', it is said, the Event Horizon of CBH at the time of $10^{-36.5}$ s reached the same with Event Horizon of ($t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s). However, in the period from $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}$ s to $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s, the CBH seemed to have no expansion. [B]. The second way was the 'Violent Space Expansion' in accordance with (7-1b) and (7-2b), its time was from 5.37×10^{-44} s successively to $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s. The Event Horizons above two ways reached the same numerical value at the different time of $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}$ s and $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s. [C]. From $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s up to the present, the expansion of CBH was regular and accorded with Hubble law due to the combinations between a lot of small BHs growing bigger and bigger.

Conclusion: The concluded time $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}$ s and $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s of Original Inflation were almost equal to the numerical values observed by NASA/WMAP.

4*. According to the calculations to the 'Original Inflation' by Prof. Su Yi in chapter 12.7 of his book «An Introduction to New Astronomy»^[6] he applied (1a) above, $R = k_1 t^{1/2}$, R —characteristic size of our Universe, t —characteristic time(age), his calculated results were: at $t = 10^{-36}$ s, the size R_{-36} after 'Original Inflation, $R_{-36} = 3.8$ cm,

$$R_{-36} = 1.83 \times 10^{25} \text{ cm} \times (10^{-36} \text{ s})^{1/2} / (7 \times 10^5 \times 3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s})^{1/2} = 3.8 \text{ cm}^{[5]} \quad (7-4a)$$

Owing to $M_u = 10^{56}$ g, at 10^{-36} s, its $R_{-36} = 3.8$ cm, the density ρ_{-36} ,

$$\rho_{-36} = 3M_u / (4\pi R_{-36}^3) = 4.4 \times 10^{53} \text{ g/cm}^3 \quad (7-4b)$$

$$\text{However, } R_{-44} \text{ of } M_u = (3M_u / 4\pi \rho_u)^{1/3} = 10^{-13} \text{ cm} \quad (7-4c)$$

$$R_{-36} / R_{-44} = 3.8 / 10^{-13} = 3.8 \times 10^{13} \quad (7-4d)$$

Prof. Su Yi said in his book: 'The results of 'Original Inflation' from $R_{-44} = 10^{-13}$ cm to $R_{-36} = 3.8$ cm, i. e. from $t = 5.37 \times 10^{-44}$ s to $t = 10^{-36}$ s, $R_{-36} / R_{-44} = 3.8 \times 10^{13}$, and its volume was increased to 10^4 times.' The above data might be a typical case.

5*. Let's compare data calculated by author with data of Prof. Su Yi above.

Let M_{-23} and R_{-23} were mass and radius of the Event Horizon(EH) of small BHs (M_{-23}) forming CBH at the time $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s. then,

$$R_{-23} = C t_{02} = 3 \times 10^{10} \times 10^{-23} \text{ s} = 3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm} \quad (7-5a)$$

$$\text{From(1c) of Chapter I, } M_{-23} = 0.675 \times 10^{28} R_{-23} = 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ g} \quad (7-5b)$$

Let R_{b-23} be EH of CBH(M_u) at the time $t_{02} = 10^{-23}$ s, so, $M_u / M_{b-23} = R_{b-23}^3 / R_{-23}^3$, $R_{b-23}^3 = 10^{56} / (2 \times 10^{15}) \times (3 \times 10^{-13})^3$, so,

$$R_{b-23} = 11 \text{ cm} \quad (7-5c)$$

Owing to Prof. Su Yi's data being $R_{-36} = 3.8$ cm at the time 10^{-36} s, but author's data are $R_{b-23} = 11$ cm = $R_{b-36.5}$ in case of 'Original Inflation'. What time is t_{01-36} in my case of $R_{b-23} = 3.8$ cm? because $t_{01-36} / t_{01} =$

($R_{.36} = 3.8$)/($R_{.36.5} = 11$), so,

$$t_{01-36} = 10^{-37} \text{ s} \quad (7-5d)$$

Conclusions: [A]. It can be known from (7-5d), according to author's new mechanism and corresponding calculations to 'Original Inflation', the 'Inflation' of CBH should reached $R_b = 3.8\text{cm}$ at the time-- $t_{01-36} = 10^{-37}\text{s}$, but not 10^{-36}s . [B]. Author precisely calculated out the time of 'Original Inflation' might be from $5.37 \times 10^{-44}\text{s}$ of the birth-time to $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}\text{s}$, and the concluded time $t_{01} = 10^{-36.5}\text{s}$ of 'Original Inflation', as well as the radius R_{b-23} of EH of CBH expanded to $R_{b-23} = 11\text{cm}$. [C]. If Prof. Su Yi's data and calculations above was o.k. it indicated that, the first way of "Original Inflation" accorded with the really condition at the beginning of the birth-time of our Universe.

[8] . To recognize our comical BHs (CBH) from the evolution of 7 different typical BHs. In Figure 2, the extremely harmonious and precise relationships between all numerical values of different parameters of various BHs in the Universe can confirm that, the new BH's theory and the new cosmogony proposed by author in this article are rather identical and effective.

From above statements, once newborn $2M_{bm}$ appeared in Planck Era, they could pasted closely at the highest density of 10^{92}g/cm^3 . Their combinations would cause sudden and violent space expansion, i.e, 'Original Inflation' from the birth-time of $5.37 \times 10^{-44}\text{s}$ to 10^{-23}s . In that period, owing to the continuous combination of minimum BHs-- $2M_{bm}$, they could grow up to mini BHs of $2 \times 10^{15}\text{g}$. After that, mini BHs had to continuously combine and grow up, and finally become a gigantic cosmic-BH at present.

For recognizing the nature of our cosmic-BH, 7 typical BHs with the numerical values of their parameters were listed in Figure 2 below.

In Figure 2: M_b —mass of a BH, R_b —radius of EH of a BH; T_b —temperature on EH; τ_b —lifetime; ρ_b —average density; m_{ss} —mass of Hawking radiation; their numerical values are got from formulas (1a), (1b), (1c), (1d), (4c), (5a) of Chapter I.

$$\text{Let } n_i = M_b/m_{ss} \quad (8a)$$

Wave length λ_{ss} of m_{ss} ; $\lambda_{ss} = Ch/(2\pi m_{ss} C^2)$, owing to $m_{ss} C^2 \times 2t_s = h/2\pi = I_0$, so,

$$\lambda_{ss} = 2C t_s = 2R_b, \quad \text{frequency, } \nu_{ss} = C/\lambda_{ss} \quad (8b)$$

$$t_s = R_b/C \quad (8c)$$

$$E_r = m_{ss} C^2 \quad (8d)$$

Owing to $\tau_b = 10^{-27} M_b^3$, so, $-d\tau_b = 3 \times 10^{-27} M_b^2 dM_b$. if let $dM_b = 1 m_{ss}$, and $-d\tau_b$ was just the time gap needed by emitting 2 neighboring m_{ss} .

$$-d\tau_b \approx 3 \times 10^{-27} M_b^2 dM_b = 3 \times 10^{-27} M_b \times M_b m_{ss} \approx 0.356 \times 10^{-36} M_b \quad (8e)$$

I_0 is information unit of m_{ss} , i.e, the minimum unit of information = 1 bit. Then, the information unit I_0 of all m_{ss} is equal to, $I_0 = h/2\pi$, and not decided at all by mass of M_b or m_{ss} . I_m —the total information amount of a BH, $I_m = 4GM_b^2/C$ (63d).

Various numerical values in Figure 2 are the abundant treasure-house and extremely harmonious. They fully show that, the expansion and evolution of our Universe has been the result of the continuous combinations and growth of original countless $2M_{bm}$.

BHs	#1 M_{bm}	#2 mini BH -	#3 middle BH-	#4 moon BH-	#5 star BH-	#6 giant BH-	#7 our cosmic BH
M_b (g),	10^5g	10^{15}g	$2 \times 10^{18} \text{g}$	10^{26}g	$6 \times 10^{33} (3M_\odot)$	$10^{42} \text{g} (10^9 M_\odot)$	10^{56}g
R_b (cm),	1.5×10^{-33}	1.5×10^{-13}	3×10^{-10}	1.5×10^{-2}	9×10^5	1.5×10^{14}	1.5×10^{28}
T_b (k),	0.8×10^{32}	0.8×10^{12}	0.4×10^9	8	1.3×10^7	7×10^{16}	7×10^{30}
τ_b (s.yrs),	10^{-42}s	10^{10}yrs	8×10^{27}	10^{44}yrs	10^{66}yrs	10^{92}yrs	10^{134}yrs
ρ_b (g/cm ³),	7×10^{92}	7×10^{52}	2×10^{46}	7×10^{30}	1.5×10^{15}	7×10^{-2}	7×10^{-30}
m_{ss} (g),	10^{-5}	10^{-24}	10^{-27}	10^{-36}	1.6×10^{-44}	10^{-52}	10^{-66}
n_i ,	1	10^{39}	4×10^{46}	10^{62}	4×10^{77}	10^{94}	10^{122}
λ_{ss} (cm),	3×10^{-33}	3×10^{-13}	6×10^{-10}	3×10^{-2}	1.8×10^6	3×10^{14}	3×10^{28}
$-d\tau_b$ (s),	$3 \times 10^{-42} \text{s}$	3×10^{-21}	10^{-18}	3×10^{-11}	1.7×10^{-3}	3×10^5	10^{12}yrs
ν_{ss} (s ⁻¹),	10^{43}	10^{23}	0.5×10^{20}	10^{12}	0.17×10^{-5}	10^{-4}	10^{-18}
t_s (s),	0.5×10^{-43}	0.5×10^{-23}	10^{-20}	0.5×10^{-12}	3×10^{-5}	0.5×10^4	0.5×10^{18}
E_r (erg),	10^{16}	10^{-3}	10^{-7}	10^{-15}	10^{-23}	10^{-31}	10^{-45}
t_c (s),	0.6×10^{-43}	0.6×10^{-24}	0.6×10^{-21}	0.6×10^{-12}	0.6×10^{-4}	0.6×10^4	0.6×10^{18}
I_m (I_0),	I_0	$10^{39} I_0$	$4 \times 10^{46} I_0$	$10^{62} I_0$	$4 \times 10^{77} I_0$	$10^{94} I_0$	$10^{122} I_0$

Figure 2: Numerical values of various parameters of 7 typical BHs on R_b [4]

§ 1. In Figure 2, mass of BHs, $M_b = 10^{-5}g \sim 10^{56}g$, it shows the continuously expansive history of our Universe as a cosmic-BH. In its expansive process of 137×10^8 years, BHs grew up successively from $10^{-5}g$ of #1 $M_{bm} \Rightarrow$ #2 \Rightarrow #3 \Rightarrow #4 \Rightarrow #5 \Rightarrow #6 $\Rightarrow 10^{56}g$ of #7 our cosmic-BH(CBH). Each of 7 BHs would have some special significances.

§ 2. From #1~#6 original BHs would be impossible to exist in our Universe, because in the evolution process from 10^{-44} s of Planck Era to about $t_{up} = 4 \times 10^5$ years of the end of Radiation Era, the difference of energy-matter density in whole space of cosmic-BH varying from $10^{92}g/cm^3$ to $\rho_{bu} = 10^{-20}g/cm^3$ was very even.

$$t_{up} = (3/8\pi \rho_{bu} G)^{1/2} \quad (8a)$$

However, the density of #6 BHs-- $\rho_{b6} > 10^{-1}g/cm^3$. In the rapidly expansive process of the Universe, uniform energy-matters could have impossible to resist the universal expansion and let original BHs exist and remain in universal space.

After coemic-BH entering Matter-dominated -Era, matters could separate off from radiation energy, the radiation temperature lowered quicker than matter-particles because of cosmic expansion and led the contractions of matter-particles to become #5、#6 BHs, they were second-born BHs.

No matter how much mass of a BH is, BHs of the same mass M_b can have the same numerical values of all parameters on their EH, but the states and structures inside any BH may are very great different.

§ 3. #1 minimum BH of $2M_{bm} = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}g$. They were the original cells of our Universe. The successive combinations of countless $2M_{bm}$ created the 'Original Inflation' and non-stop expansion of our cosmic-BH. After no energy-matters to be engulfed outside, our Universe will lastly go to contract to M_{bm} and disappear in Planck Era. That will be a complete life-death circle of our Universe.

§ 4. #2 mini BHs or so called original mini BH, $M_{bom} \approx 10^{15}g$, its lifetime \approx the age of our Universe. In 1970s, Hawking predicted, M_{bom} might exist in universal space, however, they could not be found by scientists for about 10 years.

$M_{bom} \approx 2 \times 10^{15}g$ were BHs of 'Original Inflation' at its concluded time. Mass of a m_{ss} of $M_{bom} \approx$ mass of a proton, Mass of a $M_{bom} \approx$ mass of 10^{39} proton. 10^{30} was the large number of Dirac's hypothesis.

§ 5. #3 middle BHs, its mass $\approx 10^{19}g$; mass of its m_{ss} , $m_{ese} \approx 10^{-28}g \approx$ mass of an electron.

§ 6. #4 moon BHs, its mass $\approx 10^{26}g$; Temperature on its R_b , $T_b \approx 2.7k$, \approx temperature of microwave background of radiations(MBR) of our Universe. It is said, if there could be an isolated BH of mass $< 10^{26}g$ in universal space, it would emit $m_{ss} > 10^{-36}g$ to outside and contract its size R_b ; if its mass $> 10^{26}g$, it would absorb in radiation energy from universal space and expand its size R_b . Although their final destiny would be the same to become $M_{bm} = m_p$ and disappear in Planck Era, but their lifetime could be very different.

§ 7. #5 star BHs, their mass $M_b \approx 6 \times 10^{33}g(3M_\odot)$ or more. They could just be second-born and real objects existing in universal space after the beginning of Matter-dominated Era of our Universe. After nuclear fusion having finished and through supernova explosion, the remnants of the original stars of mass $> 8M_\odot$ might become a star BH of mass $\geq 3M_\odot$. Besides, if a neutron star could engulf energy-matters outside or collide with its companion-white dwarf (or another neutron star), it might also become a star BH of mass $\geq 3M_\odot$. Then, $3M_\odot$ is so-called Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit.

However, those two conditions are just the theoretical inference, but no real observations can be as reliable evidences.

Their lifetime $> 10^{66}$ years. Temperature on R_b , $T_b \approx 10^{-7}k$. Their Hawking radiations are very weak, $m_{ss} \approx 10^{-44}g$. They most hide in bi-stars system.

§ 8; #6 Giant BHs, mass $M_b \approx (10^7 \sim 10^{12}) M_\odot$; They can exist in the center of star clusters and galaxy. They will increase in its mass and grow bigger, due to much energy-matters outside to be absorbed. Stars and star BHs may be in #6 Giant BHs. They might be formed in the earlier period of Matter-dominated Era. Quasars might be the

childhood of giant BHs. Their lifetimes will be $> 10^{76-101}$ years.

§ 9; #7 Our gigantic cosmic-BH(CBH), its mass $M_{bu} \approx 10^{56}$ g. It is testified that our Universe has been a real BH, i.e, CBH. If no energy-matters outside to be engulfed, our CBH could non-stop emit Hawking radiations m_{ss} up to become $M_{bm} = m_p$ to explode in Planck Era, the lifetime may be about 10^{134} years. If having energy-matters outside, they can be thoroughly engulfed by our CBH afterwards. After that, CBH will contract its size due to emitting m_{ss} non-stop; finally, it become $M_{bm} \approx 10^{-5}$ g and vanish in Planck Era. However, its lifetime must $\gg 10^{134}$ years. The destiny of our Universe as a BH will be completely difference with the forecast of General Theory of Relativity.

Its Hawking radiation $m_{ss} \approx 10^{-66}$ g. Emitting a m_{ss} may need 10^{12} years. That time may be longer than 100 times age of our Universe.

§ 10 ; m_{ss} of different BHs have greatly different properties.

A ; #1 minimum BHs-- $M_{bm} = m_p$ could only explode in Plank Era, and create γ -rays of the highest energy in Planck Era.

B; m_{ss} emitted by #1 minimum BHs ~ #2 mini BHs of 10^{15} g : m_{ss} could be γ -rays from the highest energy to bigger energy than $p_m = 1.66 \times 10^{-24}$ g of mass of a proton.

C; m_{ss} emitted by #2 mini BHs of 10^{15} g ~ #3 middle BHs of 2×10^{18} g; m_{ss} could be γ -rays from $p_m = 1.66 \times 10^{-24}$ g of mass of a proton to $e_m = 10^{-28}$ g of mass of a electron.

D; m_{ss} emitted by #3 middle BHs of 2×10^{18} g ~ #5 star NHs of 6×10^{33} g; m_{ss} are x-rays ~ the longest radio waves, included light waves.

E; m_{ss} emitted by #5 star BHs of 6×10^{33} g ~ #7 our gigantic CBH, m_{ss} may be all gravitational waves.

§ 11 . Comparing the numerical values of parameters between #1 minimum BHs of $M_{bm} = m_p = 10^{-5}$ g and #7 our gigantic CBH of $M_{bu} \approx 10^{56}$ g below:

Mass ratio; $M_{b7}/M_{b1} = 10^{56}/10^{-5} = 10^{61} = n_i$;

Ratio of radius of EH; $R_{b7}/R_{b1} = 1.5 \times 10^{28}/1.5 \times 10^{-33} = 10^{61}$,

Ratio of Schwarchild time; $t_s/t_{s1} = 0.5 \times 10^{18}/0.5 \times 10^{-43} = 10^{61}$;

Ratio of temperature on R_b ; $T_{b7}/T_{b1} = 7 \times 10^{-30}/0.8 \times 10^{32} = 10^{-61}$,

Lifetime ratio; $\tau_{b7}/\tau_{b1} = 10^{142}/10^{-42} = 10^{184}$,

Ratio of m_{ss} mass; $m_{ss1}/m_{ss7} = 10^{-5}/10^{-66} = 10^{61}$,

Ratio of m_{ss} numbers- n_i ; $n_{i7}/n_{i1} = 10^{122}/1 = 10^{122}$,

Ratio of total information amount; I_m 的比值 $I_{m7}/I_{m1} = 10^{122}/1 = 10^{122}$

Tine ratio of emitting a m_{ss} ; $-d\tau_{b7}/-d\tau_{b1} = 3 \times 10^{19}/3 \times 10^{-42} = 10^{61}$

§ 12. Some other conclusions to our CBH:

A; It can be known from § 11 that, ratios of all numerical values proportional to mass M_b of BHs are 10^{61} , ratios of all numerical values proportional to mass M_b^2 are $10^{61 \times 2} = 10^{122}$, and ratios of M_b^3 are 10^{184} . Thus. it is exactly testified once more that, our present CBH should exactly come from the combinations of $N_{bu} \times (M_{b1} = M_{bm} = m_p)$

The same mass of all BHs can have the completely same numerical values of all parameters on their EHs-- R_b , and have the completely same properties, but the states and structures of every BH inside may are completely different.

B; In 1998, two scientist groups of Australia and America discovered the accelerating expansion of our Universe through their observation to the explosion of remote super-star Ia. The accelerating expansion appeared about 9×10^9 years ago. The main stream of present scientists proposed that, dark energy of exclusive force appeared in our Universe 9×10^9 years ago and led our Universe creating accelerating expansion. Their hypothesis may be hardly observed and testified. According to that, BHs in the process of accelerating engulfing energy-matters outside would cause the accelerating expansion, author proposed a simple hypothesis, that our CBH might collide and combine with another CBH about 9×10^9 years ago. Author's explanations and calculations may provide another visual angle to recognize our CBH. According to the fact of accelerating expansion, it shows the real existence of multi-universes. ^[9]

====The End====

【References】:

- [1]。 New Concepts to Big Bang and to Black Holes:
《==== Part 1 : Black Holes==== The Final Gravitational Collapse Of The Event Horizon Of Any BHs In Nature Would Only Contract To Planck Particle $m_p = M_{bm} = 10^{-5}g$ And Disintegrate in Planck Era, But Impossibly Contract To Singularity Of Infinite Density. May.-2010
[http:// sciencepub.net/academia/aa0208](http://sciencepub.net/academia/aa0208), Academia Arena 2010;2(8):]. (ISSN 1553-992X).
<http://www.sciencepub.net/report/report0210>
- [2] 。 The New Concepts to Big Bang and to Black Holes: Both Had No Singularity at All
====Part 2: Our Universe Didn't Come From Singularity====
<http://www.sciencepub.net/report/report0210>
[http:// sciencepub.net/academia/aa0207](http://sciencepub.net/academia/aa0207), Academia Arena 2010;2(8):]. (ISSN 1553-992X)。
- [3]。 Wang Yonjiu: <Physics of Black Holes> Publishing House of Hunan Normal University. Hunan, China. 2002.
- [4]。 Dongsheng Zhang: <Information Amount and Entropy of Black Holes(BH) M_b and its Hawking Quantum Radiation(HQR) m_{ss} > <http://www.sciencepub.net/report/report0304/>
- [5]。 He, Xiang-tao.: 《 Observational Cosmology.》 Science Publishing House. Beijing, China. 2002
- [6]。 Su Yi: 《An Introduction to New Astronomy》. Science & Technology Publishing House Of Central University, Wuhan, China. 2000. 3.
- [7]: Ms. Fang' s writings (方舟の女文章小集):
<http://www.gaofamily.com/viewtopic.php?p=29139>
- [8] 。 Dongsheng Zhang: "Mankind may be impossible to manufacture out any artificial real gravitational black holes forever"
- [9]。 Dongsheng Zhang: "New explanations to the accelerating expansion of our universe: It might be caused from the collision between two universal black holes in their early years." <http://sciencepub.net/academia/aa0207/>, [Academia Arena, 2010;2(7):] (ISSN 1553-992X).

The Intelligent Hybrid

Dr. M.F.A. Alrazak

Email: theintelligentybrid@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract: The origin of the human species has been a constant research of both science and religions for countless years, with both sides offering their own unique perspectives. However a new theory has come into light which presents itself from both science and religious facts, with each providing evidence to aid the other. This distinguishes the theory from many others, as it removes the common assumption that science and religion are in constant opposition regarding human origin and evolution. **Human Beings are an Intelligent Hybrid species, an offspring of reproduction between Mankind (who in this case descendants of Adam) and Humankind (who in this case descendants of Homo sapiens).**” The recent discovery of the IDA fossil in Germany plans is another evidence and an important part in the scientific chain of events, as its presence implies that it most likely the renowned “missing link”. Knowledge gathered and combined from such figures as the Greek philosopher Empedocles, the Arabic biologist Al-Jahiz and the Muslim philosopher Ibn Miskawayh and the Chinese philosopher Zhuangzi and others was the core of any evolution idea that emerge later and tried to explained the origin, the adaptation, the existence of the species including mankind and human species. The idea of evolution and transmutation of species by Al-Jahiz, Ibn Miskawayh's *al-Fawz al-Asghar* and the Brethren of Purity's *Encyclopedia of the Brethren of Purity (The Epistles of Ikhwan al-Safa)* developed theories on evolution was most likely studied by Charles Darwin, Alfred Wallace and many others. [Report and Opinion. 2010;2(1):1-5]. (ISSN: 1553-9873).