

## Application and Comparison of Altman and Ohlson Models to Predict Bankruptcy of Companies

Mani Shehni Karamzadeh

Multimedia University, Faculty of Management, Malaysia  
e-mail: [mani1071@hotmail.com](mailto:mani1071@hotmail.com)

**Abstract:** Environment fluctuation and increasing competitiveness between corporations lead to make the achievement of proper benefit more difficult. Therefore, financial decision making received more attention compare to previous decades and it forces managers to apply novel techniques and methods in order to make excellent decisions. This study tries to investigate the application Altman and Ohlson models as two of these techniques. To achieve this goal companies from Tehran Stock Exchange are chosen because there are standard financial statements for corporations in Tehran Stock Exchange and also the data is accessible.

[Mani Shehni Karamzadeh. **Application and Comparison of Altman and Ohlson Models to Predict Bankruptcy of Companies.** *Rep Opinion* 2016;8(9):52-55]. ISSN 1553-9873 (print); ISSN 2375-7205 (online). <http://www.sciencepub.net/report>. 5. doi: [10.7537/marsroj080916.05](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsroj080916.05).

**Keywords:** Altman Model, Ohlson Model, Bankruptcy, Tehran Stock Exchange

### 1. Introduction

The most important issues in financial management are investing and trust to investors for both real persons and legal persons. A large number of studies about process of decision making in investment have been conducted in developed countries (Chen et al., 2006). One of issues that could help organizations in order to have a good decision making in their investment positions is using tools and models for evaluating financial situation of organizations. Because the investor cannot make a correct decision unless has a proper evaluation from its investment. One of these tools that used in corporations for decision making in their investments are models of predicting bankruptcy (Beaver, 1966).

Investors always intend to predict the possibility of bankruptcy of corporations and prevent to the risk of losing their investment. Hence, they are always looking for methods that could predict the possibility of bankruptcy of companies. Because in a case of bankruptcy the stock price decreases dramatically.

There are a variety of methods for predicting the bankruptcy but between these methods, analysis of ratios and analysis of market risk are more valid. Analysis of market risk uses fluctuations in the market risk (such as variance of Rate of Return for a share and systematic risk) in order to estimate the possibility of bankruptcy of corporation. On the other hand, analysis of ratios estimates the possibility of bankruptcy by using a group of financial ratios that is chosen by experts.

The main goal of this study tests the prediction power of original Altman (1968) and Ohlson (1980) models on the dataset of Iranian listed companies. In the Altman model (Z-score) for bankruptcy prediction analysis of ratios method is used. The model consists of five financial ratios that are coefficients by

Discriminate Analysis method and has been implemented as a function that financial ratios are as independent variables of it. Ohlson was a pioneer in introducing logit function to bankruptcy prediction in 1980, and a follow-up study was conducted by Zmijewski (1984), who first applied probit function.

The reminder of this paper as follows: part 2 describes the bankruptcy concept and its background, part 3 is the research methodology and finally data analysis, and conclusions are presented in part 5 and 6 respectively.

### 2. bankruptcy

Bankruptcy is a legal status of an insolvent person or an organization, that is, one who cannot repay the debts they owe to creditors. In most jurisdictions, bankruptcy is imposed by a court order, often initiated by the debtor. When the amount of organization debts is higher, its value of existence assets bankruptcy is occurred (Gitman, 1996).

In Tehran Stock Exchange Article, 141 Commercial Code says about the situation that a corporation count as a bankrupt corporation. This law says: If an organization at least loose half of its assets it is compulsory for Board of Director's to make an appointment and make a decision about the future of their corporation, whether they are going to decide to disbandment, the corporation or continue their activities (Soleimani Amiri, 2003).

Generally, bankruptcy in corporations could happen in three different ways: financial, economical and legal (Chen et al., 2009). In case of financial bankruptcy, corporation is not able to do its obligations before the deadline. Hence, it shows weaknesses in finance. One of the clues of financial weaknesses is absence of working capital. Lacks of working capital, which itself is a sign that causes of

weak capital structure by over current borrowing, high operational costs and other causes. Usually economic bankruptcy and financial and credit bankruptcy are different. Overall, economic bankruptcy is same as failure of trade, because the corporations could not achieve the same benefit that can be achieved elsewhere (Shumway, 2001). Based on laws bankruptcy is not defined as inability of a company in pay its obligations before the deadline. Regulations define the bankruptcy when Total assets is not sufficient to pay the Total debt (Gholampour, 2009).

Bankruptcy usually caused by different reasons. The most important reason in bankruptcy of corporations is mismanagement. Managerial failures, high costs, financial activities weakness, ineffective sales activities and high production costs or mixture of these reasons can be a warning for a company for bankruptcy. Economic activities could be the other reason of bankruptcy in organizations and corporations. Economic recession, changes in interest rates, rising inflation, raw material price fluctuations and international economic conditions, can be mentioned as economic reasons for bankruptcy of organizations. On the other hand, government decisions, natural and unwanted hazards and life-stage of organizations are also other reasons for the occurrence of bankruptcy (Goudie, 1987).

### 3. Literature review

The first study to predict the bankruptcy is conducted by Thomas Woodlock in early 1900. He was done a classic analysis in railway industry and published his work as an article under the name of "Percentage of operational costs to gross retained earnings" (Agarwal et al., 2007). Edward Altman (1968) - in an article on financial ratios, discriminate analysis, and bankruptcy prediction of institutions - presented a model for bankruptcy prediction, which is known as the Altman's Model. He used five financial ratios in this model whose accuracy was 95%. A few years later, he introduced a modified version of his model. The Z-score model is still applied as a general practical tool for assessing the financial well-being of firms. Due to its characteristics, this model has been used for small samples of manufacturing firms as well as bankrupt and non-bankrupt firms with equal sizes (Grice and Ingram, 2001).

Altman selected 22 financial ratios and analyzed them by using multiple discriminate analysis method; he could produce the Function Z which contained 5 financial ratios as independent variables and Z as dependent variable. Financial ratios in model are as follows: Working capital to total assets, Retained earnings to total assets Earnings before interest and taxes to total assets, Market value of equity to total debt And sales to total assets (Duffie et al., 2003).

After years of using model Z by researchers and different organizations, some criticisms were raised for the model. Financial analysts, accountants and even the companies believed that this model can be used only for institutions with a general business nature. Altman continued its research on bankruptcy prediction and improve its model and presented a new model, called model Z.

The most important amendment in Altman model is substituting equity book value and the market value and then changes the coefficients and limitations of bankruptcy. These two models were used mainly on manufacturing companies, usage of these models in non-manufacturing and service companies were not significant. Therefore, Altman continued his research to design a model named Model Z in this model sales to total assets ratio variable is eliminated and the four-variable model provided specifically for non-manufacturing and service companies (Philosophov and Philosophov, 2002). In later years, many researchers study on bankruptcy prediction models and were able to design new models. Such as: the logit model by Ohlson (1980). Ohlson raised questions about the MDA model, particularly regarding the restrictive statistical requirements imposed by the model (Ohlson 1980). To overcome the limitations, Ohlson (1980) employed logistic regression to predict company failure.

Some of the studies carried out on bankruptcy prediction models in Iran are as follows: Mehrani et al., (2005) studied the relationship between financial ratios and bankruptcy prediction. To test research hypothesis and compute the financial ratios of interest from sample firms, the data related to one and two years before success or failure (bankruptcy) was collected for each participant group - successful and unsuccessful firms - and the main research variables were computed including five financial ratios. The results of data analysis revealed that the obtained Z-score model correctly separated the sample firms and classified them in one of the successful or failed (bankrupt) groups without any error, indicating the ability of financial ratios in predicting success or failure (Mehrani et al., 2005).

### 4. Research methodology

In this study, using data extracted from financial statements of listed companies in Tehran Stock Exchange, the ratios calculated in the model and its applying in the model, then the amount of Altman model for each company in each year of study is determined. Statistical population of this study includes the companies in Tehran Stock Exchange which are a total of 90 companies. This study used data for a 4 year period from 2007 to 2010.

**4.1. Altman model**

In this study Z'-SCORE model of Altman is used. This model contains five financial ratios: Working capital to total assets (T<sub>1</sub>), Retained earnings to total assets (T<sub>2</sub>), Earnings before interest and taxes to total assets (T<sub>3</sub>), Book value of equity to book value of debt (T<sub>4</sub>), Sales to total assets (T<sub>5</sub>). The model is shown in equation (1).

$$Z' = 0.717(T_1) + 0.847(T_2) + 3.1(T_3) + 0.42(T_4) + 0.998(T_5) \quad (1)$$

Z < 1.2 State of complete bankruptcy.

State of between bankruptcy and non bankruptcy (Grey zone).

Z ≥ 2.9 State of perfect situation.

**4.2 Ohlson model**

The econometric methodology of logistic analysis was chosen. The dependent variable is binomial and is defined as 1 if the firm is delisted and 0 if the firm is non - delisted firm. The same nine independent variables used by Ohlson (1980) are employed. The definitions of the nine independent variables are as follows:

SIZE = log (total assets/GNP price - level index). The index assumes a base value of 100 for 2003. The index year is as of the year prior to the year of the balance sheet date.

TLTA = Total liabilities divided by total assets.

WCTA = Working capital divided by total assets.

CLCA = Current liabilities divided by current assets.

OENEG = One if total liabilities exceeds total assets, zero otherwise.

NITA = Net income divided by total assets.

FUTL = Funds provided by operations divided by total liabilities.

INTWO = One if net income was negative for the last two years, zero otherwise.

CHIN = (NIt - NIt - 1) / (|NIt| + |NIt - 1|), where NIt is net income for the most recent period.

The denominator acts as a level indicator. The variable is thus intended to measure change in net income.

$$z_i = -1.32 - 0.407_{x1} - 6.03_{x2} - 1.43_{x3} - 0.0757_{x4} - 2.37_{x5} - 1.83_{x6} - 0.285_{x7} - 1.72_{x8} - 0.521_{x9} \quad (2)$$

Consistent with Ohlson, three sets of estimates were computed for the logistic model using the predictors previously described.

**5. Data Analysis**

The sample is composed of 90 corporations with 45 firms in each of the two groups. The bankrupt group (group 1) is listed companies of Iran Stock Exchange that as per the Article No. 141 of Iran Commerce Code, their Retained Loss is more than twice of their capital. The second group (group 2) consists of a paired sample of listed firms chosen on a stratified random basis from the same database of Iran Stock Exchange.

With finding amount of variables and calculating the amount of 'Z and Ohlson model, Type I error rate (company is bankrupt, but it is predicted non-bankrupt companies) and type II errors (company is Non-bankrupt, but it is predicted as a bankrupt company) for each model is determined.

Table 1. Results of Altman model for 1, 2 and 3 years before.

Year	Actual			Predicted			Accuracy	
	Group1	Group2	Total	Group 1 (Bankrupt)	Group 2 (Non-Bankrupt)	Gray Zone (Critical)	Number correct	Percent correct
<b>One year before</b>	45	45	90	32	35	23	<b>67</b>	<b>74.4</b>
<b>Two year before</b>	45	45	90	28	30	32	<b>58</b>	<b>64.4</b>
<b>Three year before</b>	45	45	90	19	26	45	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>100</b>		

Summary of examination of Ohlson bankruptcy prediction model for the post 1, 2 and 3 years has been presented in table 2.

Table 2. Results of Ohlson model for 1, 2 and 3 years before.

Year	Actual			Predicted			Accuracy	
	Group1	Group2	Total	Group 1 (Bankrupt)	Group 2 (Non-Bankrupt)	Gray Zone (Critical)	Number correct	Percent correct
<b>One year before</b>	45	45	90	20	28	0	<b>48</b>	<b>53.3</b>
<b>Two year before</b>	45	45	90	19	23	0	<b>42</b>	<b>46.6</b>
<b>Three year before</b>	45	45	90	12	18	0	<b>30</b>	<b>33.3</b>

<b>before</b>								
<b>Total</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>		

Summary of examination of Altman bankruptcy prediction model for 1, 2 and 3 years before has been presented in Table 1. Table 1 shows Altman model in on year before is more accurate compare to two or three years before. Therefore, the most accurate prediction is for one year before with 74.4 percent of correct predictions and the worse one is three year before with 50 percent.

As Table 2 shows none of the predictions are in the grey area.

## 6. Conclusions

Considering the results original Altman (1968) bankruptcy prediction model, without any modification made in the independent variables and coefficients, can predict bankruptcy issue of Iranian listed companies with the accuracy of 74.4%, 64.4% and 50% respectively for one, two and three years before and original Ohlson (1980) bankruptcy prediction model, without any modification made in the independent variables and coefficients, can predict bankruptcy issue of Iranian listed companies with the accuracy of 53.3%, 46.6% and 33.3% respectively for one, two and three years before. A comparison between Altman and Ohlson model shows in all three situations Altman works better and it could be suggested to investors in order to predict bankruptcy of companies. For further research other bankruptcy prediction models can be applied and compare the results and also application of Neural network models is suggested for future research.

## References

1. Agarwal, Vineet and Richard J. T. (2007). Twenty - five Years of the Taffler Z - score Model: Does It Really Have Predictive Ability? *Accounting and Business Research* 37(4): 285 - 300.
2. Altman, E. I., (1968), "Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy". *Journal of Finance*, pp. 589-609.
3. Beaver, W. H. (1966), Financial ratios as predictors of failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 4(1), 71-111.
4. Chen, H. J., Huang, S. Y. and Lin C. S. (2009), Alternative diagnosis of corporate bankruptcy: A neuro fuzzy approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 7710-7720.
5. Duffie, D., R. Jarrow, A. Purnanandam, and W. Yang (2003), "Market Pricing of Deposit Insurance", *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 24, 93-119.
6. Gholampour, M. M. (2009), Review of the prediction power of Altman and Ohlson Models in bankruptcy prediction of Listed Companies; A semi empirical study using Iranian financial statements. Master thesis, Ferdowsi university of Mashhad.
7. Gitman, L.J. (1996), Principle of managerial finance (7 rd ed). Newyork: harper collins college.
8. Goudie, A. W. (1987), Forecasting Corporate Failure: The Use of Discriminant Analysis within a Disaggregated Model of the Corporate Sector. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General)* 150( 1): 69 - 81.
9. Grice, J. S and R. W. Ingram (2001), "Tests of the Generalizability of Altman's Bankruptcy Prediction Model". *Journal of Business Research* 54(1): 53 - 61.
10. Mehrani, S., N. Bahramfar and F. Qayoor, (2005). "A study of the relationship between conventional liquidity ratios and ratios from cash flow statements for assessing the going concern of firms". *Accounting and Auditing Studies*, (40).
11. Ohlson, J. (1980). Financial ratios and the probabilistic prediction of bankruptcy. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18, 109-131.
12. Philosophov, L. and V. Philosophov, 2002. Corporate bankruptcy prognosis: An attempt at a combined prediction of the bankruptcy event and time interval of its occurrence. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 11(3): 375-406.
13. Shumway, T. (2001), "Forecasting Bankruptcy More Accurately: A Simple Hazard Model," *Journal of Business*, 101-124.
14. Soleimani Amiri, G. Spring and summer 2003. Financial ratios and financial distress of Iran-Tehran listed companies. *Journal of financial studies*, Vol. 15, pp.121-136.
15. Zmijewski, M.E. (1984), "Methodological Issues Related to the Estimation of Financial Distress Prediction Models," *Journal of Accounting Research*, 22, 59-82.