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#### Abstract

The activity of fungicides is intimately related to its chemical structure. Knowledge about the chemical structure of a chemical is useful for the synthesis of new compounds with more specific actions and fewer adverse reactions, to increase/decrease the duration of action of the original drug or to get a more potent compound, to restrict the action to a specific system of the body and to reduce the adverse reactions, toxicity and other disadvantages associated. We can understand the basic chemical groups responsible for drug action [1]. Recently it has been observed that some of the fungicides are loosing their effects. So analogous compounds can be de- signed as substitute, if their structures are known. A rational approach to test these fungicides is to know the three dimensional structure of these compounds and macromolecular receptor sites as well as their molecular complex. The structures of these compounds can be obtained by X-ray diffraction method in crystalline form and they will invariably be similar to their structure in solutions. The composition of crystal 5-methyl-1, 2, 4- triazolo (3,4b)benzothiazole or Tricyclazole is confirmed by comparing the infra-red spectra of two components. The unit cell parameters are $\mathrm{a}=14.896(5) \AA, \mathrm{b}=7.410(5) \AA, \mathrm{c}=7.556(5) \AA, \alpha=90(5) \mathrm{o}, \beta=90.000(5) \mathrm{o}, \gamma=90.000(5) \mathrm{o}$. The Crys- tal system is Orthorhombic and space group is Pca21. [Jyotsna Chauhan. X-ray crystallographic studies of single crystal of systemic fungicide. Rep Opinion 2018;10(1):22-31]. ISSN 1553-9873 (print); ISSN 2375-7205 (online). http://www.sciencepub.net/report. 5. doi:10.7537/marsroj100118.05.
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## Introduction

A systemic fungicide is defined as systemic fungi toxic compound that controls a fungus pathogen remote from the point of application and that can be detected or identified [2]. These compounds are absorbed by the plant and get trans located within it, thus providing protection as well as eradicating already established infection. Tricyclazole (TCE), a new systemic fungicide for the control of blast of rice (Pyricularia oryzae) is being developed under Code number EL-291(BEAM) by research and development division of Eli-Lilly and Co., Greenfield, Indiana, USA. Result from greenhouse
and field studies show that TCE is readily absorbed by roots and translocated to leaves and provides residual disease control after a single soil or foliar application.

## Experimental

First grow the crystals of existing fungicides avail- able and synthesize their derivatives in lab. The determination of structural perturbation in fungicide derivatives and comparison of the result of their molecular association with other receptor sites by XRay crystal- lography techniques will be done. In parallel with these structural studies, spectroscopic studies carried out on them. The goal is then to tie together the structural and spectroscopic studies to have more comprehensive account of the precise shape of these molecules, the non-covalent interaction which are likely to be involved in and the changes introduced
in molecular geometry and electronic structure of these compounds as a result of their molecular association with other compounds. Thus we study the structure of variety of such com- pounds and correlate their structure with biological activity, so that more safer and effective fungicides at reasonable price can be developed. In that particular fungicide Colorless well formed crystals of size
$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ are obtained by slow evaporation from a solution of methanol at 2970 K temp. The crystals obtained are rectangularin shape. The density of crystal $1.587 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m} \wedge 3$ is measured by floatation method the mixture of benzene and Bromoform The preliminary information about the crystal is listed in TABLE 1. The unitcell parameters are determined by directly on CAD-4 Enraf Nonius 4circle automatic Diffractometer. Chemical structure of 5-methyl-1,2,4- triazolo (3,4b)-benzothiazole or Tricyclazole is given in figure 1.

## Data collection and Structure Solution:

The intensity data are collected on a computerized automatic CAD-4 Enraf Nonius 4circled diffractometer. The data collection is done on $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode. The hkl value varied from -23 to 23 , -9 to 11 and -10 to 11 , respectively. The total number ofuniquereflectionsis3134.

The observed reflections are 8479 correspond to the intensitylimit $\mathrm{I} \geq 2 \sigma$. Each intensity measurement involved in a scan over the reflection peak, a back ground measurement at each end of the scan range and
measurement of the peak height. The structure determination is carried out on VAX machine using SHELXS-97[3].All the non-hydrogen atoms are located in the beginning itself.

## Refinement

The positional co-ordinates, which were obtained from SHELXS 97 and isotropic temperature factors,
were subjected to refinement by SHELXL [4] refinement program. After so many cycles of refinement the R factors dropped to 0.0533 . Further refinement of the constructure was carried out with individuals an isotropic temperature factors of the exponential form.

Table 1: Crystal data of tricyclazole

| Empirical formula | C9 H7 N3 S |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 189.24 |
| Temperature | 293(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| space group | Pca21 |
| a | 14.896(5) A |
| alpha | 90.000(5) deg. |
| b | 7.410(5) A |
| beta | 90.000(5) deg. |
| c | 7.556(5) A |
| gamma | 90.000(5) deg. |
| Volume | 834.0(8) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.507 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m} \wedge 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.335 \mathrm{~mm} \wedge-1$ |
| F (000) | 392 |
| Crystal size | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data | 3.07 to 34.03 deg . |
| collection |  |
| Limiting indices | -23<=h<=23, -9<=k<=11, |
|  | $-10<=1<=11$ |
| Reflections collected/unique | 8479 / 3134 |
|  | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0241]$ |
| Completeness to theta | 34.0399 .6 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from |
|  | equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9360 and 0.9062 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on |
|  | F^2 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3134 / 1/126 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2 | 1.061 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma (I)] | R1=0.0433, wR2=0.1105 |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0533$, wR2 $=0.1187$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.00(8) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.619 and -0.290 e. $\mathrm{A} \wedge-3$ |
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$-2 \mathrm{P} 1 \wedge 2[\mathrm{~h} \wedge 2 \mathrm{a}$ *^2U11+ ------- +2hKa*bxU12

Reduced R factor to 0.0511 . The hydrogen atoms were fixed at this stage by geometrical considerations and were not refined. Refinement of the structure was terminated after two more cycles when all the
deviations in parameters became much smaller than the corresponding estimated standard derivations. The final R value was 0.0432 for all 8479 reflections collected.

Table 2:Atomic coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10 \wedge 4$ ) and equivalent Isotro- pic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A} \wedge 2 \times 10 \wedge 3$ ) for tricyclazole $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the Orthogonalized Uij tensor

| TOM | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $7703(1)$ | $3055(2)$ | $1150(2)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $8421(1)$ | $1984(2)$ | $651(2)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $8239(1)$ | $328(2)$ | $-68(3)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $7362(1)$ | $-254(2)$ | $-307(3)$ | $37(1)$ |
| C $(5)$ | $6632(1)$ | $784(2)$ | $160(2)$ | $31(1)$ |
| C $(6)$ | $6822(1)$ | $2456(2)$ | $895(2)$ | $28(1)$ |
| C $(7)$ | $5312(1)$ | $4074(3)$ | $1675(3)$ | $47(1)$ |
| C $(8)$ | $6598(1)$ | $5273(2)$ | $2187(3)$ | $39(1)$ |
| C $(9)$ | $5685(1)$ | $150(3)$ | $-117(3)$ | $46(1)$ |
| N $(1)$ | $6213(1)$ | $3769(2)$ | $1490(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| N $(2)$ | $5174(1)$ | $5616(3)$ | $2430(3)$ | $62(1)$ |
| N $(3)$ | $6004(1)$ | $6418(3)$ | $2778(3)$ | $56(1)$ |
| S $(1)$ | $7760(1)$ | $5208(1)$ | $2112(1)$ | $42(1)$ |

Table 3: Bond lengths [A] for tricyclazole

| C (1)-C (2) | 1.384(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C (1)-C (6) | 1.3993(18) |
| C (1)-S (1) | $1.7553(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.369(2) |
| C (2)-H (2) | 0.9300 |
| C (3)-C (4) | 1.387(3) |
| C (3)-H (3) | 0.9300 |
| C (4)-C (5) | 1.379(2) |
| C (4)-H (4) | 0.9300 |
| C (5)-C (6) | 1.387(2) |
| C (5)-C (9) | 1.501(2) |
| C (6)-N (1) | 1.4037(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.294(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.368(2) |
| C (7)-H (7) | 0.92(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.305(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.360(2) |
| C (8)-S (1) | 1.7316(19) |
| C (9)-H (9A) | 0.9600 |
| C (9)-H (9B) | 0.9600 |
| C (9)-H (9C) | 0.9600 |
| N (2)-N (3) | 1.396(3) |
| Bond angles [deg] for Tricyclazole |  |
| C (2)-C (1)-C (6) | 120.36(15) |
| C (2)-C (1)-S (1) | 126.65(11) |
| C (6)-C (1)-S (1) | 112.99(11) |
| C (3)-C (2)-C (1) | 117.97(14) |
| C (3)-C (2)-H (2) | 121.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 121.0 |
| C (2)-C (3)-C (4) | 121.12(15) |
| C (2)-C (3)-H (3) | 119.4 |
| C (4)-C (3)-H (3) | 119.4 |
| C (5)-C (4)-C (3) | 122.43(15) |


| C (5)-C (4)-H (4) | 118.8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| C (3)-C (4)-H (4) | 118.8 |
| C (4)-C (5)-C (6) | 116.10(13) |
| C (4)-C (5)-C (9) | 122.09(15) |
| C (6)-C (5)-C (9) | 121.80(14) |
| C (5)-C (6)-C (1) | 122.01(13) |
| C (5)-C (6)-N (1) | 128.00(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 109.98(13) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 110.3(2) |
| N (2)-C (7)-H (7) | 126.9(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 122.9(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 112.26(17) |
| N (3)-C (8)-S (1) | 135.03(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 112.71(11) |
| C (5)-C (9)-H (9A) | 109.5 |
| C (5)-C (9)-H (9B) | 109.5 |
| H (9A)-C (9)-H (9B) | 109.5 |
| C (5)-C (9)-H (9C) | 109.5 |
| H (9A)-C (9)-H (9C) | 109.5 |
| H (9B)-C (9)-H (9C) | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 103.83(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 114.82(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 141.32(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 108.59(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 105.06(17) |
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Figure 2: ORTEP diagram of 5-methyl-1, 2, 4-triazolo (3,4b)-benzothiazole

Table 4: Anisotropic displacement parameters $(\mathrm{A} \wedge 2 \times 10 \wedge 3)$ for Tricyclazole

| Atom | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C (1) | $34(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| C (2) | $29(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| C (3) | $37(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $10(1)$ |
| C (4) | $42(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| C (5) | $34(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| C (6) | $31(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| C (7) | $41(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| C $(8)$ | $55(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $8(1)$ |
| C (9) | $40(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $55(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $-13(1)$ |
| N (1) | $37(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $9(1)$ |
| N (2) | $60(1)$ | $69(1)$ | $55(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $35(1)$ |
| N (3) | $74(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| S (1) | $53(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| Atom | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| C (1) | $34(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| C (2) | $29(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| C (3) | $37(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $10(1)$ |
| C (4) | $42(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| C (5) | $34(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| C (6) | $31(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| C (7) | $41(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| C (8) | $55(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $8(1)$ |
| C (9) | $40(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $55(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $-13(1)$ |
| N (1) | $37(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $9(1)$ |
| N (2) | $60(1)$ | $69(1)$ | $55(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $35(1)$ |
| N (3) | $74(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| S (1) | $53(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |

Table 5: Hydrogen coordinates (x 10^4) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A} \wedge 2 \times 10 \wedge 3$ ) for tricyclazole

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H (2) | 9009 | 2377 | 800 | 44 |
| H (3) | 8711 | -419 | -402 | 49 |
| H (4) | 7264 | -1387 | -800 | 44 |
| H (9A) | 5691 | -1056 | -581 | $85(10)$ |
| H (9B) | 5388 | 937 | -938 | $59(8)$ |
| H (9C) | 5371 | 164 | 992 | $67(10)$ |
| H (7) | $4884(17)$ | $3260(30)$ | $1310(30)$ | $50(6)$ |
| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U (eq) |
| H (2) | 9009 | 2377 | 800 | 44 |
| H (3) | 8711 | -419 | -402 | 49 |
| H (4) | 7264 | -1387 | -800 | 44 |
| H (9A) | 5691 | -1056 | -581 | $85(10)$ |
| H (9B) | 5388 | 937 | -938 | $59(8)$ |
| H (9C) | 5371 | 164 | 992 | $67(10)$ |
| H (7) | $4884(17)$ | $3260(30)$ | $1310(30)$ | $50(6)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor expo- nent takes the form: $2 \mathrm{pi} \wedge 2\left[\mathrm{~h} \wedge 2 \mathrm{a}^{*} \wedge 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*}\right.$ $\left.b^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$ is $1.7316 \AA$. Thetriazolringisd istorted in shape [6]. The average bond distances for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are $1.354 \AA$ and $1.396 \AA$. The bond lengths and angles in the benzenering show regular features in the molecule. C-C distances are short and shortening may be due to delocalization of electrons from the benzene rings [7]. The whole molecules appeared to be twisted and folded and reason may be due to stacking constraints [8]. The bond distance around C (7) is as usual shorter than single bond value. This may also appears to bear a partial double bond character [9] The
bond distances in the five member ring are comparable to corresponding distances in heterocyclic ring 1.339 ( $\AA$ ) [8]. The average value of bond lengths and angles in the rings derived from most reliable set of data by Spencer are $1.377 \AA$ and $119^{\circ}$, respectively [10]. The dimensions of the methyl groups are normal and comparable with those in 0-methyl obtusaquinone and moscaline hydrobromide [11]. The average bond angle around $\mathrm{C}(9)$ is $109.5^{\circ}$. Themolecule is found to adopt a conformation such that the triazol ring is inc neq angle of $72.9(9)$ tothearomatic ring [12]. The resulting arrangement lead approach of Analytical CHEMISTRY

Table 6: Torsion angles [deg] for tricyclazole

| C (6)-C (1)-C (2)-C (3) | -0.9(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S (1)-C (1)-C (2)-C (3) | 179.43(15) |
| C (1)-C (2)-C (3)-C (4) | 0.6(3) |
| C (2)-C (3)-C (4)-C (5) | -0.1(3) |
| C (3)-C (4)-C (5)-C (6) | -0.2(3) |
| C (3)-C (4)-C (5)-C (9) | 179.49(19) |
| C (4)-C (5)-C (6)-C (1) | 0.0(2) |
| C (9)-C (5)-C (6)-C (1) | -179.75(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -179.71(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0.6(3) |
| C (2)-C (1)-C (6)-C (5) | 0.6(2) |
| S (1)-C (1)-C (6)-C (5) | -179.68(12) |
| C (2)-C (1)-C (6)-N (1) | -179.67(15) |
| S (1)-C (1)-C (6)-N (1) | 0.06(16) |
| N (3)-C (8)-N (1)-C (7) | 0.7(2) |
| S (1)-C (8)-N (1)-C (7) | 179.82(14) |
| N (3)-C (8)-N (1)-C (6) | -177.61(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -0.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 177.01(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 178.74(17) |
| C (1)-C (6)-N (1)-C (8) | -1.0(2) |
| C (5)-C (6)-N (1)-C (7) | 1.3(3) |
| C (1)-C (6)-N (1)-C (7) | -178.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 0.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | -0.6(3) |
| S (1)-C (8)-N (3)-N (2) | -179.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 177.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -1.17(15) |
| C (2)-C (1)-S (1)-C (8) | -179.67(16) |
| C (6)-C (1)-S (1)-C (8) | 0.62(13) |
| C (6)-C (1)-C (2)-C (3) | -0.9(3) |
| S (1)-C (1)-C (2)-C (3) | 179.43(15) |
| C (1)-C (2)-C (3)-C (4) | 0.6(3) |
| C (2)-C (3)-C (4)-C (5) | -0.1(3) |


| C (3)-C (4)-C (5)-C (6) | -0.2(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C (3)-C (4)-C (5)-C (9) | 179.49(19) |
| C (4)-C (5)-C (6)-C (1) | 0.0(2) |
| C (9)-C (5)-C (6)-C (1) | -179.75(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -179.71(16) |
| C (9)-C (5)-C (6)-N (1) | 0.6(3) |
| C (2)-C (1)-C (6)-C (5) | 0.6(2) |
| S (1)-C (1)-C (6)-C (5) | -179.68(12) |
| C (2)-C (1)-C (6)-N (1) | -179.67(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0.06(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.7(2) |
| S (1)-C (8)-N (1)-C (7) | 179.82(14) |
| N (3)-C (8)-N (1)-C (6) | -177.61(16) |
| S (1)-C (8)-N (1)-C (6) | 1.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -0.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 177.01(19) |
| C (5)-C (6)-N (1)-C (8) | 178.74(17) |
| C (1)-C (6)-N (1)-C (8) | -1.0(2) |
| C (5)-C (6)-N (1)-C (7) | 1.3(3) |
| C (1)-C (6)-N (1)-C (7) | -178.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 0.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | -0.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | -179.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 177.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -1.17(15) |
| C (2)-C (1)-S (1)-C (8) | -179.67(16) |
| C (6)-C (1)-S (1)-C (8) | 0.62(13) |

The ortho-H, H (2A) to the triazol, atoms $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and N
(2) such that both $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances lie within the Sum of the Vander Walls radii of N and H [13]. The equations of the Least squares planes, calculated using Blow method and the displacements of the relevant atoms from the mean planes for different planer groups together with the respective [14].

The triozol ring is planner with C (7) lying only O.063(7)A from the mean plane. All four C-N distances are shorter than a normal single bond (1.47A). The $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ (2) bond is also shorter than a normal single bond $(1.45 \mathrm{~A})$. The three atoms bonded to $\mathrm{N}(1)$ are almost co planer with it. Taken together these data in- dicateextensive delocalization within the hetrocyclicring. The most note worthy feature of the hetrocyclic ring.is the asymmetry of the exocyclic angles at $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ [130.80 ${ }^{\circ}$. Wehaveobserved asimilar pattern in re- lated triazole systems and it appear to be a function of a triazolyl ring itself rather than the influence Of any inter
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Or intramolecular interactions.. The torsion angles of $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ is $-0.9(3)^{\circ}$. The torsion angles of S (1)-C (1)-C (2)-C (3) is $179.43(15)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}$ (9) is 179.49(19) show that this ring is almost symmetric.

Thepacking diagramis shown in figure3. Thecrystal structure consists of parallel sheets stacked along a- axis. The molecules overlap while running along the a- axis. It is interesting to note that when there are minor differences in the cell parameters and growth conditions in the two independent studies, the molecular geometry, overall dimensions, crystal packing are almost same under the error limits whatever small differences are there, they are not really significant, which suggest that the molecular parameters remain unchanged even there is a change in growth condition the crystal forces, therefore, they don't alter the molecular geometry

Thus we study the structure of variety of such com- pounds and correlate their structure with biological activity, so that more safer and effective fungicides at reasonable price can be developed.

Full Paper
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