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ABSTRACT: The study was conducted to examine the mental health and academic achievement of physically challenged and physically normal students in district Srinagar (Kashmir, India). The sample for the study consisted of 100 secondary school students (50 physically Challenged and 50 physically Normal). The investigator used Alpana Sen Gupta’s Mental Health Battery to study the mental health of sample subjects. The Academic achievement of the students were obtained from the official records of their respective schools. The data collected was subjected to various statistical treatments like mean, S.D. and t-test. After analyzing the data it has been found that physically normal students possessed better mental health and academic achievement than the physically challenged students.
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INTRODUCTION

The world has entered in a phase of history of which changes are an essential feature, but change that is radically different from that experienced in the past. Beyond the geopolitical and political upheavals of the last ten years are so which have profoundly altered the international political seen, this change is civilizational in scope. Everything is changing. The very nature of work is changing with the intellectual element continuously increasing while the manual element decreases. This change leads to a change in the need for skills in the different categories of the working population, and creates a need for occupational and social mobility and lifelong education and training. The evaluation of society has been amazing and has proceeded by many steps. From the agricultural society to industrialization, the post industrial society, the information society, and last the knowledge society. The interacting control for people has changed dramatically. From the village to the nation, to the continent, to the whole world, that characterizes the knowledge society.

Education has assumed a place of paramount importance in modern society which is becoming more scientific and technological. It is now regarded as a potent instrument of rapid and effective development through which the standard of a people, their prosperity and security can be considerably improved.

Light is to darkness and knowledge is to ignorance. Education brings knowledge and it is a necessary part of human development. A society is known for development by the level of education of the people. Social order is possible through understanding the dynamics of sociopolitical frame of a society that can be reflected by education. Economic growth due to scientific and technological advancements and industrial development and agricultural abundance is possible with education.

Growing importance of knowledge in the world today and the ever greater numbers of people being trained at the higher level has increased higher education’s responsibility to and its influence within society. On the threshold of a new century, education must come to terms in its teaching, research and scholarship with the effects and consequences of the globalization and internationalization of the life of societies, the development of information technologies, the rapidly evolving structures of employment needs and the steady increase in the demand for highly qualified personal. At the same time the need for refresher courses and further education to broaden general knowledge and occupational skills and for career change retraining, is becoming increasingly urgent, so that education has to be more responsive to this task and make it and integral part of its activities.

OBJECTIVES

The following objectives were formulated for the present study:
1. To measure the mental health of Physically Challenged and Normal Adolescent students in District Srinagar.
2. To measure the academic achievement of physically challenged and normal adolescent students.
3. To compare physically challenged and normal adolescent students on mental health.
4. To compare physically challenged and normal adolescent students on academic achievement.

HYPOTHESES
The following hypotheses were formulated for the present study.
1. Physically challenged and normal adolescent students differ significantly on mental health.
2. Physically challenged and normal adolescent students differ significantly on academic achievement.

SAMPLE
The sample for the present study consisted of 100 secondary school students (50 physically challenged and 50 physically normal students) selected randomly from the different schools of district Srinagar.

The breakup of the sample are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically Challenged Students</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Students</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOOLS
Following tools were used for the present study:

Tools I: Mental Health Battery by A.K Singh and Sen Gupta (original Hindi version translated by Mrs. Gulnaz in English version).

The following six popular indices of mental health have finally been selected for inclusion in the present battery.
1. Emotional stability
2. Over-all adjustment
3. Autonomy
4. Security insecurity
5. Self concept
6. Intelligence

STATISTICAL TREATMENT
The data collected was subjected to the following statistical treatment.
Mean
S.D
t-test.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE RESULTS

Table 4.1: Showing the mean comparison of physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on emotional stability dimension of mental health battery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>Significant at 0.01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The perusal of above table shows the mean comparison of physically challenged and physically normal secondary students on emotional stability of mental health battery. The above table reveals that the mean score of physically normal students is higher than the mean score of physically challenged students and the difference is significant at 0.01 level. The Physically normal students display better emotional stability as compared to physically challenged students.

Table 4.2: Showing the mean comparison of physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on over all adjustment dimension of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>Significant at .01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal</td>
<td>34.19</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quick look on the above table reveals that there is a significant mean difference between two groups of students on overall adjustment of mental health battery. The mean score favours physically normal...
students which indicate that physically normal students showed better overall adjustment than the physically challenged students.

Table 4.3: Showing the mean comparison of Physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on autonomy dimension of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged Students</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>Significant at .01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The perusal of above table shows that the two groups of student’s viz. physically challenged and physically normal secondary students differ significantly on autonomy component of mental health battery and the difference is significant at 0.01 level. The result reveals that physically normal students are more autonomous and have better independence and self determination in thinking than physically challenged students.

Table 4.4: Showing the mean comparison of physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on security-insecurity dimension of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged Students</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>Significant at .01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quick look on the above table reveals that there is a significant mean difference between the two groups of students on security-insecurity component of mental health battery and difference was found to be significant at 0.01 level. The mean score favours physically normal students which indicate that physically normal students showed better sense of safety, confidence and freedom from fear than the physically challenged students.

Table 4.5: Showing the mean comparison of physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on self-concept dimension of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged Students</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>Significant at .01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The perusal of above table shows the mean comparison of physically challenged and physically normal secondary students on self-concept dimension of mental health battery. As the mean score favours physically normal students which indicates that physically normal students showed better attitude, knowledge of themselves and evaluation of their achievements than the physically challenged students.

Table 4.6: Showing the mean comparison of physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on general intelligence component of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged Students</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The perusal of above table reveals that there is no significant mean difference between physically challenged and physically normal students on general intelligence. The table indicates that both the groups displayed somewhat similar intelligence quotient.

Table 4.7: Showing the mean comparison of Physically challenged and Physically normal secondary students on overall dimensions of mental health battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged</td>
<td>47.72</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Significant at 0.01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>53.12</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The perusal of above table shows the mean difference of physically challenged and physically normal students on overall dimensions of mental health battery. The above table reveals that there is significant mean difference between physically challenged and physically normal students on overall dimension of mental health and the difference is significant at 0.01 level. As the mean score favours physically normal students which indicate that physically normal students displayed better mental health than the physically challenged students.

In view of the above results the hypothesis No.1 which reads, “Physically challenged and physically normal students differ significantly on mental health stands accepted.

Table 4.8: Showing the mean comparison of Physically challenged and Physically normal students on academic achievements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically challenged</td>
<td>45.12</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>Significant at .01 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically normal Students</td>
<td>58.21</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The perusal of above table shows the mean comparison of physically challenged and physically normal students on academic achievement. The above table reveals that there is significant mean difference between physically challenged and physically normal students and on academic achievement the difference is significant at 0.01 level. As the mean difference favours physically normal students which indicates that physically normal students displayed better academic achievements than physically challenged students.

In view of the above results the hypothesis No.2 which reads, “Physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on academic achievement stands accepted.

MAJOR FINDINGS

The following are some of the conclusions drawn from the present study.

1. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on emotional stability of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to be more emotionally stable than the Physically challenged students.

2. It has been found that Physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on over all adjustment of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to have better overall adjustment than physically challenged students.

3. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on autonomy dimension of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to be more autonomous and self confident than the physically challenged students.

4. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on security-in security dimension of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to have high sense of security than the physically challenged students.

5. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on self-concept dimension of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to have better attitude and knowledge about themselves than the Physically challenged students.

6. No significant difference was found between
physically challenged and Physically normal students on general intelligence. The mean difference favoured physically normal students but the difference failed to arrive at any level of confidence. It has been found that both the physically challenged and physically normal students displayed somewhat similar intelligence.

9. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significantly on overall dimensions of mental health battery. The Physically normal students were found to have better mental health than physically challenged students.

10. It has been found that physically challenged and Physically normal students differ significant on academic achievement. Physically normal secondary students were found to have better academic achievement than physically challenged students.
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