

Handling destruction of rodent active burrows as mechanical control of the Nile grass rat, *Arvicanthis niloticus* in newly reclaimed lands

Abd El-Aleem S.S.Desoky¹; A. Maher Ali²; K. H. Abdel-Gawad² and F.A. Abdel-Galil²

¹ Plant protection Department (Zoology), Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Egypt

²Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Egypt
abdalem2011@yahoo.com

Abstract: The Nile grass rat, *Arvicanthis niloticus* was the dominant species considered in cultivated newly reclaimed lands. The highest reduction of rodent active burrows in control area was recorded in spring (52.21%), while the lowest was (20.63%) in autumn compared with the treated area by using the handling destroy of rodent active burrows, high reduction of rodent active burrows was recorded in spring (71.43%) the lowest was (49.20%) in autumn, Mechanical control of rodents by using the destruction of rodent active burrows achieved great success in rodent control under field conditions without environmental pollution and not costly.

[Abd El-Aleem S.S. Desoky; A. Maher Ali; K. H. Abdel-Gawad and F.A. Abdel-Galil. **Handling destruction of rodent active burrows as mechanical control of the Nile grass rat, *Arvicanthis niloticus* in newly reclaimed lands.** *Researcher* 2014;6(9):81-83]. (ISSN: 1553-9865). <http://www.sciencepub.net/researcher>. 14

Keywords: *Arvicanthis niloticus*, Environmental pollution, Handling destroy, Rodent active burrows

Introduction

After the 2nd world war, rodents started to be major pest especially in the developing countries including Middle East and petroleum countries. Since, there was tendency as much as possible to avoid the application of chemicals for vector control in a way to minimize environment pollution. **El-Eraky et al., (2000).**

Rodent caused damage to both fruit trees and field crops in the old and new cultivated lands. In developing countries where the economy depends on agriculture, rodent infestation can pose a serious threat of not only reduced income but also widespread dangerous diseases. Damage range from negligible destruction of growing plants to total crop loss. **Abdel-Gawad (2001)**

Great effort should be one to develop rodent control programs. Control methods must be not fulfilling the requirement of protecting crops but also in a safe efficient and economic manner. The present study aimed to evaluate the destroying of rodent active burrows as mechanical method for control the rodent in the new cultivated lands.

Materials and methods

This study was carried out in 2 areas about 1 feddans for each, in cultivated newly

reclaimed lands. It is located at the Eastern desert (25 km. South East of Assiut city) Assiut Governorate, Egypt from December 2004 till November 2005. It has been planted for short period less than 10 years with vegetables, field crops, orchards. The first area (treatment was destroying of rodent active burrows one time in a week) while, the second area untreated as a control. The rodent population was estimated in

each site as active burrows for one year. The reduction of the active burrows was monthly estimated as percent from the initial active burrows.

- First area= treated= Handling destroy of rodent active burrows
- Second area= untreated=close of active burrows only

$$\text{Population of active burrows\%} = \frac{\text{Number of active burrows}}{\text{Total burrows examined}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Reduction \%} = \left(\frac{\text{Number of active burrows}}{\text{Total burrows examined}} - 100 \right) * 100$$

Results and discussion

Data in Figure (1) show that the fluctuation in number of rodent active burrows in two newly reclaimed area (first area treated by handling destroy of active burrows, second area untreated). The Nile grass rat, *Arvicanthis niloticus* was the predominant most in this area.

In the first area (treated with Handling destroy of rodent active burrows), the increased of reduction to active burrows was recorded in May 79.17% and February (73.66%), while the least reduction was recorded in October 35.71% and June (41.38%).

The increased of reduction active burrows in the second area (untreated) were recorded in June (61.82%) and July (57.25%), while the least density was recorded in November (13.18%). The highest population of rodent active burrows was recorded in autumn, may be due to active burrows increased after

harvesting the winter crops, this was also observed after harvesting summer crops.

Note: The rodent population density by using active burrows caused the escaping of rodent from their places and not control them.

Generally speaking, the high reduction of rodent active burrows in the treated area the high reduction of rodent active burrows was recorded in spring 71.43%, the lowest in autumn 49.20%. In untreated area was recorded in spring (52.41%) the lowest in autumn 20.63%. The percentage of reduction in treated area (62.88%), while in untreated area (41.49%).

Mechanical control have profound effects on rodent population under field conditions, these results are in agreement with data obtained by **Maher Ali (1972)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, reported that in case of large food stores, it is highly advisable to destroy all vegetations (weeds) in order to deter any rodents foraging in the surrounding area from approaching close to the building. **Maher Ali and Abdel-Gawad (1982)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, studied that the application of anticoagulants and zinc phosphide for the control of the Nile grass rat *A. niloticus* (Desm.) was compared with burning of weeds harboring rodents. It was found that anticoagulants are superior to the other two methods, the burning of weeds comes next. **El-Eraky et al., (2000)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, found that mechanical control by laser-land operation has given great success by this method a complete reduction in

rodent active burrows was achieved after 10 days as compared with 50.6% in Qunitox rodenticides respectively. **Abdel-Gawad (2001)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, studied that two common rodenticides, super caide0.004% and zinc phosphide 5% and four mechanical viz., laser- land leveling, deep irrigation, destroying burrows and traps were evaluated for their efficacy for rodent control in maize fields. The results showed mechanical control methods achieved great success in rodent control as compared with the chemical control was ranged between 94.2% in the laser treatment method and 74.5% in the trap method with an average of 89.65% and 94.6% in handing destroy method. **Al-Gendy (2004)** in Sharkia of Egypt, pointed that the active burrows of rodents decreased by crashing in three consecutive days through all period of study except April. **Ahmed (2006)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, studied that mechanical control of rodents in broad bean, wheat, maize and sorghum fields by handling destroying of burrows and erased the wasted materials. It was more effective in controlling rodents than chemical methods. **Baghdadi (2006)** in Assiut Governorate of Egypt, found that the ploughing and brushing woods operation led to complete reduction in rodent numbers during the first five months of ploughing and brushing woods operation comparing with untreated area. Whereas; the number of rodent was increased gradually from May to November.




Figure (1) Percentage of reduction between active burrows of rodent in treated area by handling destroying and untreated area to control of *Arvicantis niloticus* in newly reclaimed area, Assiut Governorate from December 2004 till November 2005.

The above mentioned results proved that mechanical control method achieved great success in rodent control under field conditions without environmental pollution. In conclusion, the recommended procedure for rodent control is applying mechanical control followed by rodenticides, this method is safe to the environment, and higher than for effective of rodent population density. Desoky (2013).

References

1. Abdel-Gawad, K. H. (2001). Evaluation of some chemical and mechanical methods to reduce rodent population in maize fields. 1st Int. Conf., Safe Alternatives of Pesticides for Pest Management Assiut Univ, Egypt. 421-429.
2. Ahmed, H. S.K.(2006). Studies on damage caused by rodents on some field crops and its control in Upper Egypt (Assiut Area).M.Sc. Thesis Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.
3. Al-Gendy, A. A. R. (2004). Laboratory and field studies on some rodent in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ. Egypt.
4. Baghdadi, S.A.S. (2006). Ecological studies on rodent species on Al-Azhar university farm in Assiut and its control. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt.
5. Desoky, A.S.S (2013) Evaluation of Chemical and Mechanical Control to Reduce Active Burrows for *Arvicanthis niloticus* in Sohag Governorate, Egypt, Journal of Environmentally Friendly Processes: volume-1-issue-1-june-2013.
6. El-Eraky, S. A.; K. H. Abdel-Gawad; A. I. Farghal and A. A. Abazied (2000). Evaluation of some mechanical control measures to reduce rodent population in upper Egypt. The 2nd Scientific Conference of Agricultural Sciences, Assiut, 519-522.
7. Maher Ali, A. (1972). Planning for rodent control field experiment. 1stSymposium of Rodent and Their Control in Egypt.241.
8. Maher Ali, A. and Abdel-Gawad, k. H. (1982).On some practical methods to control the Nile grass rat, *A.niloticus* (Desm.). 2nd Symposium on Rodent Control in Egypt. Assiut J. Agric. Sc., 13:81-84.

9/7/2014