SCREENING SIX CULTIVARS OF COWPEA (*Vignia unguiculata* (L.) Walp FOR ADAPTATION TO SOIL CONTAMINATED WITH SPENT ENGINE OIL

Agbogidi, O. M.
Faculty of Agriculture, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Delta State, Nigeria.
omagbogidi@yahoo.com; 07038679939

Abstract: Field experiments were carried out in 2007 and 2008 growing seasons at the Delta State University, Asaba Campus teaching and Research Farm to screen six cultivars of cowpea for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil. 0 (control), 25, 50, 75 and 100ml of the oil served as the treatments. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The results showed that cowpea cultivars grown in 25ml of spent engine oil gave consistently significant higher ($P \leq 0.05$) values than the control and the other treatments (50, 75 and 100ml) of the spent oil plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, days to 50% flowering, member of nodes on main stem, number of branches, and number and length of penducle. The results also showed that as from the 50ml of oil application to soil, all the traits examined showed significant reductions ($P \geq 0.05$) when compared to their controls however, TVx3226 and IT84S – 2246-4 were higher in performance whereas, IT890.699 and IT870- 941-1, showed the lowest inhibitory effect. The current study has demonstrated that spent engine oil has a highly significant effect of reducing the growth characteristics of the six cultivars of cowpea examined. [Academia Arena, 2010;2(4):33-40] (ISSN 1553-992X).

Keywords: Screening, cowpea cultivars, adaptation, spent engine oil.

Introduction

Cowpea is a popular leguminous stable food in Nigeria (Adelaja, 2000; Adaji *et al.,* 2007). It is cultivated and used fresh in derived savannah and rainforest belts thus it is available throughout the year either as vegetable or as a pulse (Singh and Rachie, 1985; Asumugha, 2002; Olapade *et al.,* 2003) Asumugha (2002) maintained that cowpea is the most extensive consumed in various ways especially in the form of Akana and moin- moi which are very popular breakfast and snack foods. Philip (1999) and Olaleke *et al,* (2006) maintained that cowpea contains moisture (4.0), ash (37.1), crude fat (31.3), crude fibre (24.0), crude protein (75.3), carbohydrate by difference (828), fatty acids (25) and energy $mjkg^{-1}$ (6.5193), a let of minerals including Na, K, Na K, Mg, Ca, P, Cap, Co, Fe, Pb, Cu, Mn, Cd, Zn and Cr.

Cowpea belongs to the family fabaceae and sub-family Faboideae. Cowpea is of major importance to the live hoods of millions of relatively poor people in less developed countries of the tropics. In fresh form, the young leaves, immature pods and peas are used as vegetable while several snacks and main dishes are prepared from the grain (Kwartang and Towler, 1994). Islam *et al.* (2006) noted that cowpea is more tolerant to drought, water logging infertile soils and acid stress than common beans. Islam *et al.* (2006) further maintained that west and central Africa is the leading cowpea producing regions in the world. Nigeria still depends largely on crude oil and its refined products for her income earnings. Spent lubricating oil has been reported to be a major and most common soil contaminant from engines and other machinery in Nigeria (Aneliefo and Edegbai, 2000). The in discriminate disposal of spent oil into open vacant plots and farms, gutters and water drains is an environmental risk both to ground water, plants and other organisms. The effects of oil in soil include depression and inhibition of plant growth, by interfering with the soil-water- plant interrelationships (Agbogidi and Ejemete, 2005; Agbogidi and Dolor, 2007). Although researches have been carried out on the effects of spent engine oil the growth of crop plants (Anoliefo and Vwioko, 1995; Wang *et al.,* 2000, Odjegba and Sadiq, 2002; Nwadinigwe and Uzodimina, 2005; Vwioko and Fashemi, 2005, Agbogidi and Nweke, 2006; Sharifi *et al.,* 2007; Smith *et al.,* 2007). Information on the effects of spent oil on the growth of cowpea is however, scarce. This study has been designed to screen six cultivars of cowpea for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil with a view to selecting and recommending the tolerant cultivars to farmers especially in the oil producing areas of Nigeria. The study also has the advantage of affording plant breeders the opportunity of searching for ways of improving cowpea production in oil- producing areas. This is because; successive cultivation of cowpea beyond the present limits in Nigeria requires the discovery and selection of cultivars that are tolerant to oil effects.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted during the 2007 and 2008 growing seasons at latitude $6^{0}14^{1}$N and longitude $6^{0}49^{1}$E at the Delta State University Research farm, Asaba, Nigeria (Asaba Meteorological Office, 2008). The six cultivars of cowpea (IT80D- 699), IT82 (e-18), IT84S- 2246- 4, TVx3236, IT90K–277-2 and IT870- 941- 1) were purchased as a single batch from International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan (Onne station), Oyo State, Nigeria while the spent engine was from 10 different motor mechanic workshops in Asaba, Delta State. The site was ploughed by a tractor, harrowed after one week and the land was measured with tape and mapped out with pegs. Each plot measured 5m in length and 3m in width. The space between the plots is 1.5m. A planting space of 60 x 30cm was used following the procedure of Remison (1978). Two seeds from each cowpea cultivar were sown in each plot. Seedlings were thinned to one at two weeks after planting (WAP) when they were fully established. Regular weeding was ensured before plant maturity insect pests were controlled with Karate 2.5 EC at 2 weeks after seedling emergence and thereafter, at 10days interval following the procedure of Awe (2008). 0 (control), 25, 50, 75 and 100ml of oil per stand of the cowpea served as the treatments. Spent engine oil application (ring application) was done at 3 weeks after seedling emergence. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications subsequent examination followed. Growth indices measure were plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, number of branches, number of nodes on main stem, number of penducle, length of peduncles and days to 50% flowering. Plant height was measured with a meter rule at the distance from soil level to terminal bud. Number of leaves was by visual counting of the leaves per cowpea plant. Leaf area (cm^2) was determined by tracing the margins of the leaf on a graph paper and the total area/ plant was obtained by counting the number of 1- cm square (Bamidele and Agbogidi, 2000). The stem diameter was measured at 2cm above soil level with venire calipers. Data on number of branches/ plant, number of nodes/ plant, number and length of peduncles were collected at maturity before senescence by visual counting. Data obtained on each trait were subjected to a single factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) while the significant means were separated with the Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT) using SAS (1996).

Results and Discussion

The results obtained for the growth characteristics and morphological characteristics of the six cowpea cultivars are presented in Tables 1 and 2 and 3 respectively. The results showed that cowpea seeds from TVx3236 cultivars and IT84S-2246-4 grown in 25ml of spent engine old gave consistently significant higher values than the control, and the other treatments (50, 75 and 100ml of SEO). Treatments 0 and 25ml of the oil produced significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, number of branches, number of nodes, number and length of peduncles than those of the higher treatments. Plants grown in 25ml of the SEO contaminated soil flowered earlier than those in the control and the higher treatments. Generally, the various responses of the cowpea cultivars to the contaminant was observed to be dose dependent although an increase in the various traits was observed in the cultivars exposed to 25ml of the SED indicating growth stimulation at this level of oil treatment. Anoliefo and Vwioko (2005), Sharifi *et al.* (2007) separately studied various plant species to soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil and reported growth enhancement (fertilizer effect) at 1% concentration when compound with the control. Agbogidi and Bamidele (2007) noted that small amount of hydrocarbon in substrates can enhance growth media and indirectly growth characteristics. The observed better performance of cowpea cultivars TVx 3236 and IT84S-2246-4 than the other cultivars indicates species dependent quality of oil effects. Anoliefo and Edegbai (2000) reported that *Solanum melongena* was more tolerant to spent lubricating oil than *S. incanum.* Similarly, Sharifi *et al.* (2007) noted that *Medicago truncatula* is the most tolerant plant species among the six species examined. Vwioko and Fashemi (2005) had earlier reported stimulation of growth in the germination and growth characteristics at 1% w/w spent lubricating oil in soil for *Ricinus communis* seedling while growth in higher concentrations (2, 3, 4, 5 and 6%w/w) exhibited depression in growth. The study has also showed that as from 50ml of oil application to soil all, the traits examined showed significant reductions ($P \geq 0.05$). Reduction in the characteristics Bamidele and Agbogidi (2000) had also reported growth enhancement for aquatic macrophytes at low concentration exposed to the water soluble components of crude petroleum oil.

Table 1. Plant height (cm) and leaf area (cm2) of the six cultivars of cowpea as affected by SEO

Cowpea cultivar	Plant height/ oil level					
	0	25	50	75	100	Means
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	20.1	21.7	10.6	18.7	18.4	19.7e
IT82 (e-18)	22.4	22.6	21.4	21.0	20.6	21.6a
IT84S-2246-4	24.6	25.6	24.2	23.0	22.1	23.9b
TV x 3236	25.7	25.8	24.6	24.4	24.0	24.9a
IT90K -277-2	21.2	21.6	21.0	20.6	20.3	20.9d
IT870-941-1	20.3	20.6	20.0	19.4	18.6	19.8e
Means	22.4b	23.0a	21.8c	21.2d	20.7e	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	40.3	41.0	40.1	39.6	38.4	39.9
IT82 (e-18)	46.7	46.9	46.3	43.7	40.1	44.7
IT84S-2246-4	56.7	56.9	56.4	56.2	55.6	56.4
TV x 3236	58.4	58.8	58.9	57.7	56.2	58.0
IT90K- 277-2	46.7	46.9	46.2	45.2	45.0	46.0
IT870-941	45.6	45.8	45.1	44.7	43.1	44.9
Means	49.1b	49.4a	48.8c	47.9d	46.4e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	56.4	56.5	56.2	56.0	53.1	55.6d
IT82 (e-18)	56.9	57.4	57.1	56.8	55.1	56.7d
IT84S-2246-4	70.3	70.7	70.5	70.2	70.0	70.3b
TV x 3236	74.8	74.4	74.4	74.4	73.2	74.3a
IT90K-277-25	7.6	57.7	57.3	56.8	56.3	57.1c
IT870-941-1	57.2	57.3	57.0	56.3	56.1	56.8d
Means	62.2b	62.4a	62.1b	61.8c	60.6d	
Cowpea cultivar	Leaf area/ oil level					
	0	25	50	75	100	Means
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	40.2	41.6	40.1	40.0	39.1	40.2e
IT82 (e-18)	42.2	43.6	42.0	41.7	41.6	42.2c
IT84S-2246-4	48.6	49.7	48.0	47.8	46.9	48.6b
TV x 3236	49.725.7	50.8	49.3	49.2	49.0	49.6a
IT90K -277-2	41.6	41.9	41.3	41.0	40.7	41.5d
IT870-941-1	41.6	42.5	41.0	41.2	41.4	41.5d
Means	43.9b	45.0a	43.6c	43.8d	43.3d	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	56.1	56.7	56.0	55.0	55.0	55.8d
IT82 (e-18)	57.7	57.9	57.1	57.0	56.1	57.2c
IT84S-2246-4	62.0	63.1	59.4	58.7	57.6	58.2b
TV x 3236	64.4	65.2	63.7	63.3	63.0	63.9a
IT90K- 277-2	54.4	55.1	54.6	54.2	53.8	54.4d
IT870-941	53.7	54.3	53.0	53.1	53.0	53.4e
Means	58.1b	58.7a	57.3c	56.9d	56.4e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	57.4	58.3	57.2	57.0	56.1	56.6
IT82 (e-18)	59.9	60.3	59.0	57.6	55.4	58.3
IT84S-2246-4	64.6	65.9	63.4	63.2	62.1	63.8
TV x 3236	65.9	66.3	65.2	64.6	62.9	65.8
IT90K-277-25	56.1	56.7	55.0	53.7	51.6	54.6
IT870-941-1	54.9	55.4	53.2	52.7	51.6	54.6
Means	59.7b	60.5a	58.8c	58.1d	56.8e	

Means in the same column with different letters and with the same MAP are significantly different at P≤0.05 using DMRT. WAOA= Week after oil application

Table 2. Number of leaves and stem diameter (cm) of the six cultivars of cowpea as affected by spent engine oil

Cowpea cultivar	Number of leaves/ oil level					
	0	25	50	75	100	Means
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	6.6	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.1	6.5c
IT82 (e-18)	6.5	6.7	6.4	6.2	5.7	6.3d
IT84S-2246-4	7.4	7.7	7.3	7.0	7.0	7.3b
TV x 3236	7.5	7.9	7.5	7.2	7.1	7.4a
IT90K-277-25	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.0	5.8	6.2e
IT870-941-1	6.4	6.5	6.1	5.9	5.6	6.1e
Means	6.8b	7.0a	6.7b	6.4c	6.2d	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.0	6.4	7.1c
IT82 (e-18)	7.3	7.7	7.2	7.0	6.5	7.1c
IT84S-2246-4	9.4	9.8	9.2	9.0	8.3	9.1b
TV x 3236	9.6	10.4	9.6	9.2	9.0	9.5a
IT90K-277-25	7.3	7.5	7.2	7.1	6.5	7.1c
IT870-941-1	7.3	7.6	7.1	6.7	6.4	7.0c
Means	8.1b	8.4a	7.9c	7.7d	7.2e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.8	6.2	7.0c
IT82 (e-18)	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.7	6.1	7.0c
IT84S-2246-4	9.4	9.6	9.2	9.0	8.5	9.1b
TV x 3236	9.6	9.9	9.3	9.1	8.6	9.3a
IT90K-277-25	7.4	7.5	7.0	6.6	6.4	7.0c
IT870-941-1	7.3	7.4	7.0	6.5	6.3	6.9c
Means	8.1b	8.3a	7.8c	7.5d	7.0e	
Cowpea cultivar	Stem diameter /oil level					
	0	25	50	75	100	Means
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.2c
IT82 (e-18)	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.2c
IT84S-2246-4	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.5b
TV x 3236	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.6a
IT90K-277-25	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1d
IT870-941-1	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.0d
Means	1.4b	1.6a	1.3b	1.1c	1.0c	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.4c
IT82 (e-18)	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.4c
IT84S-2246-4	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.1	1.7b
TV x 3236	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.8a
IT90K-277-25	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.1d
IT870-941-1	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.1d
Means	1.6b	1.7a	1.6b	1.2c	1.0d	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.4d
IT82 (e-18)	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.5c
IT84S-2246-4	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.8b
TV x 3236	2.2	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.9a
IT90K-277-25	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.5c
IT870-941-1	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.1	0.8	1.3d
Means	1.8b	1.9a	1.7c	1.3d	1.1e	

Number of peduncles						
IT81D-699	7.1	7.4	7.0	6.3	6.2	6.8
IT82 (e-18)	7.2	7.5	6.2	6.0	5.2	6.4
IT84S-2246-4	8.6	8.8	7.4	7.2	7.0	7.9
TV x 3236	8.7	8.9	7.9	7.7	7.4	8.1
IT90K -277-2	7.3	7.5	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9
IT870-941-1	7.4	7.6	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9
Means	7.7	8.0	7.1	6.7	6.4	
Length of peduncles						
IT81D-699	9.4	9.6	9.3	9.0	9.3	9.3d
IT82 (e-18)	9.4	9.7	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.3d
IT84S-2246-4	11.6	12.7	10.9	10.4	10.3	11.2b
TV x 3236	11.9	12.9	11.4	10.9	10.6	11.5a
IT90K- 277-2	9.6	9.9	9.4	8.7	8.5	9.2d
IT870-941	9.7	9.9	9.5	9.4	9.2	9.5c
Means	10.3b	10.8a	10.0c	9.6d	9.5d	

Means in the same column and with the same parameter with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ using DMRT.

Table 3. Morphological characteristics of the six cultivars of cowpea subject to SEO

Characters	Cowpea cultivars	Oil level					
		0	25	50	75	100	Means
Days to 50% flowering	IT81D-699	39.8	37.6	40.2	44.6	45.0	41.48d
	IT82 (e-18)	40.6	38.2	40.9	43.5	45.2	41.68c
	IT84S-2246-4	38.2	37.0	43.3	44.7	44.9	41.62b
	TV x 3236	38.0	37.0	42.7	43.9	44.1	14.14a
	IT90K- 277-2	39.8	38.7	42.9	45.8	46.3	42.7e
	IT870-941	40.8	39.4	43.6	47.3	47.9	43.8f
	Means	39.5b	38.9a	42.3c	45.8d	45.6d	
Number of nodes on main stem	IT81D-699	9.7	10.6	9.1	8.7	8.0	9.22c
	IT82 (e-18)	9.6	10.5	9.0	8.7	7.6	9.08d
	IT845-2246-4	10.0	10.9	9.6	9.2	9.0	9.74b
	TV x 3236	10.9	11.7	10.5	9.6	9.4	10.42a
	IT90k- 277-2	9.5	10.4	9.2	8.5	7.3	8.98e
	IT870-941	9.3	9.9	8.7	8.3	7.5	8.74f
	Means	9.83b	10.67a	9.35c	8.83d	8.13e	
No of braches	IT81D-699	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.0	3.6	4.3c
	IT82 (e-18)	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.0	3.6e
	IT84S-2246-4	5.6	5.8	5.2	4.6	3.9	5.0b
	TV x 3236	7.8	8.0	7.7	5.8	6.3	7.3a
	IT90K- 277-2	4.2	4.6	4.0	3.2	2.8	3.8d
	IT870-941	4.1	4.5	3.8	3.1	2.6	3.6e
	Means	5.0b	5.3a	4.8c	4.1d	3.1e	
Number of peduncles	IT81D-699	7.1	7.4	7.0	6.3	6.2	6.8c
	IT82 (e-18)	7.2	7.5	6.2	6.4	5.2	6.4d
	IT84S-2246-4	8.6	8.8	7.4	7.2	7.0	7.8a
	TV x 3236	8.7	8.9	7.9	7.7	7.4	7.8a
	IT90K- 277-2	7.3	7.5	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9b
	IT870-941	7.4	7.6	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9b
	Means	7.7b	8.0a	7.1c	6.7d	6.4e	

Length of peduncles	IT81D-699	9.4	9.6	9.3	9.0	9.3	9.3d
	IT82 (e-18)	9.7	9.7	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.3d
	IT84S-2246-4	12.7	12.7	10.9	10.4	10.3	11.2b
	TV x 3236	12.9	12.9	11.4	10.9	10.6	11.5a
	IT90K- 277-2	9.9	9.9	9.4	8.7	8.5	9.2d
	IT870-941	9.9	9.9	9.5	9.4	9.2	9.5c
	Means	10.3b	10.8a	10.0c	9.6d	9.5d	

Means in the same column and within the same parameter with different letter are significantly different at P≤ 0.05 using DMRT.

REFERENCES

1. Adaji, M.J., Olufaja, O.O and Aliyu, L. (2007). Effect of intra-row spacing and stand density on the growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguculata* (L.) Walp). *In:* Olufaja, O.O., Omokore, D.F., Akpa, G.N and Sanni, S.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 41st Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) held at the Institute for agricultural Research, Samaru, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria between 22nd and 26th October, 2007. Pp 153 – 157.
2. Adams, G. and Duncan, H. (2002). Influence of diesel fuel on seed germination. *Environmental Pollution* 10: 363 – 370.
3. Agbogidi, O.M. and Dolor, D. E. (2007). An assessment of the growth of *Irvingia gabonensis* (Aubry-Lecomte Ex O' Rorte) Bail seedlings as influenced by crude oil contamination of soil. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* 2: 1287-1292.
4. Agbogidi, O.M. and Ejemete, O. R. (2005). An assessment of the effects of crude oil pollution on soil properties, germination and growth of *Gambaya albida* (L.). *Uniswa Research Journal of Agriculture, Science and Technology* 8 (2): 148-155.
5. Agbogidi, O.M. and Nweke, F.U. (2005). Effects of crude oil polluted soil on the performance of okra *(Abelmoschus esculentus*) Moench in Delta State. *African Journal of Natural Sciences* 8: 31-35.
6. Agbogidi, O.M. and Eshegbeyi, O.F. (2006). Performance of *Dacryodes edulis* (Don. G. Lam. H.J.) seeds and seedlings in a crude oil contaminated soil. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 22 (3/4): 1-14.
7. Agbogidi, O.M., Eruotor, P.G. and Akparobi, S.O. (2006a). Effects of soil contaminated with crude oil on the germination of maize (*Zea mays* L). *Nigerian Journal of Science and Environment* 5: 1-10.
8. Agbogidi, O.M., Eruotor, P.G., Akparobi, S.O. and Nnaji, G.U. (2007). Heavy metal content of maize (*Zea mays* L) grown in soils contaminated with crude oil. *International Journal of Botany 3(4): 385-389.*
9. Agbogidi, O.M., Onosode, A.T. and Okonta, B.C. (2006b). Susceptibility of *Dennettia tripetala* (Bak.) F. seeds to crude oil. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* 4(2): 350-352.
10. Agbogidi, O. M. and Nweke, F.U. (2006). Screening five cultivars of soya bean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr.) for adaptation in soils contaminated with crude oil. Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria held at the University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, and 16th -20th October, 2006. Pp 570-572.
11. Agbogidi, O. M. and Ofuoku, A.U. (2005). Response of sour sop (*Annona muricata* Linn.) to crude oil levels. *Journal of Sustainable Tropical Agricultural Research* 16: 98-102.
12. Adelaja, S.O. (2000). Development and evaluation of some quality parameter of cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata* snack). *In*: Nkama, L., Jideani, J.A. and Ayo, J.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of NIFST held at Bauchi, Bauchi State between 20th and 24th November, 2000. Pp 258 – 259.
13. Adeniji, T.O. (2007). Studies on grain yield stability in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *In*: Olufaja, O.O., Omokore, D.F., Akpa, G.N and Sanni, S.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 41st Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) held at the Institute for agricultural Research, Samaru, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria between 22nd and 26th October, 2007. Pp 25 – 28.
14. Adepoju P.A. and Marcus, A.A. (2000). Effect of Processing on the quality and acceptability of two varieties of cowpea flour. *In*: Nkama, L., Jideani, J.A. and Ayo, J.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of NIFST held at Bauchi, Bauchi State between 20th and 24th November, 2000. Pp 327 – 328.
15. Anoliefo, G. O and Vwioko, D. E. (1995). Effect of spent lubricating oil on the growth of *Capsicum*

annum L. *Lycopersicon esculentum* Miller. *Environmental Pollution* 88:361-364.

16. Anoliefo, G. O. and Edegbai, B. O. (2000). Effect of spent engine oil as a soil contaminant on the growth of two egg plant species, *Solanum melongena* L. and *S. incanum* L. *Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries* 1:21-25.
17. Anoliefo, G. O. and Vwioko, D. E. (2001). Tolerance of *Chromolaena odorata* (L) K. and R. grown in soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil. *Journal of Tropical Bioscience* 1(1):20-24.
18. Asumugha, V.U (2002). Sensory and functional properties of dry vegetable cowpea product (Akara). *In*: Ubbaonu, C.N., Eke, O.S. and Uzomah, A. (eds.) Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFST) held at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State between 4th and 8th of November, 2002. Pp 66 – 67.
19. Atuanya, E.I. (1987). Effect of waste engine oil pollution on physical and chemical properties of soil: a case study of waste oil contaminated Delta Soil in Bendel State. *Nigeria Journal of Applied Science* 5:155 – 176.
20. Awe, O.A. (2008). Preliminary evaluation of three Asian yards long bean cowpea lines in Ibadan, south western Nigeria. *In:* Proceeds of the 42nd Annual Conference of ASN held at Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria between 19th and 23rd of October, 2008. Pp 246 – 249.
21. Bamidele, J.F. and Agbogidi, O.M. (2000). Toxicity of Odidi petroleum oil and its water soluble fraction on three aquatic macrophytes. *Nigerian Journal of Science and Environment*. 2:113-121.
22. Benka-Coker, M. O. and Ekundayo, J. A. (1995). Effect of an oil spill on soil physicochemical properties of a spill site in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*36:93-104.
23. Benka – Coker, M. O. and Ekundayo, J. A. (1997). Applicability of evaluating the ability of microbes isolated from an oil spill site to degrade oil. *Environ. Monitor. Assess.* 45: 259 – 272.
24. Duke, J.A. (1981). *Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp. *In*: Dark, C.B. (ed.). Legumes of world economic importance. Plenum Press, New York. Pp 303 – 305.
25. Gill, L.S., Nyawuame, H. G. K. and Ehikhametalor, A. O. (1992). Effect of crude oil on the growth and anatomical feature of C*hromolaena odorata* (L.) K and R. *Chromolaena odorata Newsletter* 6:95-98.
26. Heashaw, F.O., Awonorin, S.O. and Odunowo, F.M (2002). Functional properties of frozen cowpea paste: effects of freezing temperature. *In*: Ubbaonu, C.N., Eke, O.S. and Uzomah, A. (eds.) Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFST) held at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State between 4th and 8th of November, 2002. Pp. 15 – 16.
27. Islam, S., Cowmen, R.C. and Garner, J.O. (2006). Screening for tolerance of stress temperature during germination of twenty-five cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) cultivars. *Journal of Food, Agric and Environment* 4(2):189 – 191.
28. Lambot, C. (2003). Industrial potential of cowpea. *In*: Fatukun, C.A., Taranwall S.A., Singh, B. Bo., Kormawa P.M. and Tamo, M. (eds.) Challenges and Opportunities for enhancing sustainable cowpea production IITA, Ibadan. Pp 367 – 375.
29. Lauriault, L. Furrow-irrigation effects on cowpea for edible dry beans, Southern, High Plains, USA, New Mexico Agricultural Experiment State, Research, 757:1 – 6.
30. Nwadinigwe, A.O. and Uzodimma, N.S. (2005). Effects of petroleum spills on the germination and growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Journal of Biological Research and Biotechnology* 3(2): 101 – 105.
31. Odjegba, V.J. and Sadiq, A.O. (2002). Effects of spent engine oil on the growth parameters, chlorophyll and protein levels of *Amaranthus hybridus* L. *The Environment* 22:23 – 28
32. Ojimelukwe, P.C. (2002). Changes induced by infestation on source chemical properties of cowpea seeds. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 57:129 – 140.
33. Olaleke, A.O., Olorunfemi, O. and Akintayo, T.E. (2006). Compositional evaluation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and scarlet runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus*) varieties grown in Nigeria. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* 4 (2): 39 – 43.
34. Olapade, A.A., Okafor, G.I., Olatunfi, O. and Ozumba, A.U. (2000). Characteristics of common Nigerian Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) seed varieties. In: Nkama, L. Jideani, V.A. and Ayo, J.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 24th Annual NIFST Conference held at the Federal Polytechnic, Bauchi Between 20th and 24th of Nov., 2000 Pp. 182 – 184.
35. Olapade, A.A. Ugokwe, P.U., Ozumba, A.U. and Solomon, H.M. (2002). Assessment of Premix for moin-moin and akara 1: effect of added ingredient on functional properties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour. *In*: Ubbaonu, C.N., Eke, O.S. and Uzomah, A. (eds.) Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFST) held at the

Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State between 4th and 8th of November, 2002. Pp. 68 – 70.

36. Omotugba, T., Babasanya, B., Omodona, S., Amusa, K., Zungun, A.A., Olufemi S.O. and Apene, E. (2008). Adoption of recommended practices among cowpea farmers in Kachia Local Government Area, Kaduna State. *In:* Ezike, K. N. N., Osakwe, I. I., Ekwu, L.G., Utobo, E. and Mba, C. N. (eds.). Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria held in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, between 19th and 23rd of October, 2008. Pp. 46 – 950.
37. Onisore, J.K. and Mohamrned, B.T. (2007). Effect of sowing date on cowpea in Iloin. *In:* Olufaja, O.O., Omokore, D.F., Akpa, G.N and Sanni, S.A. (eds.). Proceedings of the 41st Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) held at the Institute for agricultural Research, Samaru, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria between 22nd and 26th October, 2007. Pp 158 – 161.
38. SAS (1996). SAS User's guide statistics version 5, SAS institute Inc., Raleigh, N.Y., USA.
39. Sharifi, M., Sadeghi, Y. and Akharpour, M. (2007). Germination and growth of six plant species on contaminated soil with spent oil. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 4(4):463–470.
40. Siddiqui, S. and Adams, W.A. (2002). The fate of diesel hydrocarbons in soils and their effects on the germination of perennial ryegrass. *Environmental Toxicology* 17(1): 49-62.
41. Singh, S. R. and Rachie, K. O. (1985). Cowpea research and utilization. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
42. Smith, M.J., Flowers, T.H., Duncan, H.J., and Alder, J. (2006). Effects of polycyclic hydrocarbons on germination and subsequent growth of grasses and legumes in fleshly contaminated soil and soil with aged PAHs residues. *Environmental Pollution* 141:519-525.
43. Terge, K. (1984). Effect of oil pollution in the germination and vegetative growth of five species of vascular plants. *Oil and Petroleum Journal* 2:25-30.
44. Turk, K.J., Hall, A.E. and Asbell, C.W. (1980). Brought adaptation of cowpea 1: Influence of drought on seed yield. *Agronomy Journal* 72: 413 – 420.
45. Vwioko, D.E. and Fashemi, D.S. (2005): Growth response of *Ricinus cummunis* L. (castor oil) in spent lubricating oil polluted soil. *Journal of Applied sciences and Environmental Management* 9 (2): 73 – 79.
46. Vwioko, D.E., Anoliefo, G.O. and Fashemi, S.D. (2006). Metal concentration in plant tissue of *Ricinus communis* L. (Castor oil) grown in soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management* 10(3): 127– 134.
47. Wang, J. Jia, C.R. Wong, C.K. and Wong, P.K. (2000). Characterization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons created in lubricating aromatic hydrocarbons created in lubricating oil. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 120:381 – 396.

9/11/2009