Pathogens Associated with Citrus Fruit Rots in Imo State of Nigeria

Ezeibekwe I. O and Unamba C.I.N.

Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria <u>cinu2010@yahoo.com</u>, innocent_ezeibekwe@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: The Study of pathogens associated with the rot of citrus fruits in some parts of South Eastern Nigeria presented four pathogens identified as *Phytophthora citrophthora (Butler)*, *Fusarium oxysporum schlecht*, *Fusarium equiseti (Corda)* Sacc, *Botryodiplodia theobromae* (pat). The study showed that the rot caused by *B. theobromae* was more severe, followed by *P. citrophthora* rot, *F. oxysporum* rot and *F. equiseti* rot. Some disease control measures were recommended. [Journal of American Science 2009;5(4):129-132]. (ISSN: 1545-1003).

Key words: Pathogens, Citrus, Fruits, Rots, Imo State, Nigeria.

1. Introduction

Citrus is a tropical plant cultivated extensively in the sub-tropics with a Mediterranean climate (Mary *et al*,2003). Its fruits have been reported by Jim (2003) as very economically valued fruits among the. According to Nicole (2000), the fruits are used in making fruit salad and fruit juice. Mumoz (2003) recorded that their juice are palatable and can be taken as mild laxatives in constipation. Their essential oils are used in the cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries (Frazier and Westhote, 1978).

In the whole world, the post-harvest rots of these fruits have been extensively studied. (Fatemi (1972) reported Citrus fruits decline in Iran due to fruit rot incited by Pythium aphanidermatum (edson) fitz; and Phytophthora citrophthora (Butler) Leonian favaloro and Somma (1971) described Phytophthora syringae Kleb, P. citricola Sawada and P. citrophthora as the most widespread citrus fruit rot pathogens in Italy. Graham and Tummer (1994) also described Phytophthora nicotianae and P. citrophthora as the most common causal organisms of brown rot of Citrus fruits in Florida. Timmer and Menge (2000) implicated P. citricola Sawada, P. citriophthora (R.E. Sm & E.H. Sm) Leonian, P. hibernalis Carne, nad P. nicotianae Breda de Haan var. parasitica (Dastur) as causal agents of brown rot of fruits. They also described Botryodiplodia theobromae (Pat.) Griffon and Maubl as stem end rot pathogen. Fusarium oxysporum Schlechtend Fr. F. sp. citri was also described by them as a causal organism of dry fruit rot of Citrus.

In Nigeia, Adisa and Fajola (1982) implicated *Penicillum digitalum* and *P. citrinum* as pathogens of *Citrus fruit rots* in South Western Nigeria. They also considered *B. theobromae* as the most important fruit pathogen in South Western Nigeria. Nzekwe (1996) found out that *Fusarium spp, Curvularia spp, Aspergillus spp* and *Penicillum spp* cause *Citrus fruit* rots in Abia State of Nigeria. Dim (2004) isolated and

recorded Aspergillus spp, B. theobromae, Botrytis cinerea Pers. Fr., Fusarium spp, Phytophthora spp, Rhizopus stolonifer (Ehrenh fr) Vuill, Syncephalastrum racemoses, Gloesporium nervisequum and Mucor racemosus in some harvested Citrus fruits in Imo State of Nigeria.

From the look of things and available materials, it seems that no investigation appears to have been carried out on the extent of fruit rots or the epidemiology of fruit rots in South Eastern Nigeria. This paper therefore looked into the incidence and severity and extent of citrus rots in some parts of South Eastern Nigeria with a view to suggesting some control measures.

2. Materials and Methods

Rotted fruits were collected from the plantations and markets between September and October, 2008 in clean and labeled polyethylene bags to the laboratory where isolations were carried out by swabbing diseased fruits with 0.01 percent HgCl₂ and rinsed with sterile distilled water. Discs (3mm thick) of rotted tissues were then cut under aseptic conditions and plated on Sabourands Dextrose Agar (SDA) and incubated at 30^{0} c. Isolates were identified using Barnet and Hunter (1987), Paul *et al* (1983).

In the pathogenicity tests, pure isolates of each type of rot were inoculated into healthy fruits at the same stage of ripening. The healthy fruits were surface sterilized with 0.01 percent $HgCl_2$ and washed in changes of sterile distilled water. A cork borer (5mm in diameter) was driven to a depth of 4mm into the fruits making sure that the bored tissues were not removed after withdrawing the cork borer.

Two drops of a spore suspension $(5x10^4/\text{ml})$ of each isolate were deposited around the wound outline made on the healthy fruits. In the controls, two drops of sterile distilled water were used. For each isolate, ten treatments and ten controls were set up. Each inoculated fruit was vaselined at the point of inoculation and placed in a micro-humidity chamber at 25[°]c for the first seven days. Regular observations were made and re-isolation of any pathogenic fungi was done for comparism with the original isolate.

During this period, the extent of rot caused by each isolate was measured at interval with ruler (in cm) and also recorded. The data obtained was subjected to analysis using Analysis of Variance at 5% level of significance between the extent of rots caused by the four isolates.

F =	<u>MSB</u>
	MSW

Results

ISOLATES	COLONY FEATURES	MICROSCOPIC FEATURE	REMARK	
1 st Isolate	Isolate/organism was seen in a culture growth rate was slow. Mycelium was in a white colony, delicate with purple tinge, sparse and sometimes abundant (plate 1)	Uder the microscope, the organism was seen to have conidia of varied sizes. Micro conidia borne on simple conidiosphore arising laterally on the hyphae. Microconidia generally abundant, variable, oval, ellipsoid, cylindrical, straight to curved structure. Macroconidia sparse and thin walled, generally 3-5 septate and pointed at both ends. There was presence of chlamydospores (Plate 1)	Isolate identified as Fusarium schlecht	
2 nd Isolate	Colony growth wa rapid with dense aerial growth. Mycelium white but turned tan to brown colour with age. Underneath surface brownish.	Move of macroconidia strongly septate present, sickle shaped with distinctive curvature. Apical cell move elongated in curvature and basal cell footshaped. Chlamydospores abundant and thickwalled (plate 2)	Isolate identified as <i>Fusarium equisett</i> (Corda) Sacc.	
3 rd Isolate	Isolate seen as white dense fast growing culture, extensive in growth but gradually formed dirty white to black (plate 3)	Short simple conidiosphore was seen, conidia dark, ovoid to elongate. Mature conidia 2-celled, intercalary chlamydospores seen (plate 3)	Identified as Botryodiplodia theobromae Pat.	
4 th Isolate	Colony whitish culture, gradually spreading, not profuse but flat and depressed. Underneath colour is milk to yellowish. Aerial mycelium abundant, colony rapid growth (plate 4)	Mycelium highly branched, and non-septate when young, but an old cultures mycelium became septate bearing reproductive bodies (sporangia). The sporangium lemon shaped was borne symbolically on short sporangiosphores. Sporangium ovoid narrow at base produced singly. Sporangia production is sparse, few chlamydosphores	Isolate identified as <i>Phytophthora</i> <i>palmivora</i> (Butler)	

Table 1: Characterization and Identification of Isolates

Isolates	3 rd Day (cm)	4 th Day (cm)	5 th Day (cm)	Average (cm)
P. citrophthora	2.90	5.10	8.00	5.33
B. theobraomae	3.00	4.90	9.20	5.70
F. oxysporium	0.50	1.10	2.70	1.43
F. equiseti	0.10	0.20	0.60	0.30

Data on average of three determinations from isolations

Table 2: The extent of rots caused by the four fungi isolates (0.05)






Plate 1: (a) culture (b) Conidia and (c) chlamydospores of Fusarium oxysporum










Plate 3: (a) Hyphae (b) Conidium and chlamydospores of B. theobromae

Fig 2: (a) Culture (b and c) hyphae, conidia and chlamydospores of Fusarum equiseti




Plate 4: Culture (a) Hyphae (b) Sprangia of P. citrphthora.

3. Discussion

During the study, four organisms (pathogens) were isolated, characterized (Table 1), identified as *Fusarium oxysporium* Schlecht, *Phytophthora citrophthora* Butler, *Botryodiplodia theobromae* Pat and *Fusarium equiseti* (Corda) (plates 1,2,3 and 4). Pathogenicity studies confirmed them to be responsible for citrus fruit rot.

During the pathogenicity test, *P. citrophthora* was found to be associated with the fruits infected by browb rot, *B. theobromae* associated with those infected with brown leathery rot and stem end rot, and *Fusarium spp* were found in associuation with fruits infected with dry rot. This confirms the works of Graham and Timmer (1994) and Timmer and Menge (2000) that *Phytophthora spp* cause brown rot of *Citrus spp* and also that *B. theobromae* caused stem end rot of *Citrus* fruits. They also implicated *Fusarium spp* as causal organisms of dry fruit rots.

The extent of the rot caused by B. theobromae seems to be more severe (table 2). This means that the rot it caused advanced considerably within five days. This confirms the findings of Adisa and Fajola (1982) that B. theobroamae is the most important Citrus fruit rot in the South Western Nigeria. It was also observed that the extent of rot caused by P. citrophthora (5.33cm within 5 days) as well as the incidence and severity of the rot it causes (brown rot) were all high during the study. This finding is in line with the findings of Timmer and Menge (2000) that P. citrophthora as the causal agents of brown rot diseases of Citrus fruits. It also agrees with the finding of Favoloro and Somma (1971) who described *P. citropphthora* as the most widespread Citrus fruits rot pathogens in Italy. The extent of rots caused by the two Fusarium spp were moderate and the incidence and severity of the types of rot they cause (dry rot) was also moderate. This agrees with the work of Dim (2004) who mainly isolated and recorded Fusarium spp as been associated with diseased Citrus fruits in Imo State of Nigeria.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

The results of this study showed a high incidence and severity of *Citrus* fruit rot caused by fungal pathogens like *B. theobromae, Fusrium species and Phytophthora spp* cause fruit rots in the areas studied.

Care should be taken as a disease control measure to avoid wounds on the citrus fruits especially during harvesting and transportation of citrus fruits since wounds encourage easy entrance of the pathogens. Fruits should not be plucked with sticks but be done by climbing the citrus trees with jute bags hung on the tree which is lowered with rope when full. The harvesting is better done when the fruits are mature green. Harvested fruits should be wrapped in papers in baskets during transportation to avoid wounds on the fruits. Most post harvest diseases of citrus fruits are infections that established before harvest. Therefore, pre-harvest treatment or control of the pathogens with fungicides are necessary.

Correspondence to Dr. I. O Ezeibekwe Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State Nigeria. <u>innocent ezeibekwe@yahoo.co.in</u>, <u>cinu2010@yahoo.com</u>

References

- Adisa, V.A and Fajola, A.O (1982). Post harvest fruit rot of three species of *Citrus* in South Western Nigeria. *Indian phytopath*. Department of Botany, University of Ibadan 35(4):595-603.
- Bannet, H.L and Hunter, B.B (1987). Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi (4th ed). Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, ISOP
- Dim, M.U (2004). A survey of diseases of *Citrus* in Owerri zone of Imo State. Project work, Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University. P vi
- Atemi, J (1972). Pythiaceous fungi associated with *Citrus* decline in Iran. *Phytopath. Z* 74:153-160.
- Frazier, W.C and Westhofe, D.C (1978). Contamination, preservation and spoilage of vegetables and fruits. *Food Microbiology*. New York. McGraw Hill Book Company, 3rd edition 12:194-214.
- Graham, j.M and timmer, L.W (1994). Phytophythora diseases of Citrus. A series of the plant pathology department. Florida Co-operative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Science, University of Florida. Life Science, University of Arizona.
- Mumoz, D.J.A (1978). Intenational Journal of Refrigeration 3: 279-287.
- Micole, R (2000). Use of *Citrus* fruits. Phytopath 3: 180-200.
- Nzekwe (1996). Diseases of *Citrus sinensis* fruit. Project
 - work, Department of Botany, Abia State University. Pp 11-31.
- Paul, E.N; Toussoun, T.A; Marassas, W.F.O (1983). *Fusarium spp.* Manual for identification. The Pennsylavia State University Press, London, 91pp
- Timmer, L.W and Menge, J.A (2000). Common names of plant diseases. Diseases of *Citrus* APS Interactive Careers and Placement. *Journal and News Online Resources*. Kagawa APS Press Bookstore.

<u>cinu2010@yahoo.com</u> 3/22/2009