**Concurrent Chemoradiotherapywith Weekly Docetaxel and Cisplatin for Locally Advanced Head and Neck Cancer**

Ola Nabih1, Hoda H. Essa1, Nagham Nabil2, Dalia G.Yasien3

1Departments of Clinical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University Assiut, Egypt

2Radio-Diagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

3Otolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

olanabih1980@gmail.com

**Abstract: Background:** Concurrent chemoradia therapy (CCRTh) using cisplatin-based regimens are the standard of care in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). However, the addition of docetaxel to the treatment regimen showed survival improvement. **Objectives:** Our study aims to evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of CCRth with weekly docetaxelandcisplatin in patients with locally advanced HNSCC. **Patients and Methods:** Between March 2010 and April 2012, we enrolled thirty stage III and IVA HNSCC patients. We treated them with 70Gy conventionally fractionated radiotherapy (Rth) concurrently with weekly cisplatin and docetaxel, both given as one hour infusion of 20mg\m2 that administered 30 min before radiotherapy. **Results:** The median follow-up period was 23months (range, 2 –53 months).The mean age of the patients was 59 years (range, 29–72 years). The most common primary tumor site was the larynx (53.3%), followed by the hypopharynx (26.7%). 64.5% of patients had N2/N3 disease and 76.7% had T3/T4 disease. Among 30 patients, 13 (43.3%) achieved complete response (CR), 11(36.7%) achieved a partial response (PR), 2(6.7%) had stable disease (SD) and 4 patients (13.3%) had progressive disease (PD). The 2-yearoverall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) was 83.3% and 66.7%, respectively with well tolerable toxicities**. Conclusions:** Our study concluded that concurrent administration of weekly cisplatin and docetaxel with R this a well tolerable promising regimen that can induce only minimal myelo suppression.
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**1. Introduction:**

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors. Despite using multimodality approaches for treatment, the HNSCC recurrence rate still ranging from 10 to 40%.This could be explained by the fact that approximately 60% of cases presented with an advanced stage (stage III–IV). Furthermore, among patients who have locally advanced stage, 40-60% of patients develop locoregional recurrences or distant metastases with the 3 year overall survival (OS) ranging from 30-50% after receiving the standard therapy including either surgery or radiotherapy (Rth).[1-5](#_ENREF_1)

However, concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRth) remains the standard treatment modality for both resectable HNSCC aiming for organ-preservation and for unresectable disease aiming to achieve maximum locoregional control.[6](#_ENREF_6),[7](#_ENREF_7) Concurrent administration of chemotherapy (Cth) improved locoregional disease control and OS compared with Rthalone. But unfortunately, this didn’t induce dramatic impact on the rate of distant metastases.[8](#_ENREF_8)

Although, cisplatin is a potent radiosensitizer and the standard chemotherapeutic agent used in treating HNSCC patients. Addition of docetaxel have been reported on several clinical trials, and showed improvement in patients’ OS.[3](#_ENREF_3),[9](#_ENREF_9) The rationale for using docetaxel in combination with cisplatin is based on several factors including that both docetaxel and cisplatin are the most effective cytostatic agents for treating patients with HNSCC. Additionally, in vitro data showed lack of cross-resistance between docetaxel and cisplatin. Finally, combination of these two cytostatic drugs, may improve the therapeutic index.[9](#_ENREF_9)

This prospective study was designated to assess the efficacy and safety of concurrent administration of weekly docetaxel and cisplatin with normal fractionated Rthfor patients with locally advanced HNSCC.

**2. Patients and Methods**

Our study was a single arm phase II, open-label single center study. It is protocol was approved by the Assiut faculty of medicine institutional review board (IRB) and all enrolled patients signed a written informed consent

**Eligibility Criteria:**

Between March 2010 and April 2012 we enrolled thirty patients who were older than 18 years old, histologically confirmed to have HNSCC, clinically and radiologically confirmed to have locally advanced stage III or IV squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx, oropharynx or hypopharynx according to the 6th edition of American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), TNM 2010 staging system,[10](#_ENREF_10) non-metastatic, and not previously treated. Furthermore, patients should have Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performanous status (ECOG) 0–1 with adequate hematologic, hepatic and renal functions including hemoglobin> 10 /dl, absolute neutrophilcount≥1,500/mm3, platelets ≥100,000/mm3, serum bilirubin <2 mg/dl, both ALT and AST ≤2 × upper limit of normal (ULN), alkaline phosphates ≤5×ULN, and Serumcreatinine ≤ 1.5 mg/dl or creatinine clearance ≥ 60 ml/min. Also, we excluded patients who have a prior history of cardiac disease(serious arrhythmia, heart failure, myocardial infarction, or unstable angina within the last 6 months), active serious infection, or a psychiatric illness that would preclude obtaining informed consent.

According to the protocol, pretreatment assessment was done including direct examination of the ears, nose, and throat by an otolaryngologist. Also, fibroopticlaryngopharyngoscopy, direct endoscopy with biopsy were taken. Additionally, hematological and biochemical testing and a computed tomographic scan (CT) for assessment of the primary tumor site and neck nodes was done with chest x-ray with or without chest CT to exclude distant metastasis.

**Study design:**

The primary objective was to evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of CCRth with weekly docetaxel and cisplatin in patients with locally advanced HNSCC. Weekly cisplatin (20mg/m2) and docetaxel (Taxotere, Sanoﬁ-Aventis Spain) (20 mg/m2) were given over an hour infusion and 30 min before Rth All patients received a 6MV photon conventionally fractionated RT until a total tumor dose of 70Gy and a total nodal dose of 50GY in case of microscopic disease. Incase of clinically positive lymph nodes, an electron beam (9-12MeV) was used to increase the dose to the posterior cervical nodes after 50Gy without allowing further dose to the spinal cord. Treatment of the primary tumor and gross nodal disease continued via shrinking field’s to a total dose of 66Gy.

**Treatment Response and Adverse Events Assessments:**

Objective response and adverse events were assessed through both the RECIST criteria[11](#_ENREF_11), and the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (NCI CTCAE) v2.0. Patients’ evaluation was done on a weekly bases by history, physical examination, documentation of ECOG and toxicity evaluation. Laboratory testing was carried out at every other week and more often as indicated. The response evaluation was performed 4-6 weeks after the completion of CCRT by head and neck imaging (CT/MRI) and upper endoscopy. Biopsy was performed if there was clinical evidence of residual tumor. Chest X-ray was annually performed or when it was clinically indicated. Finally, patients were monitored monthly for detection of recurrence in the first year, every 2 months in the second year, every 3 months in the third year, and every 6 months thereafter until death or data censoring.

**Statistical methods of analysis:**

We used SPSS version 21 for windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Categorical variables were analyzed by the use of Fisher’s exact test and continuous variables were analyzed by Wilcoxon test. The primary endpoint was evaluation of the objective response, and secondary endpoints were evaluating response rate (RR), progression-free survival (PFS), and OS. The PFS was defined as the time from the initiation of treatment to the date of first observation of progressive disease or the date of death. While, OS was defined as the time from date of treatment initiation to the death date. Both PFS and OS were analyzed according to the Kaplan– Meier method.[12](#_ENREF_12) *P* value <0.05 was considered to be significant.

**3. Results**

**Patient and tumor characteristics:**

Patients’ and tumor characteristics were detailed in (table1). The median follow-up time was 23 months (range, 2 – 53 months). 23(76.7%) of patients were males with the mean of age ± standard deviation (SD) was 59.8 ± 9.4 years (range, 29–72 years).All patients had an ECOG 0 -1at time of enrollment and 70% of them were smokers. The most common primary tumor site was the larynx followed by the hypopharynx and oropharynx (53.3%, 26.7% and 20%), respectively. 76.6% of patients had T3/T4 and 70% had advanced nodal metastasis (N2/3) at time of presentation and all patients were M0.

**Tumor efficacy:**

Among 30 patients, 24 (80%)achieved an objective response rate including 13 patients (43.3%) had a CR, and11patients (36.7%) had partial response (PR). Also, we have 2 patients (6.7%) had stable disease (SD) and 4 patients(13.3%) hadprogressive disease (PD).Consistent response rates across primary tumor sites were observed in a subgroup analysis and included the larynx (CR 56.25%, PR 37.5%), pharynx (CR 25%, PR 50%), and laryngopharynx (CR 33.3%, PR 16.7%). (Table 2).

Among 24 patients who showed a complete or partial response after CCRT local and/ or regional treatment failures were developed in 4 (13.3%) patients without evidence of distant metastasis. Figure 1 & 2 showed that the 2-year OS and PFS rates were 79% (95% CI 64.4 –93.6%) and 59% (95%CI 41.1–76.6%), respectively. While, both median OS and PFS was not achieved.

**Radio-chemotherapy related toxicity**

The most commonly reported treatment related toxicities were grade 1-2 and none of our enrolled patients developed grade 4 toxicity. The most commonly reported hematologic adverse effect was neutropenia that developed in 9(30%) of patients; of whom 5 (16.7%) were grade 1, 3 (10%) were grade 2, and 1 (3.3%) were grade 3 and all of them were treated with granulocyte colony stimulating factors (G-CSF) and prophylactic antibiotic therapy. Grade 1 anemia and thrombocytopenia were reported in 5 (16.7%) and 4 (12%), respectively. While, grade 2 anemia was reported in 4 (12%) and grade 2 thrombocytopenia was reported in 1 (3.3%). Furthermore, none of our patients had grade 3 anemia or thrombocytopenia.

Furthermore, patients develop several non-hematological toxicities including mucositis, stomatitis, skin reaction, hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity. The worst mucositis was observed during the fifth week of treatment. All patients with grade 3 mucositis required parenteral nutritional support and drug administration.

The reported late toxic effects were skin pigmentation, fibrosis and xerostomia. The majority of our patients presented with skin fibrosis during follow up period (56.7%, 33.3%) for both grade 1 and 2 respectively. Furthermore, grade 1 xerostomia was developed in 5 (16.7%), while grade 2 and 3 were developed in 2 (6.7%) and 6 (20%), respectively. Finally, only 4 (12%) of patients developed grade 1 skin pigmentation and none of them develop grade 2 or 3. (Table 3, Figure 3)

**Table (1): Demographics, risk factors, and Clinico-pathological Characteristics:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Patient characteristic | Parameter | PatientsN=30 (%) |
| Age (years) | Mean ± SD | 59.8 ± 9.4 |
| ≤60 | 14 (46.7%) |
| >60 | 16 (53.3%) |
| Sex | Male | 23 (76.7%) |
| Female | 7 (23.3%) |
| Smoking | Smoker | 21 (70%) |
| Non-smoker | 9 (30%) |
| Complaint | Hoarseness of voice | 19 (63.3%) |
| Dysphagia | 17 (56.7%) |
| Odynophagia | 2 (6.7%) |
| ECOG | PS\_0 | 17 (56.7%) |
| PS\_1 | 13 (43.3%) |
| Tumor site | Larynx | 16 (53.3%) |
| Hypopharynx | 8 (26.7%) |
| Oropharngeal | 6 (20%) |
| Tumor (T) | T2 | 7 (23.3%) |
| T3 | 22 (73.3%) |
| T4A/B | 1 (3.3%) |
| Lymph nodes (LN) | N0 | 1 (6.7%) |
| N1 | 9 (30%) |
| N2 | 19 (66.7%) |
| N3 | 1(3.3%) |
| TNM Staging | Stage III | 4 (13.3%) |
| Stage IVA/B | 26 (84.7%) |
| Tumor differentiation | Well differentiated | 9 (30%) |
| Moderately differentiated | 13 (43.3%) |
| Poorly differentiated | 6 (20%) |
| Undifferentiated | 1 (3.3%) |

**Table (2): Initial Treatment Response after Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Disease site** | **Total no.** | **Achieved responseN (%)** |
| **CR** | **PR** | **SD** | **PD** | **Overall response** |
| **Overall** | 30 | 13 (43.3%) | 11 (36.7%) | 2 (6.7%) | 4 (13.3%) | 24 (80%) |
| **Larynx** | 16 | 9 (56.25%) | 6 (37.5%) | 0 | 1 (6.25%) | 15 (93.75%) |
| **Pharynx** | 8 | 2 (25%) | 4 (50%) | 0 | 2 (25%) | 6 (75%) |
| **Laryngopharyngeal** | 6 | 2 (33.3%) | 1 (16.7%) | 2 (33.3%) | 1 (16.7%) | 3 (50%) |

\*CR, Complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease

**Table (3): Early and Late non Hematological toxicity During Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Variables** | **PatientsN=30 (%)** |
| **G0** | **G1** | **G2** | **G3** | **G4** |
| Leucopenia | 21 (70%) | 5 (16.7%) | 3 (10%) | 1 (3.3%) | 0 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 25 (20%) | 4 (12%) | 1 (3.3%) | 0 | 0 |
| Anemia | 21 (70%) | 5 (16.7%) | 4 (12%) | 0 | 0 |
| Nephrotoxicity | 27 (67.7%) | 1 (3.3%) | 0 | 1 (3.3%) | 0 |
| Hepatotoxicity | 28 (93.3%) | 2 (6.7%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stomatitis | 14 (46.7%) | 12 (40%) | 4(12%) | 0 | 0 |
| Mucositis | 15 (50%) | 5 (16.7%) | 3 (10%) | 2(6.7%) | 0 |
| Skin reaction | 18 (60%) | 10 (33.3%) | 0 | 2 (6.7%) | 0 |
| Salivary gland inflammation | 25 (83.3%) | 3 (10%) | 2 (6.7%) | 0 | 0 |
| Dysphagia | 14 (46.7%) | 10 (33.3%) | 5 (16.7%) | 1 (3.3%) | 0 |
| Skin pigmentation | 26 (%88) | 4 (12%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fibrosis | 3 (10%) | 17 (56.7%) | 10 (33.3%) | 0 | 0 |
| Xerostomia | 17 (56.7%) | 5 (16.7%) | 2 (6.7%) | 6 (20%) | 0 |





**4. Discussion**

Despite that using multimodality approaches in treating HNSCC reduced the incidence of loco-regional recurrence, it didn’t affect the rate of distant metastasis with a median survival time less than a year.[4](#_ENREF_4) Cisplatin is a potent radiosensitizer that inhibit radiation induced DNA repair. Addition of docetaxel enhances the effect of radiation by two mechanisms; sensitization and direct tumor cell killing.[13-15](#_ENREF_13) These two drugs were chosen because cisplatin is the gold standard drug in HNSCC and docetaxel has satisfactory effect either when used as a single agent or in combination with several agents e.g. 5-FU, Irinotecan, celecoxib and erlotinib.[16-20](#_ENREF_16)

In our study, we reported that 43.3% achieved CR. Although, this results was lower than that was reported by Baykaraet and his colleagues (71.2%), this is explained by that the majority of our patients were stage IVA. On the other hand, CR rate was higher than that was reported by few previous trials[16](#_ENREF_16),[21](#_ENREF_21) but this may be attributed to inclusion of patients with recurrent disease in these trials.

The doublet (docetaxel/cisplatin) along with radiation had been tested in various trials. Hematological toxicity was most commonly reported adverse events,[22-25](#_ENREF_22) and we reported that grade 3-4 toxicities rates were similar to that is shown in previously published literature.

The magnitude of the survival benefit associated with CCR that 5 year was 8% more than that is achieved with the use of Rth alone. However, long-term survival is currently poor; the disease-free survival rate is only 30-40 %.[8](#_ENREF_8) Very few chemoradiotherapy regimens have undergone head-to-head comparison in randomized clinical trials. In our study, the 2y OS and PFS was higher than that was reported in previously trials.[26-28](#_ENREF_26) Furthermore, it has a longer OS when compared to using taxane[29](#_ENREF_29),[30](#_ENREF_30) or cisplatin as a single agent.[29](#_ENREF_29)

In conclusion, weekly docetaxel and cisplatinis an effective treatment program in locally advanced non-metastatic HNSCC with acceptable toxicities. There is warranty for multicenter randomized phase III trials to evaluate the impact of adding docetaxel to the standard CCRth regimen.
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