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**Abstract:** Background: With the entire advance in medical practices, gastric cancer remains one of the deadly diseases with poor prognosis. Appropriate diagnosis of the histological gastric cancer type may improve the treatment and the prognosis. The objective of this study is to apply immunohistochemical (IHC) markers for the diagnosis of undifferentiated gastric malignancies particularly when the histopathological data are insufficient to ascertain the tumor histogenesis exactly; Materials and Methods: Among 126 patients diagnosed in five years interval as cases with malignant gastric tumors, 55 cases were assigned as undifferentiated tumors and were subjected to immunohistochemical evaluation by application of many immunohistochemical markers and special stains for further categorization; Results: After the application of different IHC markers and special stains, the 55 cases which were assigned as undifferentiated revealed to be carcinoma (37 cases), lymphoma (9 cases), GIST (7 cases) and leiomyosarcoma and neuroendocrine tumor one case each; Discussions: IHC findings had changed the primary diagnoses based on morphological data in 4 instances, two were thought to be signet ring carcinoma and proved to be lymphomas and additional two were thought to be undifferentiated carcinoma and proved to be GIST. Immunohistochemistry is a valuable tool that can be applied to ascertain the histogenesis of malignant gastric tumors particularly those with undifferentiated morphology.
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**Introduction:**

Stomach cancer is the fourth most common cancer worldwide(1). In Asia gastric adenocarcinoma (GA) is the second most common cancer. Histologically, adenocarcinoma constitutes 90-95% of all gastric malignancies, followed by lymphomas (1-5%), gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) (2%), carcinoids (1%), adenoacanthomas (1%), and squamous cell carcinomas (1%) (2, 3).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Japanese classification of gastric tumors, the five predominant histological types of GA are: tubular adenocarcinoma, papillary adenocarcinoma, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC) and mucinous adenocarcinoma (MAC) as shown in table 1(4). Undifferentiated-type GA in general has a worse prognosis. The innate characteristics and prognosis of MAC and SRC have been studied(5,6). However, the results of those studies are still debated. The tubular type GA shows variable expression of CK7, CK20, CDX-2, MUC1, and MUC5AC(7-9). Over 70% of cases of the diffuse type GA are positive for CDX-2, CK7, HepPar-1and, variable expression of CK20, MUC2 and MUC5AC, but negative for MUC1 and E-cadherin(10,11). Cases of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma with prominent lymphoplasmacytic stroma may also be positive for EBV(12,13).

The vast majority of GISTs show a diffuse cytoplasmic staining with membranous accentuation of CD117 (KIT)(14). The mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) is the most common type of lymphoma to occur in the stomach(15). The lymphoma cells are B-cells and infiltrate the marginal zone around the preserved follicles. Tumor cells are positive for CD20, CD79a and Pax-5 but negative for CD5, CD10, and CD23(16).

**Table 1: Histological classification of gastric cancer**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adenocarcinoma | Tubular adenocarcinoma (tub) |
| Papillary adenocarcinoma (pap) |
| Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (por) |
| Signet-ring cell carcinoma |
| Mucinous adenocarcinoma |
| Lymphomas | MALToma |
| Other B and T lymphomas |
| Mesenchymal | GIST |
| Leiomyosarcoma |
| Carcinoids | |
| Adenoacanthomas | |
| Squamous cell carcinomas | |

The aim of this study was to evaluate the role IHC in ascertaining the histogenic origin of undifferentiated malignant gastric tumors which clearly has therapeutic and prognostic implications.

**Patients and Methods:**

This study included cases of gastric cancer diagnosed and reviewed histopathologically during a period of five years, from September 2008 to September 2013 in the central lab of Duhok-Iraq. The paraffin embedded blocks (PEBs) of biopsies from patients whom were diagnosed as having undifferentiated gastric malignancies were selected to perform the immune-histochemical staining protocol according to the Avidin Biotin Complex (ABC) detection system(17). Sections from the PEBs where obtained in a 4 microns thickness and placed on positively charged slides together with adjacent parallel control sections which were processed with each set of staining for the IHC. Primary and secondary antibody kits were used, provided by the DAKO (an American company for Technologies), detected with the Envision+ system that employs peroxidase-labeled polymer conjugated to anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibodies. Immune complexes were identified by using peroxidase reaction with DAB+ as chromogen (Envision+ detection system, K4006, Dako Corp, Carpinteria, CA). Different immunohistochemical markers have been used for different cases to identify the type of tumors as seen in table 2. The selection of these combinations based mainly on preliminary histopathological findings using the few distinguishing microscopic characteristics.

**Table 2: Panels of IHC markers used for different types of malignancy**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First panel | Types (Histogenesis) | Second panel | Types  (Histogenesis) | Other markers used |
| Cytokeratin+ | Carcinoma  (epithelial cells) | CK20+  CK7+  PAS/PASD+ | Adenocarcinoma (Intestinal type) | CEA  EMA  S100  Caldesmin  CD34  CD68  P63  MUC1  MUC2  MUC5  MUC6 |
| Anaplastic |
| Signet ring type. |
| CD45+ | Lymphoma | B cell marker+  T cell marker- | B cell lymphoma |
| CD117+ | GIST | Vimentin+  Desmin+ | Leiomyosarcoma |
| Chromogranin+ | Neuroendocrine tumor | Synaptophysin+  NSE+ | Neuroendocrine  tumors |

The results of panel 3 depend on the positive and negative combination. CD stands for cluster of differentiation, CK7: Cytokeratin 7, CK20: Cytokeratin 20, CEA: Carcino-Embryonic Antigen, EMA: Epithelial Membrane Antigen, NSE: Neuron-Specific Enolase.

### Results:

### During a period of five years there were 126 cases of gastric malignancy. The mean age of the patients was 54.3 years. The commonest type of gastric malignancy was adenocarcinoma (71 cases) and representing 56.4% of the cases (Table 3). Out of these 126 cases 55 (43.6%) were assigned as undifferentiated malignancy and subjected to IHC evaluation and special stains for their categorization. The mean age of these patients with undifferentiated malignancies was 60 years. After the use of many IHC markers in different panels and special stains, the categories of malignancy were established. The commonest types of gastric malignancies (in descending order) were carcinomas, lymphomas, GIST and the least were smooth muscle and neuroendocrine tumors (Table 3).

**Table 3 Types of undifferentiated gastric malignancies**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Type | Number | Percentage |
| Carcinoma | 37 | 67.27 |
| lymphoma | 9 | 16.36 |
| GIST | 7 | 12.73 |
| Leiomyosarcoma | 1 | 1.82 |
| Neuroendocrine tumor | 1 | 1.82 |
| Total | 55 | 100% |

IHC findings had changed the primary diagnoses based on morphological data in 4 instances, two were thought to be signet ring carcinoma and proved to be lymphomas and additional two were thought to be undifferentiated carcinoma and proved to be GIST.

The age of the 55 cases ranged from 25 to 93 years, with the youngest patient (25 year old female) presented with undifferentiated carcinoma and the oldest patient (93 years old male) with GIST. The male to female ratio was about 1:1 (28 males and 27 females) as seen in table 4.

**Table 4: The frequency of undiefferentiated malignancy according to their histogenesis, age and gender.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Types of undifferentiated malignancy after application of IHC | No. (%) | Mean age | M:F ratio |
| Carcinoma | 37 (67.27%) | 52 | 1:1 |
| Lymphoma | 9 (16.36%) | 54 | 1:2 |
| GIST | 7 (12.73%) | 65.5 | 2.5:1 |
| Leiomyosarcoma | 1(1.82%) | 55 | - |
| Neuroendocrine tumor | 1(1.82%) | 57 | - |
| Total | 55 (100%) | 60 | 1:1 |

**Discussion**

Although the incidence of gastric cancer has been declining in most industrialized countries (from 774,000 in 1990 to 700,000 in 2012), it remains the third leading cause of cancer death(18,19) and the five-year survival rate is less than 10 percent(20,21). The undifferentiated-type gastric adenocarcinomas have a worse prognosis and carry even a less five-year survival rates.

In this study we tried to identify the different histological types of undifferentiated gastric cancers, taking in consideration that the treatment for stomach cancer depends on the histopathological type and may include surgery(22), chemotherapy, radiation(23), and the new biological therapy(20,24).

In agreement with the findings all over the word, adenocarcinoma was the commonest type of gastric cancer in our research, but the undifferentiated type was higher than that reported in other studies(2-4), probably because they did the classification after application of IHC analysis. However, the mean age of the undifferentiated malignancies in our study was higher than that of adenocarcinoma, while many other researches belief that higher prevalence of “diffuse and undifferentiated types” is found in young patients, which may exhibit distinct disease characteristics and typically worse outcome(25,26). According to a population-based study of gastric cancer, a significant impact of age on survival was found only in patients with stage IV disease(27). Other studies demonstrated that, when matched for stage, younger patients did not have worse outcomes(28).

Furthermore the male to female ratio was nearly equal in this study, while Brenner H et al, stated that "As compared to women, men are twice as likely to develop and die from gastric cancer, in the US"(29). Although this may represent varying environmental exposures between genders, studies demonstrate that menstrual factors such as age of menopause and years of fertility are associated with gastritc cancer incidence(30).

These results of high undifferentiated cancers, age and gender, may suggest different genetic of gastric cancer in our society; therefore genetic analysis is suggested for DNA sequences and special gene expression of gastric cancers. Finally we should emphasis on the importance of IHC analysis for cases of gastric cancer with uncertain histological findings.

**Conclusions:**

This study demonstrates the importance of IHC application for undifferentiated cancer which benefits in the establishment of the histological type and which may also change the morphology based diagnosis.
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