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**Abstract:** The present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of UV-irradiation to increase the mutagenic effect of synthetic pyrethroid insecticide, cypermethrin. The study was performed in rat lymphocyte cultures to investigate the mutagenic effect of this irradiation. The chromosomal aberrations (CAs) assay has been used to evaluate the genotoxicity of these treatments. Artificial UV irradiation at 254nm was used. The exposure periods were 60 and 120 min as short and long exposure times. Two concentrations level of commercial cypermethrin (cyperko® 20% EC) were used. The treatments were performed as individual of cypermethrin or UV-irradiation exposure and in combination between them. Results of our study revealed that the individual treatment of cypermethrin showed slight significant increase (*p* <0.05) at low concentration dose 10µg/ml and highly significant (*p* <0.001) at high concentration dose 20µg/ml. The individual exposure to UV-irradiation showed significant increase (*p* <0.05) only at long exposure time. Furthermore the results of combined exposure between cypermethrin UV-irradiation made synergistic action effect that obvious at low dose 10µg/ml of cypermethrin with UV-irradiation (60 min) induced significant increase (*p* <0.01) than their effects of each alone. More addition the combination at high dose 20µg/ml and long exposure time (120 min) induced highly significant increase (*p* <0.001). It could be concluded that the confirmation of well known effects of UV-radiation in DNA damage and the concentration of cypermethrin that are low genotoxic in individual treatment can cause harmful mutagenic effect when combined with exposure to UV-radiation. So, the workers or farmers, working all time in the open field and subjected to sun light and exposed to the pesticides contamination may be at high risk if they are not protected by safety measures when exposure to these combined mutagens.
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**1. Introduction**

Many pesticides have been tested for mutagenicity by a variety of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, while testing for genotoxicity is usually performed on single agent, either chemical or physical agent but exposure of humans often involves combinations of agents **(Stopper and Lutz, 2002).** At the present time, our knowledge of biological interaction is incomplete. Therefore, a variety of experimental studies are necessary to assess health risk from exposure to combination of environmental agents **(Sexton and Hattis, 2006).** Experimental work and biological monitoring provide useful tools to estimate the genetic risk deriving from an integrated exposure to a complex mixture of chemical and physical agents **(Bolognesi, 2003).** One of these physical agents is the light coming from the sun which is a magical source of energy for us, but the cumulative effects of sunlight exposure put us at higher risk of cellular damage e.g. early wrinkling, age spots, actinic keratoses, and skin cancer including melanoma which is the most serious type **(Butler and Fosko, 2010)**. Also the effect of sunlight had previously been noted in the nineteenth century where rural outdoor workers and sailors were found to be more prone to skin cancer **(Hockberger, 2002)**. This light has the total frequency spectrum of electromagnetic waves given off by the sun, particularly ultraviolet, visible, and infrared radiations **(Mark and Farmer, 1995)**. The wavelength of light that fall between the ranges of about 400nm–100nm is called ultraviolet rays UV. These rays are further classified in mainly three groups known as UV-A (400nm–320nm), UV-B (320nm-280nm) and UV-C rays (280nm–100nm). UV-C rays never reach earth and absorb by the atmosphere mainly in the ozone layer. Only a few percentages of UV-B rays reaches earth along with most part of the UV-A rays. The UV-A and UV-B rays that reach to land are seriously harmful to skin. UV-B is absorbed directly by DNA and leads to the formation of pyrimidine dimmers and if these mutations are not repaired that appear to have a role in a photo-carcinogenesis. So the exposure to UV can cause not only sunburn but also lead to skin cancer **(Cadet *et al.,* 2005).** More addition those working in the open field and subjected to these rays are in serious risks especially since the mid-1970s, human activities have been changing the chemistry of the atmosphere in a way that reduces the amount of ozone layer in the stratosphere which is the layer of atmosphere ranging from about 11 to 50 km in altitude and prevents most of dangerous radiations from reaching to the Earth **(Monks, 2013)**. This means that more ultraviolet radiation can pass through the atmosphere to the Earth surface, particularly at the poles and nearby regions during certain times of the year. So, it is important to study the effect of these physical agents that may increase a health hazard of individuals who working in the open field and subjected to more than one of mutagenic agent.

On the other hand the majority of pesticides used in Egypt are traditional insecticides. One of these is cypermethrin witch is recently back to use in agriculture after it banned for several years in Egypt. In an epidemiological study, population exposed to cypermethrin in cotton fields showed ill health effects such as severe giddiness, nervous, skin and eye disorders, neonatal deaths and congenital defects. Cypermethrin can also elicit a range of neurotoxic, immunotoxic and genotoxic effects and reproductive toxicity in various experimental systems **(Yousef** ***et al.,* 2003)**. Cypermethrin caused an increase in the number of cells with abnormal chromosomes in both bone marrow and spleen **(Institoris *et al.,* 1999)**. All of the above mentioned make cypermethrin is one of the most common contaminants in the ecosystem.

The aim of the present study is to assess the effect of UV-irradiation to increase the mutagenic effect of the cypermethrin that applied *in vitro* in rat lymphocyte cultures. The chromosomal aberrations assay in rat lymphocytes cultures has been used to assess the genotoxicity of these treatments.

**2. Material and Methods**

**2.1 Experimental design**

Blood samples were collected from eye vein of adult male rats. Cultures were prepared according to **(Sinha *et al.,* 1998)**. Four cell populations of rat lymphocyte culture were used as 4 replicates, 100 cells each, representing 400 examined spread metaphases for each treatments. In this study, there were three main groups (a, b, and c) which were further subdivided into two subgroups. Subgroups (a) were treated with low and high doses of cypermethrin. Subgroups (b) were treated by UV-irradiations at short and long time exposure. Subgroups (c) were treated with combined treatments of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations. In addition to group (d) without treatments and was kept as control.

**2.2 Cypermethrin Treatments**

Local made commercial cypermethrin (Cyperko 20% EC) was used. The formulation diluted to working solution 10% before treated to each culture tube. After lymphocytes stimulated with phytohaemagglutinin and cultured for 48h two concentration levels 10 and 20 µg/ml were used as low and high doses treatments then complete the incubation period for 72hrs.

**2.3 UV Lamb and irradiation system**

UV irradiation performed by using a UV-Labsystem instrument Fig (1). After 48 hrs of incubation period, incubated cells exposed to UV irradiation at 254nm. The exposure periods were 60 and 120 min. as short and long exposure times, the distance from the lamp and lymphocyte cultures adjusted to be approximately 30 cm to yield illuminance Level 5000 lux and power 10 J/m2, according to the manual structure of the instrument. After irradiation time the cells complete the incubation period for 72hrs.

**2.4 Combined treatments of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations**

After lymphocytes cultured for 48 hrs low dose 10 µg/ml of cypermethrin was treated with short time exposure of UV-irradiation (60 min) and high dose 20 µg/ml of cypermethrin was treated with long time exposure of UV-irradiation (120 min) then complete the incubation period for 72hrs.

**2.5 Chromosomal aberration analysis**

Cells with chromosomal aberrations are called aberrant cells. The chromosomal aberrations (CAs) scored in our study are classified into structural and numerical aberrations. Structural aberrations, were recorded as chromatid-type included breaks, deletion, and gap.Chromosome-type included dicentrics, ring, end to end association and centromeric attenuation. Numerical aberrations included polyploidy (4n). Two slides per sample and fifty metaphases per slide were examined for chromosome aberrations, according to the guidelines recommended by the EPA Gene-Tox Committee **(Preston *et al.,* 1981).**

**2.6 Statistical analysis**

The data obtained in this study were calculated and statistically analyzed, according to Studen’s t-test **(Venables and Ripley, 2002)**, using statistical software.

|  |
| --- |
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**Fig (1):** The incubator chamber demonstrate the UV-irradiation technique

**3. Results**

The obtained data are presented in Table (1) and illustrated in figure (2). In addition to micrographs of some types of aberrations obtained from our results in figure (3). The results revealed that the treatments with cypermethrin alone showed slight significant increase of aberrant cells at low dose 10µg/ml (*p* <0.05) compared to the control group, but at high doses 20µg/ml showed high significant increase (*p* <0.001). Although, the UV-irradiation at short exposure time (60 min)did not induce significant effect, the long exposure time (120 min) induced slight significant increase (*p* <0.05). More addition the combined treatments of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations induced synergistic action effect that obvious at low dose 10µg/ml of cypermethrin with UV-irradiation (60 min) induced significant increase (*p* <0.01) than their effects of each alone. More addition the combination at high dose and long exposure time induced highly significant increase (*p* <0.001). On the other hand the most frequent aberrations were observed centromeric attenuation (c-a), followed by chromosome association(c-a), and chromatid gap (tg), while end to end association was the lowest aberration scored. Numerical aberrations which showed as a polyploidy (4n) were scored in negative control group but the treatments with cypermethrin and UV-irradiation increased the percentage of polyploidy in all treatments.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table (1):** Chromosomal aberrations induced in rat lymphocyte cultures after individual and combined treatments of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations |
| **Treatments** | **Types of structural aberrations** | **Numerical aberration****Polyploidy****(4n)** | **Total aberrant cells/ 400 scored metaphases** | **Mean ± S.E.** |
| **tg** | **tb** | **ede** | **c-s** | **c-a** |
| a Control group | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 3.25 ± 0.629 |
| **Cypermethrin** | Low dose10 µg/ml | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 26 | 6.50 ± 0.957 \* |
| High dose20 µg/ml | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 36 | 9 ± 0.816 \*\*\* |
| **UV-irradiations** | short exposuretime (60 min) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2.75 ± 0.854 |
| Long exposuretime (120 min) | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 5.5 ± 0.645 \* |
| **Cypermethrin + UV-irradiations** | 10 µg/ml +60 min UV-exposure | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 34 | 8.5 ± 0.866 \*\* |
| 20 µg/ml +120 min UV-exposure | 5 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 46 | 11.5 ± 0.866 \*\*\* |
|  Values are from four replicates in each treatment and the last column represent mean ± S.E. of aberrant cells per 100 spread metaphases/treatment |
|  \*\*\* Significant at *p* < 0.001; \*\* Significant at *p* < 0.01; \* Significant at *p* < 0.05 |
|  a Control group, the samples without treatment |
|  Abbreviations: **tg**, chromatid gap; **tb**, chromatid break; **ede**, end to end association; **c-s**, chromosome association; **c-a**, chromosome attenuation |

**Fig (2):** Correlation between individual and combined treatments of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations and the mean of the aberrant cells induced in rat lymphocyte cultures

|  |
| --- |
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**Fig (3):** Rat lymphocytes metaphases, showing (a) chromosomes association & centromeric attenuation; (b) end to end association; (c) chromosomes association; and (d) polyploidy.

**4. Discussion**

**4.1 Effect of the cypermethrin treatment**

In this study we provide the unique combination of insecticide cypermethrin with UV-irradiation in rat lymphocytes cultured. The present study showed increased of chromosomal aberrations in rat lymphocytes cultures after treatment with commercial formulation of cypermethrin. However, **(Hoellinger *et al.*, 1987)** found no significant increased of micronuclei were observed in rat bone marrow after acute treatment of technical cypermethrin. Also Cypermethrin did not increase chromosomal aberrations in rat bone marrow **(Nehéz *et al.*, 2000)**. *In-vitro* treatments of technical cypermethrin have been conducted on Salmonella strains with and without metabolic activation and did not show mutagenic response. Although many previous studies indicated no mutagenic effect of cypermethrin especially when active ingredient was used, commercial formulations showed mutagenic effect. Cypermethrin has been reported to be genotoxic in mouse spleen cultures and bone marrow **(Amer *et al.,* 1993)**. Recently **(Chakravarthi *et al.*, 2007)** reported cypermethrin showed increase in frequency of chromosomal aberration in human lymphocytes cultures and caused increase in the comet tail length with increase in concentration. So, it is obvious the active ingredient of cypermethrin may be not has mutagenic effect as it is but when treated as formulation it has genotoxicity effect. This different may due to the additives of commercial formulations and may increase the toxicity and genotoxicity of the formulations.

**4.2 Effect of the exposure to UV irradiation**

Many previous findings showed high detrimental effects of UV rays on living cells. The acute effect of UV-irradiation on normal human skin can induce sunburn (erythema), tanning, or pyrimidine dimmers at molecular genetics level. And the chronic exposure to UV irradiation leads to photoaging, and ultimately photocarcinogenesis then leads to the development of skin cancers **(Matsumura** and **Ananthaswamy, 2004)**. Also **Green *et al.,* (1999)** studied the effect of UV-A and UV-B on human lymphocytes samples from people exposed to sunlight at different periods and they found that the freshly isolated human lymphocytes samples were exquisitely sensitive to UV-B irradiation, because of their low deoxyribonucleotide pools and defect to remove the pyrimidine dimers from their DNA. The present study showed slight significant increase (p<0.05) in (CAs) only at long exposure dose of UV-irradiation. But short exposure dose did not induce significant induction in (CAs) when compared with the control group. May be the mainly reason of that is due to the thickness of glass vessel of culture that was approximately 1mm and can reduce the rays go into the vessel culture and reach to the cells.

**4.3 Effect of cypermethrin and UV-irradiations Combination**

The results of the combined exposure between cypermethrin and UV-irradiation showed moderately and highly significant increase at both low and high doses (*p* <0.01 and *p* <0.001) respectively. So our results confirm the investigation that the UV exposures induce synergetic effect of genotoxicity. On the other hand, few articles have been written about the interaction between chemical and physical agent. **Abdel-Aziz *et al.* (2004)** studied the *in vivo* combined effect of cypermethrin and 650 nm diode laser irradiations on DNA of rat liver cells. The combination showed a synergistic effect of both low and high doses level of cypermethrin and diode laser irradiations. Also the combination between two chemical agents has been studied. **Nehez *et al.* (2000)** reported that the cypermethrin and lead combination induced a significant increase of structural chromosomal aberrations in male rat bone marrow. So occupational exposure to various mutagens either chemical or physical agent may increase mutagenic effect and the results of this study provide further investigation about the combined effect of two different of mutagen agents which made greater in genotoxicity than that caused by individual agent.

**5. Conclusion**

It could be concluded that the commercial formulation of cypermethrin may be more genotoxcity than active ingredient. In addition to the well known effects of UV-radiation in DNA damage and the fact that stimulates pyrimidine dimmers. The given results indicate concentration of cypermethrin that are low genotoxic in standard test can cause harmful mutagenic effect when combined with UV-radiation. Furthermore the workers or farmers, working all the time in the open field and subjected to sun light and exposed to pesticides contamination may be at high risk if they are not protected by safety measures when exposure to these combined mutagens.
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