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**Abstract: Background:** The prevalence of non- invasive methods that have the ability of in situ visualization of tissue became of particular importance in ophthalmology because of the key information provided by them regarding the physiology and the diseases related to the eye. The structures of the anterior segment can be analyzed using many ultrasonic or optical methods. OCT is among these methods which became used increasingly due to its ability for providing a non -contact scans to the anterior segment of the eye. This makes the examination comfortable, safe and fast. In addition to making a high transverse and axial spatial resolution on the order of not much dozen of micrometers. [1-3] This includes the option to determine central corneal thickness and also corneal epithelial thickness in addition to anterior corneal radius of curvature. **Patients and methods:** The study was designed as a cross-sectional, observational study, the participants were chosen by simple random sampling. The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards stated in the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethical Committee of Menoufia Medical School. The study protocol was explained to the patients and all patients were provided a written informed consent. **Results:** This study included 100 eyes of 100 individual with a mean age of 40.82 ± 11.30 years (range, 20-60 years), the patients ̓̓ gender were 52 (52.0%) male and 48 (48.0%) female. **Discussion**: The anterior segment spectral domain OCT was designed to analyze the anterior segment of the eye. The high scan rate makes it possible to capture a large number of two-dimensional tomographic images within a limited time and then to generate a three­dimensional representation of vthe anterior segment. The high scan rate should also decrease motion artifacts. **Conclusion**: Our study highlights the value of anterior segment SD-OCT in assessment of anterior segment. We evaluated associations of central corneal thickness corneal, epithelial thickness and radius of corneal curvature readings determined by SD-OCT in healthy eyes. As our study was explicitly focused on healthy eyes, this approach may be worthwhile for defining norm values for this specific technology. Analysis confirmed intraocular pressure and refractive error as ocular factors associated with our examined parameters. We also assisted the association of age and gender to our biometry.
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**1. Introduction**

The prevalence of non- invasive methods that have the ability of in situ visualization of tissue became of particular importance in ophthalmology because of the key information provided by them regarding the physiology and the diseases related to the eye. The structures of the anterior segment can be analyzed using many ultrasonic or optical methods. OCT is among these methods which became used increasingly due to its ability for providing a non -contact scans to the anterior segment of the eye. This makes the examination comfortable, safe and fast. In addition to making a high transverse and axial spatial resolution on the order of not much dozen of micrometers. [1-3] This includes the option to determine central corneal thickness and also corneal epithelial thickness in addition to anterior corneal radius of curvature. [4,5]

The cornea is considered the most important structure of refraction for the eye. The shape of the cornea and the relative large difference between its refractive index and that of the air explains the corneal refractive power. [6] Corneal parameters measurements are very important in both diagnosis and management of many ocular pathological conditions that may cause bad visual outcome such as glaucoma, keratoconus and diabetic manifestations that lead to change in the architecture of the cornea. [7]

CCT is an important indicator of cornea health. Interest in CCT measurement has greatly increased because its effect on IOP measurement. CCT itself affects the accuracy of measurement of IOP. [8] It’s a fact that thin corneas lead to underestimation of IOP whereas thick ones result in its overestimation. So because of the relationship between IOP and CCT, decreased CCT values might result in delayed diagnosis and management of glaucoma. [9] In addition to all that in the era of refractive surgery, CCT values became marvellously important to evaluate the patients pre-operatively because they affect the decision whether not or to do the operation, type of the recommended procedure and rate of the complications that might occur postoperatively. [10]

The human corneal surface is covered by the corneal epithelium, where it plays an important role in protecting and maintaining the optimum optical quality. It cannot be ignored that the corneal epithelium contributes greatly to the corneal refractive power and hence to the ocular refraction. Some diseases like keratoconus alter the thickness of the epithelium to reduce the irregularity of the corneal surface. [11] Contact lens wearers can also show epithelial thickness abnormalities as they might exhibit epithelium wrapping more likely. This will also lead to incorrect evaluation of their real refraction. [12] So analyzing the CET separately is important for early detection of the disease in an earlier stage. [11]

Anterior corneal curvature (ACC) is related to the frontal surface of the cornea and is one of the valuable measurements used to make optical properties of the cornea more characteristic. In clinical practice, vertical and horizontal anterior corneal curvatures are both measured. The average cornea has a larger radius in the horizontal meridian in comparison to the vertical meridian, which cause higher percentage of with-the-rule astigmatism in young adults. [13] Anterior curvature expressed in radii (millimeters typically) is of importance for fitting and management of contact lens, analysis of ocular aberration, refractive surgery of cornea in addition to diagnoses and treatment of corneal pathological cases like keratoconus. [14-15]

The aim of the study is firstly to highlight the value of the SD-OCT measurements as a standard tool in anterior segment analysis. The second goal of this study is to determine range of distribution, correlation of ocular parameters (CCT, CE, horizontal and vertical corneal radii of curvature) in a number of patients of different age and sex with abroad range of refractive error and IOP.

**2. Patients and Methods**

The study was designed as a cross-sectional, observational study, the participants were chosen by simple random sampling.

The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards stated in the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethical Committee of Menoufia Medical School. The study protocol was explained to the patients and all patients were provided a written informed consent.

The study was conducted at a special eye center at Cairo from February 2017 to September 2017. This study was conducted on 100 eyes of 100 volunteers 52 males and 48 females ranging from (20-60) year-old. The study will include healthy individuals including 34 myopic, 34 emmetropic and 32hypermetropic individuals.

**Inclusion criteria**:

Same age group (20:60 year-old) healthy eyes of healthy volunteers will be included after assessment of their best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and slit lamp stereo microscopy.

**Exclusion criteria**:

**Exclusion criteria have been as follows:**

Cornea opacity of any type or degree, Pre-existing ocular pathology.

Having any other ocular disease (eg: glaucoma, uveitis, ocular hypertension).

Eyes with previous history of previous intraocular or refractive surgery or trauma.

Having any type of previous retinal treatment (eg: macular laser photo coagulation, vitrectomy, and\or intravitreal steroid).

Participants who received topical or systemic medication that could affect the iris or angle configuration at the time of the study (cholinergics or anticholinergics, adrenergic agonists or antagonists, serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine releasers or precursors or reuptake inhibitors, monoamine oxydase inhibitors, opioid agonists or antagonists, and histamine receptor antagonists) were excluded.

Subjects wearing contact lenses were excluded as this might affect corneal thickness.

**Examination:**

The medical histories of the patients were obtained from the volunteer. A complete ophthalmologic examination including; best-corrected visual acuity by decimal chart.

The anterior segment of each eye was carefully examined by slit lamp for corneal opacities or abnormalities, depth of anterior chamber, iris color and pattern, regularity and uveitis, lens position.

Fundus examination by indirect ophthalmoscope and 90D lens for examination of the macula, optic disc, retinal vessels, retinal background.

IOP was measured using Goldman applanation tonometer. Three averaged measurements were obtained per eye.

Measurements of refractive status were detected by auto refractor (Nidek AR-310 Auto refract meter) and then confirmed by retinoscopy and spherical equivalent was calculated of astigmatic patients.

-Using anterior segment spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) (3D OCT-2000 (Topcon Corp., Tokyo, Japan)); central corneal thickness, Corneal epithelial thickness and corneal radii of curvature both horizontally and vertically were measured. The subject was asked to look at the internal fixation target and. Three scans were obtained for each tested eye and the mean of these scans was used for the analysis.

The cornea was imaged with the anterior segment mode of the 3D OCT-2000 (Topcon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Automated calculation of corneal curvature, central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal epithelial thickness CET with the integrated software was performed and all OCT images were checked for correct identification of the corneal surface. Quality of OCT scans were graded in a four categories: “high,” “medium,” “acceptable,” and “insufficient.” Mean curvature of the corneal radius was computed.

Fundus photographs of the macula and optic nerve head were obtained from all participants and images were evaluated by two independent ophthalmologists.

**2.2. Statistical Analysis:**

The data collected was tabulated & analyzed by SPSS statistical package version 20. (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Two types of statistical analysis were done:**

**a)** **Descriptive statistics**

Descriptive statistics were expressed in: Number (No), percentage (%) mean (**x̅**) and standard deviation (SD).

**b)** **Analytic statistics**

Pearson correlation was used to show correlation between two continuous normally distributed variables while Spearman correlation was used for not normally distributed ones.

- Repeated measures ANOVA (analysis of the variance) test has been used for the comparison of quantitative variables between more than two consecutive measures in the same group of normally distributed data and **Friedman test.**

Friedman test has been used for comparison of quantitative variables between more than two consecutive measures in the same group of not- normally distributed data with LSD test as post Hoc test.

- P- value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**3. Results**

This study included 100 eyes of 100 individual with a mean age of40.82 ± 11.30years (range, 20-60 years), the patients ̓̓ gender were 52 (52.0%) male and 48 (48.0%) female. Among the studied eyes 34 eyes were myopic, 24emmetropic and 32 hyper metropic as shown in (Table 1).

Considering all eyes together, mean CCT was 541.62 ± 21.11µm (Table 1). (mean SD In males it was 541.94 ± 22.53 and in females 541.27 ± 19.68 (Table 4)). This study showed no statistically difference in myopic (542.79 ± 20.02), emmetropic (540.09 ± 22.29) or hypermetropic (542.0 ± 21.52) (f=0.145, p=0.865) (Table 2).

**Table (1): Distribution of the studied cases according to different parameters (n= 100)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **No.** | **%** |
| **Refraction** |  |  |
| **Emmetrope** | **34** | **34.0** |
| **Myope** | **34** | **34.0** |
| -2:-4 | 11 | 11.0 |
| -4:-6 | 11 | 11.0 |
| >-6 | 12 | 12.0 |
| **Hypermetrope** | **32** | **32.0** |
| <+2 | 11 | 11.0 |
| 2:4 | 11 | 11.0 |
| >+4 | 10 | 10.0 |
| **Sex**  |  |  |
| Male  | 52 | 52.0 |
| Female  | 48 | 48.0 |
| **Age**  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 20.0 – 60.0 |
| Mean ± SD. | 40.82 ± 11.30 |
| Median  | 40.0 |
|  **IOP** |  |
| Min. – Max. | 13.20 – 18.90 |
| Mean ± SD. | 15.65 ± 1.56 |
| Median  | 15.60 |
| **Central corneal thickness** |  |
| Min. – Max. | 508.0 – 579.0 |
| Mean ± SD. | 541.62 ± 21.11 |
| Median  | 542.0 |
| **Corneal epithelial thikness** |  |
| Min. – Max. | 43.0 – 59.0 |
| Mean ± SD. | 54.80 ± 2.68 |
| Median  | 55.0 |
| **Horizontal corneal radius of curvature** |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.04 – 8.43 |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.61 ± 0.25 |
| Median  | 7.64 |
| **Vertical corneal radius of curvature** |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.12 – 8.23 |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.61 ± 0.27 |
| Median  | 7.54 |

The mean IOP was 15.65 ± 1.56 mm Hg (Table 1).

The study also showed positive correlation between CCT and IOP (r = 0.867, p<0.001) (Table 3) and also positive correlation between CCT and CET (r= 0.516, p<0.001) (Table 5).

Regarding CET; average was 54.80 ± 2.68µm (Table 1). (mean SD was 54.75 ± 2.44 in males and 54.85 ± 2.94 in females (Table 4)). The study showed no correlation between CET and refractive error (f=0.165, p=0.848) (Table 2). IT showed that there is also positive correlation between CET and IOP (r=0,490, p<0,001) (Table3).

**Table (2): Comparison between the different studied groups according to different parameters**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total****(n= 100)** | **Refraction** | **Test of sig.** | **p** |
| **Emmetrope****(n= 34)** | **Myope****(n= 34)** | **Hypermetrope****(n= 32)** |
| **No.** | **%** | **No.** | **%** | **No.** | **%** | **No.** | **%** |
| **Sex**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male  | 52 | 52.0 | 17 | 50.0 | 17 | 50.0 | 18 | 56.3 | 2=0.341 | 0.843 |
| Female  | 48 | 48.0 | 17 | 50.0 | 17 | 50.0 | 14 | 43.8 |
| **Age**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 20.0 – 60.0 | 20.0 – 59.0 | 22.0 – 58.0 | 21.0 – 60.0 | F=0.565 | 0.570 |
| Mean ± SD. | 40.82 ± 11.30 | 41.71 ± 10.31 | 41.59 ± 11.27 | 39.06 ± 12.44 |
| Median  | 40.0 | 40.50 | 40.50 | 39.50 |
|  **IOP** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 13.20 – 18.90 | 13.30 – 18.90 | 13.50 – 18.90 | 13.20 – 18.80 | F=1.120 | 0.330 |
| Mean ± SD. | 15.65 ± 1.56 | 15.48 ± 1.61 | 15.97 ± 1.53 | 15.48 ± 1.54 |
| Median  | 15.60 | 15.30 | 16.0 | 15.35 |
| **Central corneal thickness** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 508.0 – 579.0 | 508.0 – 579.0 | 510.0 – 579.0 | 509.0 – 578.0 | F=0.145 | 0.865 |
| Mean ± SD. | 541.62 ± 21.11 | 540.09 ± 22.29 | 542.79 ± 20.02 | 542.0 ± 21.52 |
| Median  | 542.0 | 542.0 | 541.0 | 541.0 |
| **Corneal epithelial thikness** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 43.0 – 59.0 | 51.0 – 59.0 | 43.0 – 59.0 | 51.0 – 59.0 | F=0.165 | 0.848 |
| Mean ± SD. | 54.80 ± 2.68 | 54.62 ± 2.31 | 54.79 ± 3.26 | 55.0 ± 2.41 |
| Median  | 55.0 | 54.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| **Horizontal corneal radius of curvature** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.04 – 8.43 | 7.18 – 8.02 | 7.24 – 8.11 | 7.04 – 8.43 | F=18.032\* | <0.001\* |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.61 ± 0.25 | 7.52 ± 0.23 | 7.53 ± 0.19 | 7.80 ± 0.23 |
| Median  | 7.64 | 7.51 | 7.49 | 7.86 |
| **Vertical corneal radius of curvature** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.12 – 8.23 | 7.17 – 7.87 | 7.23 – 8.23 | 7.12 – 8.23 | F=24.432\* | <0.001\* |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.61 ± 0.27 | 7.50 ± 0.21 | 7.50 ± 0.18 | 7.84 ± 0.28 |
| Median  | 7.54 | 7.45 | 7.49 | 7.88 |

χ2, p: χ2 and p values for **Chi square test** for comparing between the different groups

F, p: F and p values for **ANOVA test** for comparing between the different groups

\*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

**Table (3): Correlation between different parameters in total sample (n= 100)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Age** | **IOP** |
| **r** | **p** | **r** | **p** |
| **Central corneal thikness** | 0.104 | 0.303 | 0.867\* | <0.001\* |
| **Corneal epithelial thikness** | 0.022 | 0.824 | 0.490\* | <0.001\* |
| **Horizontal corneal radius of curvature** | 0.003 | 0.977 | -0.094 | 0.351 |
| **Vertical corneal radius of curvature** | 0.050 | 0.623 | -0.060 | 0.556 |

r: Pearson coefficient

\*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

About corneal radii of curvature the study showed that average horizontal corneal radius of curvature was 7.61 ± 0.25mm while mean vertical corneal radius of curvature was 7.61 ± 0.27mm (Table 1). This study has showed the correlation between the corneal radius of curvature and refractive state of the eye (p<0.001) (Table 2) as it was found that myopes (H 7.53 ± 0.19 mm – V 7.50 ± 0.18mm) tend to have steeper corneas meaning shorter radius of curvature whereas the hypermetropes (H 7.80 ± 0.23mm - V 7.84 ± 0.28mm) whose corneas tend to be flatter with longer radius of curvature (Table 2). On the other hand there was no correlation between corneal radius of curvature and IOP (H r= -0.094, p=0.351 – V r= -0.060, p= 0.556) (Table 3).

This study showed what no association between corneal radius of curvature and CCT or CET (Table 5).

Regarding anthropometric characteristics of the study sample, age and gender were not associated with CCT, CET or corneal radii of curvature (table 3) (Table 4).

**Table (4): Relation between sex and different parameters in total sample (n= 100)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Sex** | **t** | **p** |
| **Male (n= 52)** | **Female (n= 48)** |
| **Central corneal thickness** |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 508.0 – 579.0 | 508.0 – 579.0 | 0.158 | 0.875 |
| Mean ± SD. | 541.94 ± 22.53 | 541.27 ± 19.68 |
| Median  | 541.0 | 542.0 |
| **Corneal epithelial thikness** |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 51.0 – 59.0 | 43.0 – 59.0 | 0.193 | 0.847 |
| Mean ± SD. | 54.75 ± 2.44 | 54.85 ± 2.94 |
| Median  | 54.0 | 55.0 |
| **Horizontal corneal radius of curvature** |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.04 – 7.98 | 7.19 – 8.43 | 1.452 | 0.150 |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.58 ± 0.24 | 7.65 ± 0.26 |
| Median  | 7.56 | 7.65 |
| **Vertical corneal radius of curvature** |  |  |  |  |
| Min. – Max. | 7.12 – 8.22 | 7.25 – 8.23 | 0.431 | 0.667 |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.60 ± 0.29 | 7.62 ± 0.26 |
| Median  | 7.55 | 7.54 |

t, p: t and p values for **Student t-test** forassociation between sex and different parameters

**Table (5): Correlation between different parameters in total sample (n= 100)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **r** | **p** |
| **Central corneal thickness vs Horizontal corneal radius of curvature**  | 0.002 | 0.981 |
| **Central corneal thickness vs Vertical corneal radius of curvature** | 0.025 | 0.804 |
| **Corneal epithelial thiknessvs Horizontal corneal radius of curvature**  | -0.010 | 0.920 |
| **Corneal epithelial thiknessvs Vertical corneal radius of curvature** | -0.170 | 0.092 |
| **Central corneal thickness vs Corneal epithelial thikness** | 0.516\* | <0.001\* |

r: Pearson coefficient \*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

**4. Discussion**:

The anterior segment spectral domain OCT was designed to analyze the anterior segment of the eye. The highs can rate makes it possible to capture a large number of two-dimensional tomographic images within a limited time and then togenerate a three­dimensional representation of the anterior segment. The high scan rate should also decrease motion artifacts. This study used SD-OCT for measurement of central corneal thickness, corneal epithelial thickness and corneal radii of curvature which makes it technically different to other studies where analyses were done by the usage of ultrasound pachymetry or Scheimpflug imaging. SD-OCT measures accurately those parameters as reported by prior publications within the physical limitations of the method itself as determined by wavelength, optical system, and sensor characteristics. [16]

So **in our study** our primary outcome was to assess the distribution of ocular parameters in healthy eyes. Significant scatter was observed between the metrics; despite this many statistically signiﬁcant correlations were found. We have evaluated central corneal thickness, corneal epithelial thickness and corneal radii of curvature in healthy eyes and its relation to age, sex intraocular pressure and refractive state of the eye using Topcon 3D OCT-2000.

**As regarding central corneal thickness**

we have demonstrated that the central corneal thickness measurements were independently associated with intraocular pressure. Previously published studies have yielded congruent findings using optical or ultrasound pachymetry and association with intraocular pressure. [17-18]

We have also found that there was no correlation between CCT and spherical equivalent refractive error.

In agreement with that results studies failed to reveal a significant correlation between CCT and refraction **Zhang et al** [19] in 4439 Chinese, **Chen et al** [20] 500 Taiwanese Chinese and **Su et al** [21] 3239 Singaporean Malays, respectively It seems that CCT was not correlated with refractive error in the studies mentioned above.

In contrast other studies showed; For myopic populations, thinner corneas were reported in 216 young adults with an averaged refractive error of -4.17 diopters **Chang et al** [22], whereas no correlation with the degree of myopia was found in 714 Singaporean Chinese with a mean refractive error of -5.3 diopters **Fam et al** [23]**.** For normal populations, a significant correlation between CCT and refraction was demonstrated in 3021 Japanese **Suzuki et al [**24]**.**

-In this study we have found no correlation between CCT and corneal radius of curvature.

In agreement to our study, **Iyamu and Eze** [25] investigated the relationship between CCT and corneal radius of curvature in 95 Nigerian adults (56 males and 39 females) aged between 20 and 69 years No significant association was found between CCT and corneal radius of curvature. On the contrary to our study **Sawada et al** [26] reported a positive correlation between CCT and corneal radius of curvature in Japanese subjects (N = 3021) aged 40 years or older.

-In our study population consisting of a cohort of Caucasians with an age range from 20 to 60 years, central corneal thickness was not associated with age.

Upon comparing our findings to those published by other groups, it must be considered that our age range corresponds to the working age and that we have only included healthy eyes with the purpose of reporting physiological conditions, whereas many other studies have appeared with a much older starting age reaching into senility. **Wolfs et al** [27] and **Eysteinsson et al** [28] are studies with different age ranges did not find an association between central corneal thickness and age. In contrast, in other ethnicities and especially in persons aged 70 years and older, **Chua et al** [29] reported a decrease of central corneal thickness with age. This indicates that central corneal thickness may gradually increase in the decades covered by our cohort, while over 70 years a decrease may be observed.

-The present study showed that gender has no significant effect on CCT.

In agreement with our study **Durkin et al** [30] and **Aghanian et al** [31] showed no difference between male and female sex according to CCT. On controversy other studies like **Shimmyo et al** [32] reported that males had thicker corneas than females. **Hahn et al** [33] found that the difference in CCT between the genders was only 4.6 µm, which is less than the mean interocular difference in CCT (7.7 µm) for their normal subjects. Therefore, they concluded that the difference between men and women CCT was statistically but not clinically significant.

**As regarding corneal epithelial thickness**

-In this study, we found that at the centre of the cornea, the thickness of the corneal epithelium correlated significantly with total corneal thickness and tended to maintain a ratio of around 10.1 6 0.6% to total corneal thickness. This value was not related to age, sex or refraction, so CCT seems to be an independent predictive factor for central thickness of the corneal epithelium.

In agreement with these results **Dmitrii et al** [34] had revealed positive correlation between CCT and CET. On the other hand **Wu et al** [35] reported a weak correlation between corneal epithelial thickness and total central corneal thickness. They found the correlation coefficient 0.12 in contrast to our study, which had showed a correlation coefficient between central epithelial thickness and central corneal thickness to be as 0.53 which is much higher than **Wu et al.** [35]

Our study also showed no association between CET and age, sex and refractive state of the eye**. Tao et al** [36] did not find a relation between the CET and gender or age in 44 eyes from 22 people. **Francoz et al** [37] didn’t find variation of CET in normal adults less than 40 years old (n = 18) and older adults larger than 40 years old (n = 10). **Reinstein et al** [38] revealed no significant relationship between CET and age or refraction in 56 subjects.

**As regarding corneal radius of curvature**

This study showed that there is a correlation between the radius of CC and refractive state of the eye (p<0.001) as it was found that myopes tend to have steeper corneas meaning shorter radius of curvature followed by the emmetropesand lastly the hypermetropes whose corneas tend to be flatter with longer radius of curvature.

In agreement with that **Goh and Lam** [39] and **Lam et al** [40] reported that the average radius of curvature did not vary significantly with the refractive status; however, myopes tended to have steeper corneas, followed by the emmetropes and lastly, the hyperopes. A subsequent study by **Osuobeni** [41] found similar results. **Hosny et al** [42] have explained this apparent contradiction by indicating that myopic eyes, which are long, have steeper or shorter radius of CC because, together with an increase in axial length, corneal steepening also occurs during the development of myopia.

-This study didn’t establish any relationship between IOP and radius of CC.

In agreement with our study **Orssengo & Pye** [43], using randomly sampled normal 925 right eyes, none of them had keratorefractive surgery, no association was found between the radius of CC and IOP. Another study, carried out using Goldman application tonometry on 87 patients, found a mean drop in IOP of 0.71mmHg per 10µm thinning of the cornea. Its authors suggested that a change in the radius of curvature of the cornea had at least some effect on IOP measurements **Rosa et al** [44].

-In this study there was no association between age and sex to radius of CC.

**Tomlinson A** [45] in his study he agreed with our study and demonstrated no relation between age and gender and radius of CC.

On the other hand **Mohd-Ali et al** [46] reported that the radius of corneal curvature becomes shorter with increasing age, he also demonstrated that females had significantly shorter average radius of CC than males (p < 0.001). Whereas **Lam et al** [40] in subjects aged 40 years and older reported that men have longer radius of CC than women of same age. He explained these differences because of various factors such as refractive errors such as higher degree of myopia in females resulting in steeper corneas.

**Conclusion**

Our study highlights the value of anterior segment SD-OCT in assessment of anterior segment. We evaluated associations of central corneal thickness corneal, epithelial thickness and radius of corneal curvature readings determined by SD-OCT in healthy eyes. As our study was explicitly focused on healthy eyes, this approach may be worthwhile for defining norm values for this specific technology. Analysis confirmed intraocular pressure and refractive error as ocular factors associated with our examined parameters. We also assisted the association of age and gender to our biometry.
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